STREAMFLOW VARIABILITY UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS IN KELANI RIVER BASIN, SRI LANKA

Farid Farhat

208350M

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2022

STREAMFLOW VARIABILITY UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS IN KELANI RIVER BASIN, SRI LANKA

Farid Farhat

208350M

Supervised by Dr R. M. J. Bamunawala and

Dr T. M. N. Wijayaratna

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Civil Engineering

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)
Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2022

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text".

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

IIOM Verified Signature

con region signature	29-Apr-2022
Farid Farhat	Date
The above candidate has carried out research for the supervision	Masters thesis under my
UOM Verified Signature	29-Apr-2022
Dr R. M. J. Bamunawala	Date
UOM Verified Signature	29-Apr-2022
Dr T. M. N. Wijayaratna	Date

ABSTRACT

Streamflow Variability under Climate Change Scenarios in Kelani River Basin, Sri Lanka

In recent years, the downstream floodplain of the Kelani River Basin has been suffering from frequent floods. With the current climate change trend, flood-related damages are expected to amplify in future. Therefore, understanding how such extreme events behave in the future is quite essential for the basin planners and managers. Using the output from three global climate models (CNRM-CM6-1, MRI-ESM2-0, EC-Earth3-CC, and their ensemble) from the Sixth Phase of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6), variation of streamflow was evaluated from historical (1985-2014) to mid-century (2030-2059) and late-century (2070-2100) periods under Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs 2-4.5 and 5-8.5). Bias-corrected precipitation and temperature through linear scaling and power transformation, respectively were fed into the HEC-HMS model to project future river discharge. Based on the ensemble model, changes in average annual discharge indicates an increasing trend in future periods under both scenarios considered. Significantly high changes were projected in the late century (25-40 m³/s) compared to the mid-century (15-25 m³/s) under both scenarios. Projected changes in monthly streamflow indicate an increasing trend for wet months (June-December) while a decreasing trend for dry months (January-May). Further, the highest changes in streamflow were identified in the monthly changes (-5 to 60 and -5 to 100 m³/s under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5, respectively). Comparison of seasonal changes shows the highest increase for Southwest Monsoon (40-60 m³/s under both scenarios and future periods) while the highest decrease for First-Inter monsoon (<-10 m³/s under both scenarios and future periods). Moreover, changes in high, median, and low flows (5%, 50%, and 95% percentiles of flow duration curve, respectively) indicate significant changes in high flows compared to the median and low flows. The findings of this study suggest a significant increase in high flows during the Southwest Monsoon that can further threaten the basin with the devastating floods. The results provide important insight for planners and other stakeholders dealing with water resources management in the basin.

Keywords: Streamflow Changes, Climate Change Impact, Shared Socioeconomic Pathway

DEDICATION

My work is dedicated to my dear

Mother and Uncles

Whose affection, love, encouragement, and financial support of each day and night allowed me to accomplish my dreaming master's degree. With my best wishes, I hope a successful and happy life for you all

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Herewith, I would like to convey my sincere gratitude to Dr. R. M. J. Bamunawala, who supervised my work and guided me during the entire process. Working with him was a once-in-life-time opportunity for me. Dr. Bamunawala was not only supportive for the academic workload at any time, but he was also an outstanding motivator in my personal life. His friendly, flexible, and collaborative attitude were among the many of his assets that makes working with him truly enjoyable. The success of this research is mainly attributed to his valuable contribution.

The author also would like to extend his gratitude to the UMCSAWM Director, Professor R. L. H. Rajapakse for his invaluable feedback and many other supports. He was a rich and insightful academic source for me and all my fellows that shaped our research. It was his hard work and time management that lead to the completion of our program during the challenging time of the COVID pandemic.

