OPTIMIZATION OF BIO-CHEMICAL CONVERSION OF RICE STRAW TO 5-(HYDROXYMETHYL)FURFURAL

Poornima Nishadhi Wanninayake

198080N

Master of Philosophy

Chemical and Process Engineering Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2024

OPTIMIZATION OF BIO-CHEMICAL CONVERSION OF RICE STRAW TO 5-(HYDROXYMETHYL)FURFURAL

Poornima Nishadhi Wanninayake

198080N

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Philosophy

Chemical and Process Engineering Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this Thesis does not incorporate without ac-

knowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use

this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: Date: 2024-02-08

The supervisors should certify the Thesis with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Philosophy Thesis under our supervision. We confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true

and correct.

Name of Supervisor: Prof. S. H. P. Gunawardena

Signature of the Supervisor: Date: 02-08-2024

Name of Supervisor: Dr. S. A. D. T. Subasinghe

Signature of the Supervisor: Date: 08-02-2024

Name of Supervisor: Dr. H. H. M. P. Rathnayake

Signature of the Supervisor: Date: 08-02-2024

i

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated,

To my husband Thilina, for his understanding, love, and support which helped me a lot to overcome the barriers I have faced.

To my father and mother, the shadow of my success and for their unconditional love.

To all my teachers from University of Moratuwa, University of Peradeniya, and Eppawala Central College, for making me who I am today.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. (Mrs.) Sanja H. P. Gunawardena, Dr. S.A.D.T. Subasinghe, and Dr. H.H.M.P. Rathnayake for their exceptional supervision and motivation expressed to me during the period of my research. Prof. Gunawardena has been a role model for me and guided me from the first day I met her even before starting my research to be a successful researcher. Dr. Subasinghe has been a great mentor and supported me in various ways to be a successful researcher. Dr. Rathnayake's inputs trained me to look at any problem from a different perspective and provided valuable feedback which made me confident enough to manage the research properly.

Next, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. (Mrs.) Chamari M. Hettiarachchi of Department of Chemistry, University of Colombo, for being the chair of the progress review committee. Prof. Hettiarachchis' feedback and guidance during the progress reviews were helpful to me to improve the research. I would also like to especially thank, Analytical Chemist of Department of Chemical and Process Engineering, for her guidance and great help during my research work. My next sincere gratitude goes to Prof. S. Walpalage, Head of the Department of Chemical and Process Engineering, for his guidance and great help during my research work. Furthermore, I would like to thank my colleagues and friends who helped me in various ways.

The last, but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my father, my mother and my husband Thilina for their endless support, trust on my focus, motivation extended towards me, and care.

ABSTRACT

Agricultural waste-based biorefinery merges waste and production sectors to develop a circular economy. One such biorefinery product, 5-(hydroxymethyl) furfural (5-HMF) has gained increasing interest as a versatile platform chemical to produce chemicals and fuels, and rice straw will be an ideal feedstock to produce 5-HMF. Limited studies on the direct conversion of rice straw to 5-HMF reveal the requirement of extensive research. This MPhil research study focuses to identify the most feasible conversion process to convert rice straw into 5-HMF, and optimize the required process parameters in laboratory-scale to be used in unit processes in scaled-up implementation. As the first objective, this thesis has proposed a roadmap, elucidating the existing methods for rice straw pretreatment to convert cellulose and cellulose conversion to 5-HMF processes. Then cellulose conversion to 5-HMF was evaluated, considering catalyst used, solvent system, process temperature, and process time. Eventually, an evaluation method, based on a generalized objective function with penalty scores, was developed and used its minimum value to find the optimal process configuration at the lowest cost for large-scale 5-HMF production. However, considering the feasibility of large-scale application of these processes in Sri Lankan context, combinatory acid/alkaline pretreatment method and mineral acid-catalyzed cellulose to 5-HMF conversion process were selected for the optimization. The final objective was experimentally optimization of the process parameters of each, using an advanced optimization technique, response surface methodology. Central composite design-based experiments were used to develop statistical models for each process. Quantitative analyses were performed, using regression techniques, analysis of variance, and residual analysis, whereas qualitative analyses were carried out via Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. The pretreatment process was carried out to maximize the outcome of rice straw biorefinery. It included two steps: (1) dilute sulphuric acid treatment at reduced temperatures to optimize hemicellulose removal, and (11) dilute sodium hydroxide treatment at reduced temperatures to optimize lignin removal. The maximum hemicellulose removal (15.78 %) was observed at optimal conditions of 0.26 mol dm⁻³ acid concentration, 98.1 °C reaction temperature, and 30.48 min reaction time. The maximum removal of lignin (20.98 %) was obtained at 2.55 mol dm⁻³ sodium hydroxide concentration, 80.5 °C reaction temperature, 106.48 min reaction time. An optimized acid- catalyzed hydrothermal process for the in-situ production of 5-HMF from rice straw extracted cellulose was obtained, using a biphasic reaction system. The maximum yield of 5-HMF was 23.51% at optimal conditions of $0.046 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ dilute hydrochloric acid concentration, 180 °C process temperature, and 107 min process time. Finally, validation experiments were performed, and the observed optimum results showed close agreement with the predicted, confirming rice straw biorefinery process optimization.

