

COST IMPLICATIONS OF ADOPTING EUROCODES
FOR
STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE BRIDGES
IN SRI LANKA

Ajith Nishantha Abeysuriya

168901H

Master of Science in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2022

COST IMPLICATIONS OF ADOPTING EUROCODES
FOR
STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE BRIDGES
IN SRI LANKA

Ajith Nishantha Abeysuriya

168901H

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

January 2022

Declaration

Declaration, copyright statement and the statement of the supervisor

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)”.

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Professor M. T. R Jayasinghe

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Abstract

Keywords – Traffic loads, bridge deck types, composite bridges, deck cost, cost comparison

Construction of concrete bridges gained popularity after the introduction of pre-stressed concrete in 1950s, due to low cost of maintenance and locally available construction material. The concrete bridge industry was developed, so that reinforced and pre-stressed concrete bridges were designed and constructed in Sri Lanka.

Steel being imported material that require maintenance, steel bridge industry was not developed. Although with present high-grade steel, durable protective systems, steel composite bridges offer competitive designs for longer spans, locations with poor ground conditions, accessibility problems due to congested traffic and remote locations.

BS5400-2 (1978) and R.D.A Bridge design Manual remained as the main bridge design standards during past few decades up-to-date. Pre-tensioned beams used in the road network are still produced, and bridges are designed for these standards. BS design standard need to be replaced with Eurocode standard since BS standards will not be updated in future. This study selected UK National Annex for traffic loads (NA to BSEN 1991-2:2003) to compare with current BS 5400-2 loading adopted in Sri Lanka.

Standard simply supported bridge decks with two traffic lanes (carriageway 7.4m) and two footways on either side considered in this study. Standard pre-tensioned concrete beam deck spans 9.04m – 24.54m and composite designs made for this study for spans 31.5m – 50m considered in the comparison of traffic loads of BS5400-2 (1978 & 2006) and Eurocode UK National Annex. Culverts and existing bridge span up to 9.0m were not considered to maintain the simplicity of this study.

In order to compare deck costs, existing concrete decks were estimated based on Highway Schedule of Rates (HSR) and past construction data. Designs and estimates were prepared for recommended UKNA loading for steel composite bridges for spans 11.5m – 50m. The cost information provided from a steel fabricator and published data together with past construction data were considered in the estimation of composite decks.

This study recommends suitable UKNA loading, to replace current BS loading and identifies economical span range for steel composite bridges designed, when compared with existing concrete bridge types for prices prevailed in 2020. Identical costing process could be repeated with latest prices and HSR rates to for comparison of cost between concrete and steel concrete composite decks in future years.

I dedicate this thesis to my loving parents and family.

Acknowledgements

The Author expresses his sincere gratitude to the following.

Head, Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa for giving an opportunity to follow the M.Eng. Degree course,

Supervisor of this project Professor M. T. R Jayasinghe, for his guidance, allowing access to software, and advice throughout the project, and critical assessment and refinement of the dissertation,

Advice of Dr. H. G. H Damruwan and Dr. Lakshitha Fernando in assessment and refinement of the dissertation,

Assistance provided by the computer laboratory staff of Moratuwa Civil Engineering Department,

Road Development Authority for sponsoring, and allowing me to use standard drawings and cost data for this work,

State Development and Construction Company, and Dock Yard General Engineering services providing me with cost data required for this research,

Assistance extended to me by the staff of Design Offices of Ratnapura and Bridge Designs office of Road Development Authority in preparation of my thesis.

Table of contents

	Page
DECLARATION PAGE OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Research Problem	5
1.3 Aim and Objectives	5
1.4 Scope of Research	7
1.5 Significance of the Research	8
1.6 Presentation of Chapters	9
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE SURVEY	11
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Bridge loading	14
2.2.1 BS5400 and Euro Code	14
2.2.2 Application of Highway Bridge Loading	15
2.2.2.1 BS 5400 Part2 1978 Lane Information	16
2.2.2.2 BS 5400 highway loads (live loads)	16

