



**EVALUATION OF EFFECT OF TREE ROOTS ON
SHEAR STRENGTH OF SOIL DUE TO ROOT WATER
UPTAKE**

Amarasinghe Arachchige Supipi Kaushalya

(218075H)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2023

**EVALUATION OF EFFECT OF TREE ROOTS ON
SHEAR STRENGTH OF SOIL DUE TO ROOT WATER
UPTAKE**

Amarasinghe Arachchige Supipi Kaushalya

(218075H)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2023

DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 27/06/2023

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/Dissertation under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. (Mrs.) M. A. Pallewatttha , Prof. U. P. Nawagamuwa

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 27/06/2023

ABSTRACT

Tree roots play a major role in ground and slope stabilization by increasing the strength and stiffness of the soil positively. When evaluating how vegetation affects ground improvement, tree roots are the primary factor because that they improve the strength of the soil with the help of their mechanical properties and provide the additional soil suction by the root water uptake.

Previous studies, however, focused on the mechanical and hydraulic impacts of tree roots separately when evaluating the impact of vegetation, which failed to yield reliable results because suction influences on mechanical characteristics of tree roots. Recent laboratory research has shown that the mechanical interactions between roots and soil, such as root tensile strength and root cohesiveness, are suction-dependent.

There are still significant gaps in knowledge regarding the effects of suction and root concentrations on root reinforcement despite these extensive previous research. This study investigated the influence of matric suction on root reinforcement of the *Alstonia macrophylla* with Sri Lankan Silty Sand using large-scaled direct shear tests.

Cohesion due to root reinforcement of the *Alstonia macrophylla* should theoretically equal to the difference between the apparent cohesion of reinforced and unreinforced shear strength in saturated samples. This value was 2.99 kN/m² when RAR, dry biomass of roots per unit volume of soil, and total leaf area of the plant were 6.22 x 10⁻³ %, 0.575 kg/m³ and 1195 cm² respectively. However, the cohesion due to root reinforcement of the *Alstonia macrophylla* is slightly increased with the matric suction in the Sri Lankan Silty Sand as per the research outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Dr. (Mrs.) M. A. Pallewattha, Senior Lecturer at Civil Engineering Department of University of Moratuwa, for her dedication and keen interest to make success and as well as for setting me the platform to proceed my career in Geotechnical Engineering. I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my co supervisors Prof. U. P. Nawagamuwa, Professor at Civil Engineering Department of University of Moratuwa, for all the help he has provided during my Master. Sir, you are the best navigator of my academic life since my undergraduate research career.

I am thankful for the Senate Research Committee that provided financial support for my M.Sc. work. Moreover, I extend my sincere thanks to Department of Irrigation and National Building Research Organization (NBRO), Sri Lanka for supplying required testing instruments. Further, I would like to express my gratitude to Department of Material Science and Engineering and Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa for helps that gave to develop the large-scaled direct shear testing instrument. I also wish to acknowledge the contributions made by the technical officers and lab assistances during my laboratory experiments, especially Mr. L. H. K. Chandana, Mr. H. T. R. M. Thanthirige, Mr. U. K. Padmaperuma, Mr. M. A. Piyasiri, Mr. D. M. N. L. Dissanayake and Mr. P. P. R. Peiris. I extend my sincere thanks to all my friends at University of Moratuwa as well as undergraduate students of 18' and 20' Batch, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

Last but not least, I would like to personally thank my superb husband Mr. W. T. L. Senevirathne Engineer, ICC (Pvt) Ltd and my parents A. A. U. Ranjith, D. M. D. L. D. Ranaweera who has given tremendous support not only for research matter but also my life.