I would like to thank my mentor, Mr. H.W. Kumarasinghe for his kind assistance during my stay in Sri Lanka. Frankly speaking, he was always there when we had any problem, which made him like a real father. Additionally, I feel honoured to extend my gratitude to all members of the UMCSAWM and Department of Civil Engineering for their support through any means. Finally, my sincere thanks go to the South Asia Foundation for providing me with a fully funded scholarship to achieve my master's degree.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declar	ation	of the Candidate and Supervisor	V
Abstra	ct		VII
Dedica	ition		IX
Ackno	wledg	ement	XI
Table (of con	tents	XIII
List of	figure	es	XVII
List of	tables		XXI
List of	abbre	viations	XXIII
Chapto	er 1		25
1 F	Resear	ch Background	25
1.1	Intro	duction	25
1.2	Prob	lem Statement	27
1.3	Obje	ectives	28
1	.3.1	Main Objective	28
1	.3.2	Specific Objectives	28
Chapto	er 2		29
2 I	literat	ure Review	29
2.1	Glob	oal Climate Model – CMIP6	29
2	.1.1	Climate Change Scenarios - Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs)	30
2	.1.2	Selected GCMs for This Study	32
2.2	Bias	Correction of Climate Data	33
2.3	Hyd	rologic Models	35
2.4	HEC	C-HMS model	36
2.5	Prev	ious Studies Assessed Climate Change Impact on Streamflow	38
Chant	or 3		41

3	Ma	terials and Methods	41
	3.1	Study Area	41
	3.2 I	Data Collection	43
		Data Checking	
	3.3.		
	3.3.		
	3.3. 3.3.	•	
	3.4 N	Methodology	
	3.4.	1 1	
	3.4.	2 HEC-HMS Model	53
	3.5 N	Model Calibration and Validation	62
	3.6 N	Model Performance Metrics	63
	5.0	violet i cironnance ivicules	
Cł	apter 4	4	65
4	Res	ults	65
	4.1 E	Evaluation of Bias-Corrected Data	65
	4.1.		
	4.1.		
	4.2 H	HEC-HMS Model Performance in Calibration and Validation	70
	4.2.		
	4.2.	•	
		Change in Climate	
	4.3.	8 1	
	4.3.		
	4.3.	3 Change in Maximum Temperature	83
	4.4	Change in Hydrology	85
	4.4.	1 Change of Streamflow at Annual Time Scale	85
	4.4.	2 Change of Streamflow at Monthly Time Scale	86
	4.4.		
	4.4.	4 Change in Extreme Streamflow	88
Cł	apter :	5	93
	•		
5	Disc	cussion	93

5.1	Change in Climate	93
5.2	Change in Hydrology	94
5.3	Study's Limitations	95
Chapto	er 6	97
6 (Conclusions	97
Chapto	er 7	99
7 F	Recommendations	99
Bibliog	graphy	101
Annex	ure 1	111
Summ	ary of Initial and Ontimized Parameters in HEC-HMS Model	111