Keywords: Rice straw, Biorefinery, 5-(hydroxymethyl) furfural, Pretreatment, Cellulose, Catalytic conversion, Optimization, Response surface methodology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

De	eclara	tion of t	he Candidate & Supervisor	i
D	edicat	ion		ii
A	cknow	ledgem	ent	iii
Al	ostrac	t		iv
Ta	ble of	Conten	uts	v
Li	st of I	Figures		viii
Li	st of 7	Tables		X
Li	st of A	Abbrevia	ations	2
1	Intro	Introduction		
	1.1	Backg	round	3
	1.2	Aim o	f thesis	4
	1.3	3 Contribution of thesis		
	1.4	4 Organization of thesis		5
2	Comprehensive Literature Survey			7
	2.1	Introduction		
	2.2	Rice straw as sustainable feedstock		
	2.3	Limitations in rice straw direct utilization		
	2.4	Roadmap for convertin of rice straw into 5-HMF		12
	2.5	Rice straw pretreatment methods		14
		2.5.1	Physical pretreatments	15
		2.5.2	Physico-chemical pretreatments	17
		2.5.3	Chemical pretreatments	18
	2.6	Cellulose conversion into 5-HMF		22
		2.6.1	Catalysts used in cellulose to 5-HMF conversion	29
		2.6.2	Solvents used in conversion of cellulose to 5-HMF	32
		2.6.3	Reaction systems used in cellulose to 5-HMF conversion	37

		2.6.4	Reaction conditions influences on conversion of cellulose to)
			5-HMF	37
3	Comparative Evaluation of Cellulose to 5-HMF			38
	3.1	Cataly	est contribution (C_k)	40
	3.2	Contri	bution of reaction system (RS_k)	41
	3.3	Proces	es Evaluation	42
4	Exp	erimenta	al Design and Analysis Procedure	47
	4.1	Genera	al procedure for rice straw to 5-HMF conversion	47
	4.2	Experi	imental design using response surface methodology	50
	4.3	Statist	ical analysis procedure	54
5	Opti	mizatio	n of RS to Cellulose Conversion	56
	5.1	Dilute	Acid Pretreatment	56
		5.1.1	Materials	56
		5.1.2	Design of experiments	56
		5.1.3	Rice straw pretreatment	57
		5.1.4	Hemicellulose recovery and characterization	58
		5.1.5	Results and discussion	59
	5.2	NaOH	Pretreatment	66
		5.2.1	Materials	67
		5.2.2	Design of experiments	67
		5.2.3	Rice straw pretreatment	67
		5.2.4	Lignin recovery	68
		5.2.5	Results and discussion	68
	5.3	Cellul	ose Recovery from Rice Straw	75
6	Optimization of Cellolose to 5-HMF Conversion			77
	6.1	1 Introduction		
	6.2	Materials		77
	6.3	3 Design of experiments		78
	6.4	Experimental procedure		78
	6.5	Analysis of 5-HMF		
	6.6	Statistical analysis procedure		81

