CASE BASED ANALYSIS ON POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF CHANGING SRI LANKAN OFFICE BUILDINGS TOWARDS GREEN RATED BUILDING DESIGNS

Raigamage Isuri Shanika Ariyarathna

198108K

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2022

CASE BASED ANALYSIS ON POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF CHANGING SRI LANKAN OFFICE BUILDINGS TOWARDS GREEN RATED BUILDING DESIGNS

Raigamage Isuri Shanika Ariyarathna

(198108K)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2022

DECLARATION

Signature of the Supervisor:

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or Diploma in any

other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to

reproduce and distribute my thesis/ dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic

or another medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

Signature:	Date:
The above candidate has carried out research for the	he Masters under my supervision.
Name of the Supervisor: Prof. R. U. Halwatura	
Signature of the Supervisor:	Date:
Name of the Supervisor: Dr. Nina Danilina	

i

Date:

ABSTRACT

Office buildings are one of the main categories of buildings which possess a rapid

growth rate in the context of urbanization. They contribute to a major part of energy

consumption in commercial building sector. Even though, the need of adopting energy-

efficient features arises, the higher initial construction cost and the myth of longer

payback period have been made barriers to this movement. Hence, this study focuses

on identifying possible energy-efficient strategies, and their economic performance

during the life cycle of the building, to perform an Life Cycle Cost (LCC) to compare

the total costs incurred in the conventional office building and a green building and to

select the best design that ensures the lowest overall cost with high-quality functions.

This study consists of 10 randomly selected low-rise office buildings located in the

commercial capital of Sri Lanka, Colombo. Followed by the preliminary survey

conducted, it was recognized that high initial cost and the longer payback period was

the main two hurdles for the office building owners to move towards the energy-

efficient buildings. A conventional office building possesses a 140,000-

200,000LKR/m² of LCC, and a Building Energy Index (BEI) of around 250 kWh/m².

Thereafter, based on a defined energy efficient strategy these buildings were developed

as energy efficient buildings in 4 stages.

Accordingly, it was concluded that stage 4 platinum level energy efficient building is

the best design for a low life cycle cost, and it results in a payback period of 3-4 years,

20%-30% of increment in initial construction cost, 30%-40% increment of

maintenance cost and 20%-25% reduction of building LCC compared to the

conventional office building design.

Keywords: Building Energy Index, Energy, Energy-efficiency, Life Cycle Cost,

Office Buildings

ii

DEDICATION This dissertation is dedicated to my loving parents, siblings, and my husband. For their endless love, support, and encouragement given during this journey

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This journey toward a Master of Science Degree would not have been a success if there were not many individuals supported contributing their valuable time for the success of this project.

I am very much grateful to my research supervisors, Prof. Rangika Halwatura and Dr. Nina Danilina for their constant supervision and guidance given during my research period. Their assistance was a vital part of successfully concluding this research.

This research consists of an extensive literature survey and a background study of green buildings and sustainable construction. I owe my gratitude to a long list of researchers who shared their work in numerous ways. Most importantly, I am very much grateful to the management of the office buildings and those who decided to be a part of my research and share their ideas which made my research a success.

Prof. Kumari Gamage, Dr. Nimal Wijayaratnna, and Dr. Kasun Nandapala of the progress review committee, fellow research assistants at Pro-Green Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa are hereby acknowledged for their appreciation and critical comments which led this research to be more refined. They were crucial in providing a better and a successful output in the end.

Finally, I owe my gratitude to my parents and my family for believing in me, encouraging me, and even supporting me financially when I needed it the most.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Declar	ation	i
Abstra	ct	ii
Dedica	ition	iii
Ackno	wledgement	iv
Table	of content	v
List of	figures	ix
List of	tables	X
List of	abbreviations	X
List of	Appendices	xi
1	Introduction	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Research gap	1
1.3	Aims and Objectives	2
1.4	Methodology	2
1.5	Main findings	3
1.6	Dissertation Structure	3
2	Literature Review	4
2.1	General	4
2.2	Impacts on the environment by the building sector	4
2.3	Operational energy demand by buildings	5
2.4	Office buildings and environmental impacts	8
2.5	Sustainable construction	11
2.6	Life cycle costing of buildings	13
2.7	What hinders to move toward green building construction	15

	2.8	Life cycle cost analysis of green buildings	19
	2.9	Summary	21
3		Development of an energy-efficient office building for a low life cycle co	ost
			22
	3.1	General	22
	3.2	Identification of the research sample	22
	3.2	.1 Focused building sector and the region	22
	3.2	.2 Selection of building design typology	23
	3.3	Sample selection	24
	3.4	Face-to-face interviews held with conventional office buildings	24
	3.5	Employee perception of converting an existing office building into a gro	een
		building	24
	3.6	Life Cycle Cost (LCC) calculation of conventional office buildings	26
	3.6	Definition of the system boundary	26
	3.6	.2 LCC Calculation	27
	3.6	Assumptions based on the LCC calculation	27
	3.7	Energy simulation of conventional office buildings	28
	3.8	Assessing the current green building state of conventional office building	ngs
			31
	3.9	Development of the energy-efficient strategy to improve convention	
		buildings to energy-efficient buildings	31
	3.9	.1 Identification of energy-related features in the GREEN ^{SL®} rating tool	131
	3.9	.2 Development of the stage-wise case development schedule	34
	3.10	Cost calculation for the newly adapted features of the energy-effici	ent
		building	36
	3.11	Construction cost variation at four (4) stages of energy-efficient off	
		buildings	36