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Parallel Matrix of CMIP6 scenarios	32
Figure 2-2 Conceptual Representation of Soil Moisture Accounting	38
Figure 3-1: Study Area - Kelani Basin	41
Figure 3-2: Thiessen Rainfall Map of Hanwella Watershed	41
Figure 3-3: Daily and Cumulative Daily Rainfall for Each Rain Gauge - Hanwella (a), La	xapana (b)
and Weweltalawa (c) stations	46
Figure 3-4: Double Mass Curve of Each Rain Gauge	47
Figure 3-5 Thiessen Rainfall vs. Hanwella Streamflow	48
Figure 3-6: Boxplot of Monthly Observed Rainfall for each Gauging Station	49
Figure 3-7 Annual Rainfall of Each Rainfall Station	49
Figure 3-8 Annual Water Balance (Water Budget)	50
Figure 3-9: Methodology Flow Chart	52
Figure 3-10: Sub-watersheds with Their Respective Outlets and Stream Network	55
Figure 3-11: Land use Map (a) with Respective Canopy Layer (b) for Watershed	56
Figure 3-12: Land use Map (a) with Respective Canopy Layer (b) for Watershed	56
Figure 3-13: Slope Map (a) and Surface Storage Layer (b) for Watershed	57
Figure 4-1: Boxplot of Monthly Rainfall for Observed, Raw and Bias Corrected Rainfall -	- Daily Data
	67
Figure 4-2:Scatter Plot for GCM vs. Observed Precipitation (a) and Bias Corrected GCM	vs.
Observed Precipitation (b) - Monthly Data	67
Figure 4-3: Probability Density Function (a) and Cumulative Distribution Function (b) for	Observed,
GCM, and Bias Corrected Precipitation - Monthly Data	68
Figure 4-4: Boxplot of Mean Monthly Minimum Temperature of Observed, GCM-derived	l, and Bias
Corrected Data - Daily Data	69
Figure 4-5: Scatter Plot for GCM vs. Observed Minimum Temperature (a) and Bias Corre	cted vs.
Observed Minimum Temperature (b) - Monthly Data	· 70
Figure 4-6: Probability Distribution Function (a) and Cumulative Probability Distribution	(b) for
Observed, GCM, and Bias Corrected Minimum Temperature - Mean Monthly Data	70
Figure 4-7: Simulated and Observed Streamflow with Their Corresponding Flow Duration	1 Curve in
Annual Interval during Model Calibration - Daily Data	72
Figure 4-8: Simulated and Observed Streamflow for the Calibration Period (1997-2001) -	Normal (a)
and Semi-Log (b) Plots, Daily Data	73
Figure 4-9: Simulated and Observed Streamflow Flow Duration Curve for the Calibration	Period
(1997-2001) - Normal (a) and Semi-Log (b) Plots, Daily Data	· 74
Figure 4-10: Simulated and Observed Streamflow for the Validation Period (2002-2006) -	- Normal (a)
and Semi-Log (b) Plots, Daily Data	75

Figure 4-11:Simulated and Observed Streamflow Flow Duration Curve for the Validation Period
(2002-2006) – Normal (a) and Semi-Log (b) Plots, Daily Data7
Figure 4-12: Observed and Simulated Streamflow during Model Calibration (a) and Validation (b) –
Monthly Data7
Figure 4-13: Change in Inter-Annual Precipitation under SSP2-4.5 (a) and SSP5-8.5 (b) for Mid-
Century (2030-2059) and Late-Century (2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)
Figure 4-14: Change in Monthly Precipitation under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-8.5 (c and d) for
Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period (2070-2099)
concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)7
Figure 4-15: Change in Seasonal Precipitation under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-8.5 (c and d) for
Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period (2070-2099)
concerning (1985-2014)8
Figure 4-16: Change in Mean Annual Minimum Temperature (T _{min}) under SSP2-4.5 (a) and SSP5-8.5
(b) for Mid-Century (2030-2059) and Late-Century (2070-2099) concerning Reference Period
(1985-2014)8
Figure 4-17: Change in Monthly Minimum Temperature (T _{min}) under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-
8.5 (c and d) for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period
(2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)8
Figure 4-18: Change in Seasonal Minimum Temperature (T _{min}) under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-
8.5 (c and d) for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period
(2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)8
Figure 4-19: Change in Mean Annual Maximum Temperature (T _{max}) under SSP2-4.5 (a) and SSP5-8.
(b) for Mid-Century (2030-2059) and Late-Century (2070-2099) concerning Reference Period
(1985-2014)8
Figure 4-20: Change in Monthly Maximum Temperature (T _{max}) under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-
8.5 (c and d) for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period
(2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)8
Figure 4-21: Change in Seasonal Maximum Temperature (T _{max}) under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-
8.5 (c and d) for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period
(2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)8
Figure 4-22: Change in Mean Annual Streamflow under SSP2-4.5 (a) and SSP5-8.5 (b) for Mid-
Century (2030-2059) and Late-Century (2070-2099) concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)
Figure 4-23: Change in Mean Monthly Streamflow under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-8.5 (c and d)
for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period (2070-2099)
concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)