	6.7	Results and discussion	81
7	Con	Conclusions and Future Directions	
	7.1	Future directions	91
Re	eferen	ces	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 2.1	5-HMF chemical structure [3]	7
Figure 2.2	5-HMF derived value added chemicals, and fuels	8
Figure 2.3	Roadmap for conversion of rice straw to 5-HMF	13
Figure 2.4	General reaction scheme of cellulose to 5-HMF conversion [16]	22
Figure 2.5	Reaction mechanism of cellulose hydrolysis into glucose [48]	29
Figure 2.6	Reaction mechanism of metal chlorides catalyzed isomerization of glu-	
	cose to fructose [213]	31
Figure 3.1	Biphasic system of conversion of cellulose into 5-HMF. Characteriza-	
	tion of inputs (cellulose, catalyst, and solvent) via specific heat capac-	
	ities C_o , $C_{s,k}$, $C_{c,k}$ and masses m_o , $m_{s,k}$, $m_{c,k}$, respectively. System	
	starting temperature is θ_o	39
Figure 4.1	Schematic representation of rice straw pretreatment	48
Figure 4.2	Evaluation of most feasible processes to select locally feasible process	49
Figure 4.3	General procedure for conversion of RS to 5-HMF	50
Figure 4.4	Adapted response surface methodology for optimization	51
Figure 4.5	Schematic representation of three factor central composite design	54
Figure 5.1	Dried rice staw.	56
Figure 5.2	Hemicellulose rich rice straw pretreated filtrate	58
Figure 5.3	Precipitated hemicellulose after keeping at 4°C for 24 hours	58
Figure 5.4	Recovered hemicellulose from rice straw	59
Figure 5.5	Schematic representation of dilute acid pretreatment process	59
Figure 5.6	FTIR spectrum of recovered hemicellulose	60
Figure 5.7	Response surface analysis of coded time and temperature vs yield	64
Figure 5.8	Response surface analysis of coded concentration and time vs yield	64
Figure 5.9	Response surface analysis of coded temperature and concentration vs	
	yield	65
Figure 5.10	Analysis of predicted error vs experiment number	65
Figure 5.11	Sodium hydroxide pretreated rice straw	68
Figure 5.12	Lignin rich rice straw filtrate	68
Figure 5.13	Precipitation of lignin at pH 1	69
Figure 5.14	Recovered lignin	69
Figure 5.15	Schematic representation of sodium hydroxide pretreatment process	69
Figure 5.16	Response surface analysis of coded concentration and temperature vs	
	vield	73

Figure 5.17	Response surface analysis of coded concentration and time vs yield	73
Figure 5.18	Response surface analysis of coded temperature and time vs yield	74
Figure 5.19	Analysis of predicted error vs experiment number in NaOH pretreatment	75
Figure 5.20	Recovered cellulose after pretreatment process	76
Figure 6.1	Continuous production system to convert rice straw recovered cellulose	
	to 5-HMF	78
Figure 6.2	Hydrothermal autoclave synthesis reactor used for experimentation	79
Figure 6.3	Structural parts of hydrothermal synthesis reactor	79
Figure 6.4	Schematic and pictorial representation of hydrothermal catalytic con-	
	version process followed	80
Figure 6.5	Calibration curve of 5-HMF concentration with absorbency at $284\mathrm{nm}$	81
Figure 6.6	Response surface analysis of coded HCl concentration and reaction	
	temperature vs 5-HMF yield	84
Figure 6.7	Response surface analysis of coded HCl concentration and reaction	
	time vs 5-HMF yield	85
Figure 6.8	Response surface analysis of coded reaction temperature and time vs	
	5-HMF yield	85
Figure 6.9	Analysis of predicted error vs experiment number in 5-HMF synthesis	
	process	86
Figure 6.10	Effect of microwave irradiation on reaction steps in process 01	88
Figure 6.11	Necessary steps to improve proposed hydrothermal process	88

