

6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ali, B., & Jacobs, M. (2020). A brief history of TrueType. *Microsoft Learn*.

<https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/typography/truetype/history>

G. V. Dias. (2005, April). *Challenges of enabling IT in the Sinhala Language*, 27th Internationalization and Unicode Conference, Berlin, Germany.

An overview of Latin type anatomy – Fonts Knowledge - Google Fonts. (n.d.). Google Fonts.

https://fonts.google.com/knowledge/introducing_type/an_overview_of_latin_type_anatomy

Arditi, A. (2004). Adjustable typography: an approach to enhancing low vision text accessibility.

Ergonomics, 47(5), 469–482. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0014013031000085680>

Arditi, A., & Cho, J. (2005). Serifs and font legibility. *Vision Research*, 45(23), 2926–2933.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2005.06.013>

Arobs. (2022, November 2). Digitization – what is it and why is it important? *AROBS*.

Bababekova, Y., Rosenfield, M., Hue, J. E., & Huang, R. (2011). Font Size and Viewing Distance of Handheld Smart Phones. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 88(7), 795–797.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/opx.0b013e3182198792>

Bala, K., Sharma, S., & Kaur, G. (2015). A Study on Smartphone based Operating System.

International Journal of Computer Applications, 121(1), 17–22. <https://doi.org/10.5120/21504-4351>

Banerjee, J., & Bhattacharyya, M. (2011). Selection of the optimum font type and size interface for on screen continuous reading by young adults: an ergonomic approach. *Journal of Human Ergology*, 40(1–2), 47–62.

Biemiller, A. (1977). Relationships between Oral Reading Rates for Letters, Words, and Simple Text in the Development of Reading Achievement. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 13(2), 223. <https://doi.org/10.2307/747307>

Bigelow, C. (2019). Typeface features and legibility research. *Vision Research*, 165, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2019.05.003>

Bigelow, C. (2019b). Typeface features and legibility research. *Vision Research*, 165, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2019.05.003>

Bigelow, C. (2020). The Font Wars, Part 2. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mahc.2020.2971745>

Bigman, A. (2016). Digital Fonts: A condensed history. *99designs*. <https://99designs.com/blog/design-history-movements/history-of-digital-fonts/>

Britannica, T. Editors of Encyclopaedia (2022, September 6). *Latin alphabet*. *Encyclopedia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Latin-alphabet>

Bryans, D. (2019). English monotype down under. *Search.Informit.Org*. <https://search.informit.org/doi/abs/10.3316/informit.638570576616224>

Budrick, C. (2021, October 11). *Swiss Style: The Principles, the Typefaces & the Designers*. PRINT Magazine. <https://www.printmag.com/featured/swiss-style-principles-typefaces-designers/>

Campbell, N. W., & Kautz, J. (2014). Learning a manifold of fonts. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 33(4), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2601097.2601212>

Candello, H., Pinhanez, C. S., & Figueiredo, F. (2017). Typefaces and the Perception of Humanness in Natural Language Chatbots. *Human Factors in Computing Systems*.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025919>

Chaparro, B. S., Shaikh, A. D., Chaparro, A., & Merkle, E. C. (2010). Comparing the legibility of six ClearType typefaces to Verdana and Times New Roman. *Information Design Journal*, 18(1), 36–49. <https://doi.org/10.1075/idj.18.1.04cha>

Chapman, C. (2020, May 13). *Lines of Communication – A Typeface History (with Infographic)*. Toptal Design Blog.

<https://www.toptal.com/designers/ui/typeface-history#Books%20For%20The%20Masses>

Digitalguide. (2019, February 19). *UTF-8: the network standard*. IONOS Digital Guide.

Erickson, A. (2013). The ABC's of font: effects of changing default fonts. *EWU Digital Commons*. <https://dc.ewu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1107&context=theses>

FAQ - Fonts and Keyboards. (n.d.). https://www.unicode.org/faq/font_keyboard.html

Habeeb, I. Q. (2020, September 20). *SELECTION TECHNIQUE FOR MULTIPLE OUTPUTS OF OPTICAL CHARACTER RECOGNITION*. ResearchGate.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342334915_SELECTION_TECHNIQUE_FOR_MULTIPLE_OUTPUTS_OF_OPTICAL_CHARACTER_RECOGNITION

Haley, A. (n.d.). x-Height. *Cmscdn.Fonts.Com*.

https://cmscdn.fonts.com/documents/45a5dcc927619212/Fontology_x-height.pdf

Hannah, J. (2022, December 3). *What Is Typography, and Why Is It Important? A Beginner's Guide*. CareerFoundry. <https://careerfoundry.com/en/blog/ui-design/beginners-guide-to-typography/>

Hojjati, N., & Muniandy, B. (2014). The Effects of Font Type and Spacing of Text for Online Readability and Performance. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 5(2).

<https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/6122>

Huang, M. (2019, April 7). *Understanding ISO-8859-1 / UTF-8*. Mincong Huang.