2.2.2.3	HA Loading	16
2.2.2.4	HB Loading	17
2.2.2.5	HA, HB loading combinations and RDA Bridge design manual	18
2.2.2.6	Load Combinations	19
2.2.3	BS 5400 Part2 2006 Loading	19
2.2.3.1	Lane Information	19
2.2.3.2	HA Loading (UDL)	20
2.2.4	Eurocode Loading & UK National Annex loading		
2.2.4.1	Lane information	21
2.2.4.2	Vertical loads	21
2.2.4.3	Horizontal forces	26
2.2.4.4	Groups of traffic loads	26
2.2.4.5	Application of special vehicle load models (LM3) and LM1 on carriage way.....		28
2.2.4.6	Pedestrian load	28
2.2.4.7	Groups of Highway loads	29
2.2.4.8	Eurocode Combinations of Actions. Design Basis	29
2.3	Precast slab bridges.	30
2.3.1	Precast solid slab bridges	30
2.3.2	Precast solid bridges with void forms	32
2.3.3	Precast M beam type bridges	33
2.4	Types of steel composite bridges	34
2.4.1	Multi-girder deck slab with rolled beams	36
2.4.2	multi-girder deck slab with plate girders	37
2.4.3	Ladder deck with composite concrete slab	38
2.4.4	Design details of plate girders	42
2.4.4.1	Stiffeners and end posts	42

2.4.4.2	Intermediate transverse stiffener	43
2.4.4.3	Load bearing stiffener	43
2.4.4.4	End Post	44
2.5	Analysis of bridge decks	44
2.5.1	Suitability of Midas Civil for Modelling	46
2.5.1.1	Frequently used design features of Midas Civil	48
2.5.1.2	Design of Composite Plate Girder to EN1994 – 2 by Midas Civil	49
2.6	Previous research in to review of highway loading	50
2.7	Knowledge gaps	50
2.8	Conclusions from Literature Survey	51

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 54

3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Research Process	55
3.3	3D modelling and design of different types of bridge decks	59
3.3.1	Modelling considerations	59
3.3.2	Models of Midas Civil	59
3.3.3	Models for comparison of traffic loads.	63
3.3.3.1	Model for 9.5m long (9.04m span) deck	63
3.3.3.2	Model for 16.5m long (16.04m span) deck	65
3.3.3.3	Model for 25m long (24.04m span) deck	66
3.3.3.4	Model for 31.5m span Multi plate girder steel concrete composite deck	68
3.3.3.5	Model for 40m span Ladder deck plate girder	69
3.3.3.6	Model for 50m span Ladder deck plate girder	71

3.3.3.7	Ladder deck models 40m and 50m	72
3.4	Design of steel concrete composite decks for cost calculations	73
3.4.1	Design Parameters	73
3.4.2	Design Models of Steel concrete composite decks.	74
3.4.2.1	Span 11.5m	76
3.4.2.2	Span 17.5m	77
3.4.2.3	Span 31.5m	78
3.4.2.4	Span 40m	78
3.4.2.5	Span 50m (model with dummy beams)	78
3.5	Chapter summary	80

CHAPTER 4 COMPARISON OF BS 5400

AND EUROCODE (UKNA) LOADING 81

4.1	Introduction	82
4.2	Main loading cases	83
4.2.1	Comparison of traffic loads and combinations	83
4.2.2	Load cases of Midas Civil	86
4.3	Examples of loading effects	87
4.3.1	Maximum bending moment (BS NA EN 1991-2, LM1 & LM3 SV80)	88
4.3.2	Maximum bending moment (BS 5400 2006-2, HA)	89
4.3.3	Maximum bending moment (BS 5400-2, 1978 & 2006)	91
4.4	Examples of loading effects – Maximum Shear	92
4.4.1	Maximum Shear (BS 5400-2, 2006)	92
4.4.2	Maximum Shear (BS NA EN 1991-2, LM1 & LM3 SV100)	93
4.4.3	Maximum Shear (BS 5400-1978, HA)	94