Supipi Kaushalya

26th of March 2023

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Description of Problem	2
1.3 Objectives and Scope of the Study.....	3
1.4 Organization of the Thesis	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 General	6
2.2 Unsaturated Soils.....	7
2.2.1 Unsaturated Soil Mechanics.....	7
2.2.2 Need for Unsaturated Soil mechanics	8
2.2.3 Basic State variables of Unsaturated Soils.....	9
2.2.4 Measurement and Estimation of Basic State Variables of Unsaturated Soils.....	9
2.2.5 Unsaturated Soil Properties.....	12
2.3 Soil Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC).....	12
2.3.1 Developing SWCC using Experimental Procedures.....	14
2.3.2 Developing SWCC by using Prediction models	17

2.3.3	The available literature on the comparison of prediction models	20
2.4	Shear strength of unsaturated soil	20
2.4.1	Shear strength of saturated soil	20
2.5	Shear strength of the soil with tree roots	25
2.5.1	Root Reinforcement	26
2.6	Suction effect of the tree roots	32
2.7	Summary	35
3	BASIC SOIL STUDY AND PLANT SELECTION	36
3.1	Basic soil study.....	36
3.2	Plant selection.....	39
4	STUDYING MECHANICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF <i>Alstonia macrophylla</i>	41
4.1	General	41
4.2	Evaluating the volume ratio between the soil and roots.....	41
4.3	Observing the suction variation of soil sample with and without a plant against the time	42
4.3.1	Variation of the matric suction in soil sample with time	42
4.3.2	Variation of the matric suction with respect to time in soil sample with plant.....	44
4.4	Developing an empirical correlation between the root water uptake and total area of leaves of the tree plant.....	45
4.5	Summary	47
5	OBJECTIVE 01 - STUDYING THE BEHAVIOR OF UNSATURATED SRI LANKAN SEMI-COSTAL SILTY SAND USING SWCC.....	48
5.1	General	48
5.2	Flow Chart for the Objective 1	48

5.3	Development of SWCC using experimental methods.....	49
5.3.1	Pressure plate	49
5.3.2	WP4C	50
5.3.3	Moisture and Suction Sensors.....	53
5.3.4	Curve fitting	55
5.4	Development of SWCC using prediction models	55
5.5	Results and Discussion	56
5.5.1	Development of SWCC using experimental methods	56
5.5.2	Development of SWCC using prediction models	57
5.6	Summary	60
6	OBJECTIVE 02 – INVESTIGATING THE INFLUENCE OF SUCTION ON ROOT REINFORCEMENT OF <i>Alstonia macrophylla</i> WITH THE SEMI-COASTAL SRI LANKAN SILTY SAND	62
6.1	General	62
6.2	Flow chart for the objective 1.....	64
6.3	Sample Preparation.....	64
6.4	Developing large-scaled direct shear testing setup	65
6.5	Conducting Direct shear tests.....	70
6.6	Results and Discussion	73
6.7	Summary	80
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	82
7.1	General Summary.....	82
7.2	Specific Conclusion.....	83
7.2.1	Most reliable experimental and analytical procedures which can be used to SWCCs for Sri Lanka Silty Sand.....	83

7.2.2	Identified components of the increase in the shear strength of root permeated soil, during direct shear testing.....	83
7.3	Recommendations for future works	84
8	REFERENCES.....	86
	ANNEX 1 – BASIC SOIL STUDY.....	92
	ANNEX 2 – EMPIRICAL CORELATION BETWEEN THE ROOT WATER UPTAKE AND TOTAL LEAF AREA	101
	ANNEX 3 – DEVELOPMENT OF SWCC.....	102
	ANNEX 4 – DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE-SCALE DIRECT SHEAR TESTING SETUP	103
	ANNEX 5 – SEM TEST RESULTS.....	104