<https://mincong.io/2019/04/07/understanding-iso-8859-1-and-utf-8/>

Injosoft AB, <http://www.injosoft.se>. (n.d.). *Windows-1252 overview*. ASCII Code.

Johnson, R. (n.d.-a). Improve your typography with “typographic contrast.” *3.7designs*.

Juhasz, B. J., & Rayner, K. (2006). The role of age of acquisition and word frequency in reading: Evidence from eye fixation durations. *Visual Cognition*, 13(7–8), 846–863.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13506280544000075>

Khagwal, N. (2021, March 2). *Legibility in User Interfaces - Prototypr | Prototyping*. Prototypr.

<https://prototypr.io/post/legibility-in-user-interfaces>

Kumar, S. (2023, March 14). Understanding the Relationship Between DPI, Screen Resolution, and Image Pixel Density in Android Development. *Medium*.

<https://medium.com/@summitkumar/understanding-the-relationship-between-dpi-screen-resolution-and-image-pixel-density-in-android-b0ba27b7307a>

Laufer, B. (1998). The Development of Passive and Active Vocabulary in a Second Language: Same or Different? *Applied Linguistics*, 19(2), 255–271. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/19.2.255>

Lin, H., Wu, F., & Cheng, Y. Y. (2013). Legibility and visual fatigue affected by text direction, screen size and character size on color LCD e-reader. *Displays*, 34(1), 49–58.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.displa.2012.11.006>

Loshin, P. (2021, September 9). *ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange)*. WhatIs.com. <https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/definition/ASCII-American-Standard-Code-for-Information-Interchange>

Lupton, E. (2010). *Thinking with Type, 2nd revised and expanded edition: A Critical Guide for Designers, Writers, Editors, & Students*. Princeton Architectural Press.

MasterClass. (2021). Guide to Latin Typefaces: 9 Types of Latin Typefaces. *MasterClass*. <https://www.masterclass.com/articles/latin-typeface-guide>

Material Design. (n.d.). Material Design. <https://m2.material.io/design/color/text-legibility.html#legibility-standards>

Morson, S. (2015). *Designing for iOS with Sketch*. Apress. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4842-1458-9_1

Mueller, S. T., & Weidemann, C. T. (2012). Alphabetic letter identification: Effects of perceivability, similarity, and bias. *Acta Psychologica*, 139(1), 19–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2011.09.014>

North, A. J., & Jenkins, L. B. (1951). Reading speed and comprehension as a function of typography. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 35(4), 225–228. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0063094>

Pelli, D. G., Burns, C. M., Farell, B., & Moore-Page, D. C. (2006). Feature detection and letter identification. *Vision Research*, 46(28), 4646–4674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2006.04.023>

Perera, R. (2023). 35+ Best Art Nouveau & Art Deco Fonts (Free & Premium) 2023. *Designshack*. <https://designshack.net/articles/inspiration/art-nouveau-deco-fonts/>

Radich, Q., White, S., Parente, J., & Kennedy, J. (2022, May 27). *DPI and device-independent pixels - Win32 apps*. Microsoft Learn. <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/learnwin32/dpi-and-device-independent-pixels>

Rello, L., Pielot, M., & Marcos, M. C. (2016). Make It Big! *Human Factors in Computing Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858204>

Rickner, T. (2016). Part 1: from TrueType GX to Variable Fonts. *Monotype*. <https://userfiles.faso.us/7105/6456.pdf>

Riemersma, T. (n.d.). *Display typography*. <https://www.compuphase.com/scrnfont.htm#:~:text=Computer%20displays%20usually%20have%20a%20resolution%20of%2050,are%20available%20to%20for%20a%20character%20is%20limited.>

Roemer, R. (2018, September 24). *Mechanization of the Printing Press in the 19th Century*. brewminate.com. <https://brewminate.com/mechanization-of-the-printing-press-in-the-19th-century/>

Salingaros, N. A. (2003). The sensory value of ornament. *Communication and Cognition. Monographies*, 36, 331–351. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2004-16748-009>

Sawyer, B. D., Reimer, B., & Chahine, N. (2020). The great typography bake-off: comparing legibility at-a-glance. *Ergonomics*, 63(4), 391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2020.1714748>

Sheedy, J. E., Subbaram, M. V., Zimmerman, A., & Hayes, J. P. (2005). Text Legibility and the Letter Superiority Effect. *Human Factors*, 47(4), 797–815. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872005775570998>

Shimada, J. (2006). The Font War. *Courses.Cs.Washington.Edu*. <https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/csep590/06au/projects/font-wars.pdf>

Soleimani, H., & Mohammadi, E. (2012). The Effect of Text Typographical Features on Legibility, Comprehension, and Retrieval of EFL Learners. *English Language Teaching*, 5(8). <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n8p207>

Statz, P. (2018, February 18). Typeface. *WIRED*. <https://www.wired.com/2010/02/typeface/>

Stocks, E. J. (n.d.).