4.5	Maximum bending moment for different spans.....	96
4.5.1	Maximum bending moment for span 9.04m	97
4.5.1.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 9.04m	99
4.5.2	Maximum bending for 16.5m deck (span 16.04m)	100
4.5.2.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 16.04m	102
4.5.3	Maximum bending for 25m deck (span 24.54m)	103
4.5.3.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 24.54m	105
4.5.4	Maximum bending for composite	
	plate girder deck span 31.5m	106
4.5.4.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 31.5m	108
4.5.5	Maximum bending for composite plate girder	
	deck span 40m	109
4.5.5.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 40m Ladder deck	111
4.5.6	Maximum bending for composite plate girder	
	deck span 50m	112
4.5.6.1	Lateral distribution of bending moment	
	mid span 50m Ladder deck	114
4.5.7	Summary maximum bending moments	115
4.6	Influence of different loadings on bending	118
4.6.1	Review of local RDA standard for maximum	

	bending moment	118
4.6.2	Analysis of Maximum Bending Moment	120
4.7	Effect on maximum shear	123
4.7.1	Span 9.04m (Solid slab deck)	126
4.7.2	Span 16.04m (Voided slab deck 16.5m)	128
4.7.3	Span 24.54m (M beam & slab deck 25m)	130
4.7.4	Span 31.5m (Composite plate girder deck)	132
4.7.5	Span 40m (Lader deck)	134
4.7.6	Span 50m (Ladder deck)	136
4.8	Summary of maximum shear	137
4.9	Influence of different loadings on shear	138
4.9.1	Review of local RDA standard for maximum shear	139
4.9.2	Analysis of Maximum shear force	140
4.10	Summary of findings	142

CHAPTER 5

DESIGN OF STEEL COMPOSITE BRIDGES

TO EURO CODE (UKNA) FOR COST ESTIMATES.....145

5.1	Introduction	146
5.2	Design information	147
5.2.1	Composite deck types	147
5.2.1.1	Multi girder bridges	147
5.2.1.2	Simply supported plate girder ladder deck	147
5.2.2	Design	147
5.2.2.1	Actions on deck	147
5.2.2.2	Loads considered for designs	148
5.2.2.2.1	Horizontal loads	148

5.2.2.2.2	Vertical loads	148
5.2.2.2.3	Temperature gradient	148
5.2.2.3	Other design considerations	149
5.2.2.3.1	Shear lag effect	149
5.2.2.3.2	Fatigue	149
5.3	Design Reports and Drawings of composite decks	150
5.3.1	Span 11.5m – Universal beam deck	151
5.3.2	Span 17.5m – Universal beam deck	156
5.3.3	Span 31.5m – Steel multi plate girder deck	161
5.3.4	Span 40m – Plate girder ladder deck	168
5.3.5	Span 50m – Plate girder ladder deck	175

CHAPTER 6 COSTING OF BRIDGE DECKS 181

6.1	Introduction	182
6.2	Methodology	183
6.3	Costing of concrete decks	183
6.3.1	Pre-tensioned beam concrete decks	183
6.3.2	Post-tensioned beam concrete decks	185
6.4	Costing of steel concrete composite decks	187
6.4.1	Methods of Costing of steel bridges (designed to Eurocode in chapter 5)	188
6.4.1.1	Preliminary bridge estimating tool	189
6.4.1.2	SCI lecture notes Cost/tonne for steel girder bridges for UK practice by Evans, J. (1995) compared with Preliminary bridge estimating tool	189
6.4.2	Estimates from actual cost data	191
6.4.3	Imported Structures (Marbey UK steel bridges) with steel deck plates erected in Ratnapura division	198

6.5	Cost comparison – Conclusions	200
-----	-------------------------------	-------	-----

CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS 202

7.1	Summary	203
7.2	Main findings	204
7.3	Recommended future applications	206
7.4	Recommendation for Future Research	208

LIST OF REFERENCES210

APPENDIX A

Effective flange width of design models	217
Fatigue assessment of shear stud connections	218

APPENDIX B

Critical load combinations in designs	219
---------------------------------------	-------	-----

APPENDIX C

Cost analysis of pre-tensioned beam decks	233
---	-------	-----

APPENDIX D

Cost analysis of post-tensioned beams and decks	248
---	-------	-----

APPENDIX E

Kallady bridge drawings	257
-------------------------	-------	-----

APPENDIX F

Estimation of composite decks as per rates submitted by
Dock Yard General Engineering services260

APPENDIX G

Recasting of Estimates -Steel composite bridges
Based on rates of Chinese contractor for Kinniya Bridge263

APPENDIX H

Recasting of Estimates -Steel composite bridges
Based on rates of Kalanisiri Bridge by State Engineering Corporation270