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2.1	Fuels property comparison with DMF	9
Table 2.2	Estimated 2018 global rice grain and straw production [26]	10
Table 2.3	Methods for rice straw pretreatment with advantages and disadvantages	23
Table 2.4	Cellulose catalytic conversion into 5-HMF processes	25
Table 2.5	Catalysts utilized in cellulose to 5-HMF conversion with pros and cons	33
Table 2.6	5-HMF synthesis solvents with pros and cons	36
Table 3.1	Evaluation of contribution of catalysts to objective function part (I)	43
Table 3.2	Evaluation of contribution of catalysts to objective function part (II)	43
Table 3.3	Scores used in evaluation of reaction systems	44
Table 3.4	Evaluation of contribution of monophasic reaction systems to objective	
	function	45
Table 3.5	Evaluation of contribution of biphasic reaction systems to objective	
	function	45
Table 3.6	Evaluation of objective function of 5-HMF production processes	46
Table 4.1	Most feasible cellulose to 5-HMF conversion processes	48
Table 4.2	Comparison of structural differences in classical response surface de-	
	signs for three factor experiments.	52
Table 4.3	Comparison of structural differences in classical response surface de-	
	signs for three factor experiments.	53
Table 5.1	Actual and coded values of independent variables in acid pretreatment	57
Table 5.2	Coded and actual values of independent variables	61
Table 5.3	Regression analysis of proposed model for hemicellulose recovery from	
	rice straw	61
Table 5.4	Analysis of variance for hemicellulose recovery from rice straw	62
Table 5.5	Optimum operating conditions for maximum hemicellulose yield	66
Table 5.6	Comparison of rice straw acid pretreatments carried out in different	
	countries	66
Table 5.7	Actual and coded values of independent variables in NaOH pretreatment	67
Table 5.8	Coded and actual values of independent variables NaOH pretreatment	70
Table 5.9	Regression analysis of proposed model for lignin recovery from rice	
	straw	71
Table 5.10	Analysis of variance for lignin recovery from rice straw	72
Table 5.11	Optimum operating conditions for maximum lignin yield	74

Table 5.12	Comparison of resulted optimal conditions with other NaOH pretreat-	
	ment processes	75
Table 6.1	Hydrothermal reactor specifications	79
Table 6.2	Actual and coded values of independent variables in cellulose to 5-	
	HMF conversion	80
Table 6.3	Regression statistics of calibration curve data	81
Table 6.4	Coded and actual values of independent variables in 5-HMF synthesis	
	process	82
Table 6.5	Regression analysis of proposed model for 5-HMF synthesis process	83
Table 6.6	Analysis of variance for 5-HMF synthesis process	83
Table 6.7	Optimum operating conditions for maximum 5-HMF yield	87
Table 6.8	Comparison of process 01 and present study	87

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviated Terms

CCD Central composite design
CMF Cellulose microfibrill
DAP Dilute acid pretreatment
DES Deep eutectic solvent
DOE Design of experiments

DSAP Dilute sulphuric acid pretreatment

HTC Hydrothermal carbonization LCC Lignin-carbohydrate complex

OFAT One factor at one time

RSM Response surface methodology

Chemical Substances

BMIMCl 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride

DMF 2,5-dimethylfuran
DMSO Dimethyl sulfoxide
EL ethyl levulinate

EMF 5-ethoxymethylfuran

EmimAc 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate

FDCA 2,5-dicarboxylic acid GVL γ -Valero lactone H2SO4 Sulphuric acid HCl Hydrochloric acid

HMF 5-hydroxymethylfurfural

ILs Ionic liquids

MIBK Methyl isobutyl ketone NaOH Sodium hydroxide THF Tetrahydrofuran

Other Symbols

 α_i Relative weightage to represent the significance of i^{th} parameter to the

overall process

 θ_k Process temperature of the k^{th} process

 C_k Catalysts used of the k^{th} process

E Energy required to reach and maintain the operating temperature

 $E_{1,k}$ Energy needed to heat the reaction system comprised of cellulose, sol-

vents, and catalyst

 $E_{2,k}$ Energy required to maintain the temperature for process time t_k

 P_k Process considered

Reaction system of the k^{th} process Process time of the k^{th} process Yield of the k^{th} process RS_k t_k

 y_k