https://fonts.google.com/knowledge/introducing_type/an_overview_of_latin_type_anatomy.
fonts.google.com

https://fonts.google.com/knowledge/introducing_type/anoverviewoflatintypeanatomy

Team, C. C. (2017, June 11). *What's in a Font? How Fonts Can Define Your Design*. Adobe Blog. <https://blog.adobe.com/en/publish/2017/06/11/whats-in-a-font-how-fonts-can-define-your-design>

Unicode Standard. (n.d.). <https://www.unicode.org/standard/standard.html>

Velarde, O. (2017, November 30). A Visual Guide to the Anatomy of Typography [Infographic]. *Visme*. <https://visme.co/blog/type-anatomy/>

Vellutino, F. R., Fletcher, J. M., Snowling, M. J., & Scanlon, D. M. (2004). Specific reading disability (dyslexia): what have we learned in the past four decades? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(1), 2–40. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0021-9630.2003.00305.x>

Vinot, J.-L., & Athenes, S. (2012). Legible, are you sure?: an experimentation-based typographical design in safety-critical context. *ACM Digital Library*. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/2207676.2208387>

White, R. (2015). *Beginning Scribus*. Apress. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4842-0722-2_3

Williams, G. (2003). Font Creation with FontForge. *TUGboat*, 24. <http://ftp.tug.org/TUGboat/tb24-3/williams.pdf>

Xie, I., & Matusiak, K. K. (2015). Digitization of text and still images. In *Discover Digital Libraries : Theory and Practice*.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B978012417112100003X>

Young, J. (2014). A study of print and computer-based reading to measure and compare rates of comprehension and retention. *New Library World*, *115*(7/8), 376–393.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/nlw-05-2014-0051>

Ziefle, M. (2010). Information presentation in small screen devices: The trade-off between visual density and menu foresight. *Applied Ergonomics*, *41*(6), 719–730.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2010.03.001>

Ali, A. Z. M., Wahid, R., Samsudin, K., & Idris, M. Z. (2013). Reading on the Computer Screen: Does Font Type has Effects on Web Text Readability? *International Education Studies*, *6*(3), p26. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v6n3p26>

Beier, S. (2009). *Typeface Legibility: Towards defining familiarity*. (PhD. Thesis). Royal College of Art, London, UK.

Beier, S., & Larson, K. (2010). Design improvements for frequently misrecognized letters. *Information Design Journal*, *18*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1075/idj.18.2.03bei>

Beier, S., & Larson, K. (2013). How does typeface familiarity affect reading performance and reader preference? *Information Design Journal*, *20*(1), 16–31.

<https://doi.org/10.1075/idj.20.1.02bei>

Bigelow, C., & Holmes, K. (2018). Science and history behind the design of Lucida. *TUGboat*, *39*(3), 204–211.

Boyarski, D., Neuwirth, C., Forlizzi, J., & Regli, S. H. (1998). A study of fonts designed for screen display. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - CHI '98*, 87–94. Los Angeles, California, United States: ACM Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/274644.274658>

Chandra, S., Bokil, P., & Udaya Kumar, D. (2017). Legibility: Same for All Scripts! In A. Chakrabarti & D. Chakrabarti (Eds.), *Research into Design for Communities, Volume 2* (Vol. 66, pp. 833–843). Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3521-0_71

Chung, S. T. L., Mansfield, J. S., & Legge, G. E. (1998). Psychophysics of reading. XVIII. The effect of print size on reading speed in normal peripheral vision. *Vision Research*, 38(19), 2949–2962. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989\(98\)00072-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(98)00072-8)

Forbes, R. (2015). *ScreenType Typography for the screen*.

Hojjati, N., & Muniandy, B. (2014). The Effects of Font Type and Spacing of Text for Online Readability and Performance. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/6122>

International Committee for Display Metrology (Ed.). (2012). *Information Display Measurement Standard* (1.03b). Society for Information Display. <https://doi.org/10.55410/KMNV4563>

Legge, G. E., & Bigelow, C. A. (2011). Does print size matter for reading? A review of findings from vision science and typography. *Journal of Vision*, 11(5), 8–8. <https://doi.org/10.1167/11.5.8>

Legros, L. A., & Grant, J. C. (1916). *Typographical printing-surfaces: the technology and mechanism of their production*. London: Longmans, Green, and Co.

Nielson, J. (2015, November 15). Legibility, Readability, and Comprehension: Making Users Read Your Words. Retrieved from Nielsen Norman Group website: <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/legibility-readability-comprehension/>

Samarawickrama, S. (2017). Anatomy of the Sinhala Letter. *Journal of Engineering and Architecture*. <https://doi.org/10.15640/jea.v5n1a9>

Soleimani, H., & Mohammadi, E. (2012). The Effect of Text Typographical Features on Legibility, Comprehension, and Retrieval of EFL Learners. *English Language Teaching*, 5(8), p207. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n8p207>

Ssmr, S. (2016). *The Anatomy and historical development of Sinhala typefaces*. Retrieved from <http://dl.lib.uom.lk/handle/123/12750>