APPENDIX I

Cost Estimates of Steel composite bridges
Preliminary Bridge estimating tool287

APPENDIX J

Connection details of Ladder decks.....297

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1 HA udl distribution (BS5400 part2 1978)
- Figure 2.2 HB vehicle (Axle and wheel positions)
- Figure 2.3 HA udl distribution (BS5400 part2 2006)
- Figure 2.4 Application of load model 1 (LM1)
- Figure 2.5 Load Model LM2
- Figure 2.6 SV80 Model
- Figure 2.7 SV100 Model
- Figure 2.8 Two lane solid slab deck. 7.085m (smallest length) cross section
- Figure 2.9 Two lane solid slab deck. 11.5m (largest length) cross section
- Figure 2.10 Two lane voided slab-deck 13.5m (smallest length) cross section
- Figure 2.11 Two lane voided slab-deck 19.0m (largest length) cross section
- Figure 2.12 Two Lane beam and slab deck span 25.0m M Beam
- Figure 2.13 Steel concrete composite deck
- Figure 2.14 Intermediate temporary bracing
- Figure 2.15 Ladder deck
- Figure 2.16 Universal beam multi girder deck abutment section connected with beam type bracing.
- Figure 2.17 “V” type permanent bracing on abutment/intermediate support
- Figure 2.18 Paired permanent bracing
- Figure 2.19 Lapped connection between cross girder and main girder
- Figure 2.20 Spliced connection with double cover plates for diaphragm
- Figure 2.21 Spliced connection with stub flange
- Figure 2.22 Cantilever launching of ladder deck (with nose attached)
- Figure 2.23 End post subjected to shear stress and intermediate stiffener subjected to direct bending stress
- Figure 2.24 Tension field action and formation of plastic hinges
- Figure 2.25 Rigid and non-rigid end post

- Figure 3.1 Research Process.
- Figure 3.2 Application of element loads on centroidal line (top) and application of nodal loads on nodes created at an offset with insertion point (bottom)
- Figure 3.3 Application of rigid links on support to model bearings at correct level
- Figure 3.4 3D model 9.04m span
- Figure 3.5 Composite deck slab element 9.04m span
- Figure 3.6 Transverse deck element 9.04m span
- Figure 3.7 Composite deck slab 9.04m span
- Figure 3.8 Composite deck section 16.04m span
- Figure 3.9 Transverse element 16.04m span
- Figure 3.10 3D model 16.04m span
- Figure 3.11 Composite deck slab 16.04m span
- Figure 3.12 24.54m span model
- Figure 3.13 24.54m span M beam Composite deck section
- Figure 3.14 24.54m span Transverse slab Member
- Figure 3.15 M beam and slab Bridge deck
- Figure 3.16 Composite plate girder deck 31.5m span
- Figure 3.17 Model for span 31.5m composite plate girder
- Figure 3.18 31.5m span Composite deck section
- Figure 3.19 31.5m span Transverse member
- Figure 3.20 Ladder deck span 40m
- Figure 3.21 Model ladder deck span 40m
- Figure 3.22 Composite deck section 40m span
- Figure 3.23 Transverse cantilever element 40m span
- Figure 3.24 Transverse cross beam elements between two main girders 40m span
- Figure 3.25 Ladder deck span 50m
- Figure 3.26 Model for ladder deck span 50m
- Figure 3.27 Composite girders 50m span
- Figure 3.28 Transverse cantilever element 50m span