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Hydro mechanical effects of vegetation on slope stabilization (modified after Mulyono et al., 2018).....	2
Figure 2.1: Unsaturated soil structure	7
Figure 2.2: Formation of the matric suction with capillary force	10
Figure 2.3: Determination of unsaturated soil property functions	12
Figure 2.4: Phases for the SWCC (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	13
Figure 2.5: Component of pressure plate apparatus.....	15
Figure 2.6: WP4C instrument	16
Figure 2.7: Shear failure envelop for saturated condition (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993)	21
Figure 2.8: Shear failure envelop for unsaturated soil (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993)	23
Figure 2.9: Mohr-coulomb envelopes in reinforced and unreinforced soils with circles describing failure by (a) slippage and, reinforcement rupture (after Hausmann, 1976)	27
Figure 2.10: Failure pattern of the roots (after Waldron, 1977)	27
Figure 2.11: Root Distribution (a) main roots system (b) fiber roots system	29
Figure 2.12: Various root systems	30
Figure 2.13: Root system of young barley plants with different bulk densities (Modified after Gilmen (1980))	30
Figure 2.14: Root growth pattern and shape of potato seedlings with the impact of the root zone temperature (modified after Sattelmacher et al. ,1990).....	31
Figure 2.15: Variation of rate of root water uptake as per volumetric water content (modified after Feddes et al., 1976)	34
Figure 3.1: Soil Barrow area	36

Figure 3.2: Particle size distribution	38
Figure 3.3: Standard proctor compaction curve	38
Figure 3.4: Initially selected tree plants	40
Figure 4.1: Observation for growing pattern and rate of <i>Alstonia macrophylla</i>	41
Figure 4.2: Variation of matric suction in soil sample within two weeks.....	43
Figure 4.3: Variation of environmental temperature within two weeks in above test	43
Figure 4.4: Variation of matric suction in soil sample with plant within two weeks	44
Figure 4.5: Variation of environmental temperature within two weeks in above test	44
Figure 4.6: Schematic diagram of potometer	46
Figure 4.7: Developed potometer.....	46
Figure 4.8: Method to obtained the total leaf area	46
Figure 4.9: Relationship between the root water uptake and total leaf area	47
Figure 5.1: Component of the pressure plate test.....	49
Figure 5.2: Testing procedure of EC test of the soil	51
Figure 5.3: Relationship between the average electrical conductivity of soil extract and moisture content	52
Figure 5.4: Calibration chart for moisture sensor 1	53
Figure 5.5: Calibration chart for moisture sensor 2	54
Figure 5.6: Calibration chart for moisture sensor 3	54
Figure 5.7: Installation moisture and suction to the soil sample and test procedure .	55
Figure 5.8: Experimental results and fitted curve for experimental results	57
Figure 5.9: Developed SWCCs according to the prediction models using modal parameters proposed by authors for granular soils	58
Figure 5.10: Developed SWCCs using prediction models using derived values of modal parameters	59

Figure 6.1: Sample preparation	65
Figure 6.2: Sample compaction procedure (a) wooden compactor, (b) collar, and (c) wooden box	65
Figure 6.3: Proposed large-scale mold.....	66
Figure 6.4: Large-scaled direct shear testing setup (a) proposed setup and.....	66
Figure 6.5: Developing stage of large-scale direct shear testing setup (a) test rig, (b) supporters, (c) mold, and (d) load plate	67
Figure 6.6: Calibration chart for horizontal settlement gauge	67
Figure 6.7: Calibration chart for vertical settlement gauge.....	68
Figure 6.8: Calibration chart for load cell	68
Figure 6.9: Developed Failure Envelops using standard direct shear testing apparatus	69
Figure 6.10: Developed Failure Envelops using large-scale direct shear testing setup	69
Figure 6.11: Trolley with a roller wheel tray	71
Figure 6.12: Sample installation (a) sensor installation, (b) sand pile installation	71
Figure 6.13: Conducting direct shear testing	72
Figure 6.14: Shear Stress vs. Shear Displacement for 0 kPa Matric Suction	73
Figure 6.15: Shear Stress vs. Shear Displacement for 10 kPa Matric Suction	73
Figure 6.16: Shear Stress vs. Shear Displacement for 20 kPa Matric Suction	74
Figure 6.17: Shear Stress vs. Shear Displacement for 150 kPa Matric Suction	74
Figure 6.18: Failure Envelops for 0 kPa matric Suction(Saturated condition)	76
Figure 6.19: Failure Envelops for 10 kPa Matric Suction	77
Figure 6.20: Failure Envelops for 20 kPa Matric Suction	77
Figure 6.21: Failure Envelops for 150 kPa Matric Suction	78