3.12	Cost-saving by energy-efficient features at four (4) stages of energy-efficient
	office buildings 37
3.13	Payback periods at the four (4) stages of energy-efficient office buildings 38
3.14	Variation of the annual expenses of the best energy-efficient office building design 39
3.15	Life Cycle Cost (LCC) calculation of the best energy-efficient office building design 39
3.16	Summary 39
4	Performances of developed energy-efficient office building designs 41
4.1	General 41
4.2	Face-to-face interviews held with conventional office buildings 41
4.3	Employee perception of converting an existing office building into an energy- efficient building 42
4.4	Life Cycle Cost (LCC) calculation of conventional office buildings 42
4.5	Energy simulation of conventional office buildings 46
4.6	Assessing the current green building state of conventional office buildings 48
4.7	Construction cost variation at four (4) stages of energy-efficient office buildings 49
4.8	Cost-saving by energy-efficient features at four (4) stages of energy-efficient office buildings 50
4.9	Payback periods at the four (4) stages of energy-efficient office buildings 53
4.10	Variation of the annual operating expenses of stage 4: platinum rated energy efficient office buildings 55
4.11	Life Cycle Cost (LCC) calculation of stage 4: platinum rated energy efficient
	office buildings 58
4.12	Summary 61

5	Conclusions	63
5.1	Conclusions and recommendations	63
5.2	Future work	65
Refere	nces	66
Append	dix	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Portions of buildings and construction in world energy and energy-rela	ited
CO ₂ discharges	6
Figure 2.2: Diversion of global trend drivers in building energy and emissions fr	om
2010-2020	7
Figure 2.3: Life cycle of a building	13
Figure 2.4: Distribution of life cycle cost of an office building	20
Figure 3.1: Dispersion of office building stock in Colombo Metropolitan Region	23
Figure 3.2: System boundary of the study	27
Figure 3.3: Cost analysis guideline for the energy efficient building	38
Figure 4.1: Type I variation from the general distribution of LCC	45
Figure 4.2: Type II variation from the general distribution of LCC	46
Figure 4.3: Variation of the annual maintenance cost of the conventional building	vs.
stage 4: platinum rated energy efficient office buildings (in LKR/m ²)	58

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: CO ₂ emissions by sector during the year 2019	5
Table 2.2: Distribution of BEI ranges of the Colombo office building stock	10
Table 2.3: Construction costs by BREEAM rating tool (cost per gross m ²)	16
Table 2.4: Obstacles encountered during green buildings project management	18
Table 3.1: Construction tab - Data collection for the energy simulation	29
Table 3.2: Activity tab - data collection for the energy simulation	30
Table 3.3: Direct features that are affecting the energy consumption of the building	g32
Table 3.4: Indirect features affecting the energy consumption of the building	33
Table 3.5: Case development schedule	34
Table 3.6: Application of the case development schedule	34
Table 4.1: LCC of conventional office buildings	43
Table 4.2: Energy utilization costs during the life cycle of an office building	43
Table 4.3: LCC of conventional office buildings variation	44
Table 4.4: Modeled conventional buildings using the DesignBuilder software	47
Table 4.5: BEI values of the conventional building cases	48
Table 4.6: Summary of the current green ratings of conventional office buildings	48
Table 4.7: Variation of construction cost with stages of energy efficiency	49
Table 4.8: Construction cost variation at 4 stages of energy-efficient office buildi	ngs
	51
Table 4.9: Annual cost savings at 4 stages of energy-efficient office buildings	(in
millions)	52
Table 4.10: Payback periods at the 4 stages of energy-efficient office buildings	(in
years)	53
Table 4.11: Variation of payback period at 4 stages of energy-efficient office buildi	ngs
(in years)	54
Table 4.12: Variation of the annual operating expenses of stage 4 platinum-ra	ited
energy-efficient office buildings (in LKR)	56
Table 4.13: Variation of LCC between conventional office building vs. stage	4:
platinum rated energy efficient office buildings	59
Table 4.14: Variation of LCC between conventional office buildings Vs. stage	e 4:
platinum rated energy efficient office buildings (LKR/m²)	60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Description

ANOVA Analysis of Variance

BEI Building Energy Index

EFA Exploratory Factor Analysis

GB Green Building

GBCSL Green Building Council of Sri Lanka

LCC Life Cycle Cost

PCA Principal Components Analysis

SEM Structural Equation Model

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Online survey on "what hinders converting your existing

office building to a green building?"