- Figure 3.29 Transverse cross beam elements between two main girders 50m span
- Figure 3.30 Span 11.5m during erection and completed structure
- Figure 3.31 Composite girder 11.5m span
- Figure 3.32 Transverse element 11.5m span
- Figure 3.33 Span 17.5m during erection and completed structure
- Figure 3.34 Composite girder 17.5m span
- Figure 3.35 Transverse element 17.5m span
- Figure 3.36 50m Deck model with dummy beams
- Figure 3.37 Composite girder 50m span
- Figure 3.38 Dummy girder 50m span
- Figure 3.39 Cross girder non composite
-
- Figure 4.1 Distribution of maximum bending moment 16.5m long deck
- Figure 4.2 Bending moment distribution in 2nd beam from the edge of the deck
- Figure 4.3 Traffic load distribution corresponding to Maximum Bending moment
- Figure 4.4 Distribution of maximum bending moment deck span 31.5m
- Figure 4.5 Maximum bending moment distribution 2nd beam from edge of the deck
- Figure 4.6 HA traffic load distribution corresponding to Maximum bending moment
- Figure 4.7 Distribution of maximum bending moment of ladder deck span 50m
- Figure 4.8 Moment distribution in a beam.
- Figure 4.9 HB30 vehicle position corresponding to maximum bending moment
- Figure 4.10 Maximum shear force distribution 9.5m long concrete deck
- Figure 4.11 HA & HB30 positions for shear node 80j
- Figure 4.12 Maximum shear force distribution 25m concrete M beam and slab deck
- Figure 4.13 Maximum shear force distribution of the critical 2nd beam from edge
- Figure 4.14 Application of traffic loads for LM1 & LM3 100
- Figure 4.15 Distribution of maximum shear force (span 40m)
- Figure 4.16 HA load corresponding to maximum shear force at SLS.
- Figure 4.17 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 9.04m

- Figure 4.18 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 16.04m
- Figure 4.19 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 24.54m
- Figure 4.20 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 31.5m
- Figure 4.21 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 40m ladder deck
- Figure 4.22 Bending moment lateral distribution mid span of 50m ladder deck
- Figure 4.23 Maximum bending moment diagram of traffic loads with span
- Figure 4.24 Shear force distribution support j span 9.04m
- Figure 4.25 Shear force distribution support j span 16.04m
- Figure 4.26 Shear force distribution support j span 24.54m
- Figure 4.27 Shear force distribution support j span 31.5m
- Figure 4.28 Shear force distribution support j span 40m
- Figure 4.29 Shear force distribution support j span 50m
- Figure 4.30 Maximum Shear force diagram of traffic loads with span
-
- Figure 5.1 Steel concrete composite bridge deck span 11.5m (cross section, bracing and bearing details)
- Figure 5.2 Steel concrete composite bridge deck span 17.5m (cross section and bracing details)
- Figure 5.3 Steel concrete composite bridge deck span 17.5m (shear stud, bearing and splice details)
- Figure 5.4 Deck span 31.5m with plate girders.
- Figure 5.5 Steel concrete composite deck span 31.5m (deck cross section, plate girder, bracing, shear connection, splice details)
- Figure 5.6 Steel concrete composite deck span 31.5m splices, shear stud arrangement & bearing details
- Figure 5.7 Ladder deck 40m bare steel section during construction and completed composite structure.
- Figure 5.8 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 40m (steel girder plan and elevation)

- Figure 5.9 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 40m (cross section, cross frame, shear stud details)
- Figure 5.10 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 50m (main girder, plan, elevation, end post, shear stud details)
- Figure 5.11 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 50m (Deck cross section, cross frame details, shear stud details)
-
- Figure 6.1 Pretension concrete beam deck cost /sqm
- Figure 6.2 Cost (basic rates) of pre-stressed concrete bridge decks Rs/sqm
- Figure 6.3 Steel structure cost Rs/sqm (with profit)
- Figure 6.4 Steel structure cost envelope Rs/sqm (with profit)
- Figure 6.5 Cost envelope with erection (with profit) composite deck
- Figure 6.6 Cost envelopes of steel composite decks and concrete decks
-
- Figure B1.1 Load combination 5 for maximum shear on support. (39i, 48j) span 11.5m
- Figure B1.2 Shear force diagram LC5 (maximum moving load shear shown on 48j) span 11.5m
- Figure B1.3 Traffic loading (Load Case 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 on straddling lanes span 11.5m
- Figure B1.4 Load combination 5 for maximum shear on support (86i, 103j) span 17.5m
- Figure B1.5 Shear force diagram LC5 (maximum moving load shear shown on 86i, 103j) span 17.5m
- Figure B1.6 Traffic loading (Load Case 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 on straddling lanes span 17.5m
- Figure B1.7 Load combination 5 for maximum shear on support (114i, 138j) Span 31.5m
- Figure B1.8 Shear force diagram LC5 (maximum moving load shear shown on 138j) Span 31.5m
- Figure B1.9 Traffic loading (Load Case 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 on straddling lanes Span 31.5m