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Suction measurement devices	11
Table 3.1: Summary of the basic soil properties	37
Table 3.2: Summary of the soil classification	39
Table 4.1: Summary of observation for evaluating volume ratio between soil and tree roots.....	42
Table 5.1: Summary output obtained from pressure plate apparatus.....	50
Table 5.2: Summary of the results obtained using the WP4C instrument	52
Table 5.3: Test reading of the moisture and suction sensors	53
Table 5.4: Modal coefficient values recommended by the authors for granular soil. 56	
Table 5.5: SSR values for the models with modal parameters proposed by authors . 58	
Table 5.6: Derived values for modal parameters and SSR values	60
Table 6.1: Validation of large-scale direct shear testing setup	68
Table 6.2: Comparative study for the texture of the soil particles	70
Table 6.3: Summary of the test results.....	75
Table 6.4: c_r value calculated as the difference between the reinforced and unreinforced peak shear stresses under three vertical stresses for each matric suctions.....	76
Table 6.5: Failure envelopes for each case	78
Table 6.6: Calculated c_r values using failure envelopes	79
Table 6.7: Summary of the observed c_r values following both approaches	79

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Total area of soil
A_R	Root area
c'	Effective cohesion
C_p	Specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure
D_a	Vapor pressure deficit of air
e	Void ratio
EC	Electrical conductivity of the saturated extract
$f(\beta)$	Root density function
f_i	Fractional of each leaf expressed in terms of the total leaf area of the canopy
g	Gravity on earth
h	Matric water suction
h_c	Elevation
h_{co}	Equivalent capillary rise
h_p	Water potential of roots
h_s	Water potential of soil
k	Fitting parameter
k	Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
$L(z)$	Length of root per unit soil volume
m	Pore size distribution parameter of the model
$r_{a,i}$	Boundary layer resistance of each leaf
$R_{n,i}$	Net radiation flux density absorbed by each leaf
$r_{s,i}$	Stomatal resistance of each leaf
r_i	Mean pore radius

R_s	Radius of the curvature
S	Slope of saturation vapour pressure curve at the ambient air temperature
$Sa *$	Degree of saturation
Sc	Degree of saturation associated with capillary forces
T	Transpiration rate per unit of the soil surface area
T_s	Surface tension of the soil
$u_a - u_w$	Matric suction
u_w	Pore water pressure
Vvi	Pore volume of each fraction
W_i	Solid mass per unit sample mass in the i^{th} particle-size range
z	Depth below the soil
$zmax$	Maximum depth of the root zone
α	Scale parameter inversely proportional to mean pore diameter
$\beta(x, y, z)$	Root density as the length of root per unit of soil volume
γ	Psychometric constant
δ	Water potential of roots
θ	Volumetric water content
Θ	Normalized water content
θ	Angle of shear distortion in the shear zone
θ_r	Soil residual moisture content
θ_s	Saturated volumetric water content
θ_{vi}	Accumulated volumetric water content
ρ_a	Air density
ρ_{dry}	Dry density of the soil
ρ_p	Particle density

ρ_w	Density of water
$\sigma_n - u_a$	Net normal stress
σ_t	Mobilized tensile stress of root fibers developed at the shear plane
τ'	Shear strength of unsaturated soil
τ_{ff}	Shear stress plane at failure
ϕ'	Effective angle of internal friction
ϕ^b	Rate of shear strength growth in relation to a change in matric suction
χ	Parameter proportional to degree of saturation
Ψ_o	Osmatic suction
Ψ_{os}	Osmatic suction of saturated soil sample
Ψ_0	Suction at complete dryness
Ψ_n	Normalization parameter
Ψ_r	Residual suction