Figure 4-24: Change in Mean Seasonal Streamflow under SSP2-4.5 (a and b) and SSP5-8.5 (c and d)
for Mid-Century (a and c) Period (2030-2059) and Late-Century (b and d) Period (2070-2099)
concerning Reference Period (1985-2014) 88
Figure 4-25: Flow Duration Curve for CNRM (a), MRI (b), EARTH (c), and Ensemble Model (d) for
the Historical (1985-2014), Mid-Century (2030-2059), and Late-Century Period (2070-2099)
under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 91
Figure 4-26: Probability Density Function with its Corresponding Cumulative Distribution Function
for CNRM (a and b), MRI (c and d), EARTH (e and f), and Ensemble Model (g and h) for the
Historical (1985-2014), Mid-Century (2030-2059), and Late-Century Period (2070-2099) under
SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 92

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Observed Hydroclimatic and Geospatial Data	43
Table 3-2: Coordinates of all Gauging Stations with Their Corresponding Data Type	44
Table 3-3: Meta Data of Climate Models	44
Table 3-4: Summary of Missing Days of Hydrometric Data (1985-2014)	45
Table 3-5: Summary of the Methods Used and Estimation of Initial Parameters for the Correspondent	onding
Method	54
Table 3-6: Canopy Storage Values for Different Land Use Type	55
Table 3-7: Surface Storage Values for Various Slope Classes	56
Table 3-8: Summary of Canopy and Surface Storage of Sub-basins	57
Table 3-9: Calculation of Lag Time for Each Sub-basin	58
Table 3-10: Initial Values of Soil Moisture Accounting Parameters Used for Model Calibration	59
Table 3-11: Recommended Range of Some Soil Moisture Accounting Parameters Used for Par	ameter
Optimization and Model Calibration	60
Table 3-12: Calculation of Travel Time (K) for Muskingum Routing	60
Table 3-13: Depth Weight and Time Weight of each Rain Gauge for the Corresponding Sub-ba	sin 61
Table 3-14: Recommended Range of Various Objective Functions	63
Table 4-1: Statistical Comparison of Observed, Raw and Bias Corrected Precipitation – Monthle	ly Data
	66
Table 4-2: Determination of Objective Functions for Observed, GCM Rainfall and Bias Correc	ted
Data – Monthly Data	66
Table 4-3: Statistical Comparison of Observed, GCM-derived and Bias-Corrected Minimum	
Temperature – Monthly Data	68
Table 4-4: Objective Functions for the Model Calibration (1997-2001) and Validation (2002-20)06) –
Daily Data	71
Table 4-5: Objective Functions for the Model Calibration (1997-2001) and Validation (2002-20)06) –
Monthly Data	76
Table 4-6: Streamflow Classification Based on Flow Duration Curve (High (Q5)), Median (Q5)	0), and
Low Flows (Q95)) under the Corresponding Scenarios and Models for the Historical (198	35-
2014), Mid-Century (2030-2059), and Late-Century Period (2070-2099)	90
Table 4-7: Change in Streamflow Categories (High (Q5)), Median (Q50), and Low Flows (Q95	5))
under the Corresponding Scenarios and Models for the Mid-Century (2030-2059) and La	te-
Century Period (2070-2099) Concerning Reference Period (1985-2014)	90
Table 7-1: Summary of Initial and Ontimized Parameters Used in HEC-HMS	111

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR Assessment Report

CDS Climate Data Store

CMIP Coupled Model Intercomparison Project

CMhyd Climate Model for Hydrologic Modelling

ESGF Earth System Grid Federation

GCM Global Climate Model

IAM Integrated Assessment Model

IAV Impact Adaption Vulnerability

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

KRB Kelani River Basin

RCM Regional Climate Model

RCP Representative Concentration Pathway

SSP Shared Socioeconomic Pathway

WGCM Working Group of Coupled Modelling

WRCP World Climate Research Program