- Figure B1.10 Load combination 5 for maximum shear on support (57j) Span 40m Ladder deck.
- Figure B1.11 Shear force diagram LC5 (maximum moving load shear shown on 57j) Span 40m Ladder deck
- Figure B1.12 Traffic loading (Load Case 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 on stradling lanes Ladder deck Span 40m
- Figure B1.13 Load combination 5 for maximum shear on support (91j) Span 50m Ladder deck
- Figure B1.14 Shear force diagram LC5 (maximum moving load shear shown on 91j) Span 50m Ladder deck
- Figure B1.15 Traffic loading (Load Case 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 on stradling lanes Ladder deck Span 50m
-
- Figure B2.1 Load combination 9 for maximum midspan bending moment (43j, 44i) Span 11.5m
- Figure B2.2 Bending Moment diagram Load Case 9, Span 11.5m
- Figure B2.3 Traffic loading (Load Case 9) LM1 & LM3SV100 on separate lanes applied Span 11.5m.
- Figure B2.4 Load combination 11 for maximum midspan bending moment (76j, 77i) Span 17.5m
- Figure B2.5 Bending Moment diagram Load Case 11 Span 17.5m
- Figure B2.6 Traffic loading (Load Case 11) LM1 & FW applied Span 17.5m
- Figure B2.7 Bending Moment diagram Load Combination 5 Span 31.5m
- Figure B2.8 Traffic loading (Load combination 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 strading applied Span 31.5m
- Figure B2.9 Bending Moment diagram Load Combination 5. Span 40m Ladder deck
- Figure B2.10 Traffic loading (Load combination 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 strading applied Span 40m Ladder deck
- Figure B2.11 Bending Moment diagram Load Combination 5 Span 50m Ladder deck

Figure B2.12 Traffic loading (Load combination 5) LM1 & LM3SV100 strading applied.
Span 50m Ladder deck

Figure E1.1 Kallady Bridge – Plan, Elevation and Cross section

Figure E1.2 Kallady Bridge – Deck Details

Figure E1.3 Details of the Box Beam

Figure J1.1 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 40m (splice – S1 and at A, cross girder details)

Figure J1.2 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 40m (splice S2)

Figure J2.1 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 50m (splice S1 and splice A)

Figure J2.2 Steel concrete composite Ladder deck span 50m (splice S2)

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Number of notional lanes for carriage way BS5400 Part2 1978
Table 2.2	Number of notional lanes for carriage way BS5400 Part2 2006
Table 2.3	Load Model 1 (LM1) values for Eurocode and UK National Annex
Table 2.4	Groups of traffic loads (EN 1991-2:2003, Table 4.4a)
Table 2.5	Groups of traffic loads (UKNA to EN 1991-2:2003, Table NA.3)
Table 2.6	Summary of Model types supported in Midas Civil.
Table 3.1	Partial factors
Table 3.2	Summary of model details – Steel concrete composite designs
Table 4.1	Traffic loads comparison BS 5400 part2 1978 and UK NA to EN1991-2
Table 4.2	Comparison of groups of traffic loads NA to BSEN 1991-2 with BS 5400-2
Table 4.3	Main loading cases as applied to Midas Civil software
Table 4.4	Maximum bending moment for 9.5m deck (span 9.04m)
Table 4.5	Maximum bending for 16.5m deck (span 16.04m)
Table 4.6	Maximum bending for 25m deck (span 24.54m)
Table 4.7	Maximum bending for span 31.5m
Table 4.8	Maximum bending for ladder deck span 40m
Table 4.9	Maximum bending for ladder deck span 50m
Table 4.10	Summary Maximum bending moments
Table 4.11a	Comparison of Maximum Bending moments HA 1978 and HB30 straddling on centre line
Table 4.11b	Comparison of Eurocode (UKNA) with BS 5400 part2 maximum bending moments.
Table 4.12a	Maximum shear force on support i due to traffic load.
Table 4.12b	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 9.04m. LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)

Table 4.12c	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 16.04m LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)
Table 4.12d	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 24.54m LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)
Table 4.12e	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 31.5m LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)
Table 4.12f	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 40m LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)
Table 4.12g	Maximum shear force on support j due to LM1& LM3. Span 50m LM1 UKNA ψ_1 (LM1 TS 0.75, LM1 UDL 0.75)
Table 4.13	Comparison of Maximum Shear force HA 1978 and HB30 straddling on centre line
Table 4.14	- Comparison of Eurocode (UKNA) with BS 5400 part2 maximum shear force on support.
Table 5.1	Design Summary 11.5m deck
Table 5.2	Design Summary 17.5m deck
Table 5.3	Design Summary of 31.5m plate girder
Table 5.4	Design summary 40m Ladder Deck
Table 5.5	Design summary 50m Ladder Deck
Table 6.1	Cost breakdown of pre-tensioned beam decks 2020 HSR rates.
Table 6.2	Cost breakdown of post-tensioned beam decks 2020 HSR rates.
Table 6.3	Cost breakdown of steel girder bridge
Table 6.4	Steel Deck Cost & cost breakdown Cost Rs/sqm
Table 6.5	Cost breakdown of estimates to Euro code.
Table 6.6	Cost of imported galvanized bridges imported from UK within last seven years.

Table A.1	Effective width of concrete flanges in computer models
Table C1	Estimate 7.1m deck
Table C2	Estimate 9.5m deck
Table C3	Estimate 13.5m deck
Table C4	Estimate 19.0m deck
Table C5	Estimate 25.0m deck
Table D1	Post-tensioned beam 30m (estimated for 2020 HSR rates)
Table D2	Katugasthota bridge post-tensioned girders 32.5m long (estimated with 2020 rates).
Table D3	Kallady Bridge post-tensioned Box girders 48m long (estimated to 2020 HSR rates)
Table F1	Estimate of cost of Ladder Deck and Plate I girder decks as per rates of Dock Yard General Engineering Services
Table F2	Estimate of cost of rolled beam decks as per rates of Dock Yard General Engineering Services
Table H1	Details of Kalanisiri Bridge submitted by State Engineering Corporation
Table H2	Cost Estimate 50m Ladder Deck, Rates of State Engineering Corporation.
Table H3	Cost Estimate 40m Ladder Deck, Rates of State Engineering Corporation
Table H4	Cost Estimate 31.5m Plate girder Deck, Rates of State Engineering Corporation
Table H5	Cost Estimate 17.5 m UB composite Deck, Rates of State Engineering Corporation

Table H6	Cost Estimate 11.5 m UB composite Deck, Rates of State Engineering Corporation
Table I1	Cost Estimate 50m Ladder Deck, Preliminary Estimating tool (Steel ton = US\$950 + 20% profit = US\$ 1150)
Table I2	Cost Estimate 40m Ladder Deck. Preliminary Estimating tool (Steel ton = US\$950 + 20% profit = US\$ 1150)
Table I3	Cost Estimate 31.5m Plate Girder Bridge. Preliminary Estimating tool (Steel ton = US\$950 + 20% profit = US\$ 1150)
Table I4	Cost Estimate 17.5m UB Deck. Preliminary Estimating tool (Steel ton = US\$950 + 20% profit = US\$ 1150)
Table I5	Cost Estimate 11.5m Deck. Preliminary Estimating tool (Steel ton = US\$950 + 20% profit = US\$ 1150)

List of Abbreviations

BS – British Standard

BCSA – British constructional steel work association

BOQ – Bill of Quantities

EC – Euro Code

ELS - Engineering & Laboratory Services (Pvt) Ltd, Sri Lanka

HSR – Highway schedule of Rates. Document published by Road Development Authority for each province that gives rates for construction of roads, bridges and highway structures

ICTAD – Institute for Construction Training and Development, Sri Lanka

KEL – Knife edge load BS 5400 part 2

RDA – Road Development Authority, Sri Lanka

SD&CC – State development and Construction Corporation, Sri Lanka

SEC – State Engineering Corporation, Sri Lanka

SLS – Serviceability Limit State

SOV – Special Order Vehicles

SV – Special Vehicles

TS – Tandem System

UDL, udl – Uniformly distributed load

UK – United Kingdom

UKNA – National Annex for United Kingdom

ULS – Ultimate Limit State

US \$ - United States Dollar

UB – Universal steel beam section

SLSEN – Eurocode National Annex for Sri Lanka

SCI – Steel Construction Institution, UK

Sqm – square meters

Rs – Sri Lankan rupees

“ +” together with