

**REPRESENTATION OF A REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN  
CODE AS AN OBJECT ORIENTED MODEL**

**M.Phil. Thesis**

**W J B Shiromal Fernando**

**UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA**

**SRI LANKA**

**May 2010**

**REPRESENTATION OF A REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN  
CODE AS AN OBJECT ORIENTED MODEL**

**by**

**W J B Shiromal Fernando**

**A thesis submitted to University of Moratuwa**

**for the Degree of Master of Philosophy**



**Research supervised**

**by**

**Professor Priyan Dias**

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**

**UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA**

**MORATUWA**

**SRI LANKA**

**May 2010**

## ABSTRACT

Design standards comprise many knowledge types such as text, rules, equations, tables, graphs and figures. The attempt is to encode the standard without distorting the format of the standard, i.e. to represent the standard clauses and tables in the same format as in the standard. This effort will facilitate changes to the standards without much variation to the programme code.

This thesis presents a framework to model standards using the Object Oriented Programming paradigm. It also presents the concept of a common interface, i.e. to accommodate several design standards for reinforced concrete design in one module; however, implementation is carried out only for BS8110. The programme uses an inferencing mechanism for execution, which is a similar method of execution to that of a standard's user; it is not a hard coded structured programme. This is a novel concept when compared to the available software for reinforced concrete design.

The literature review investigates the structure of typical standards and the available standards processing technique such as Predicate Logic, Decision Tables, Production Systems and Semantic Networks before choosing Object Oriented programming as the preferred one. The review also compares both the provisions and design outputs of several reinforced concrete standards.

The Common Interface for Design Standards (COIDS) has three main modules (or models), namely the Product Model, Standards Model and Interaction Model. The Product Model handles the product data, e.g. Frame Data. The Standards Model handles the standards data, i.e. it contains all the knowledge in a standard. The Interaction Model handles the data exchange between the user, COIDS objects and external software. It transfers data from the COIDS to external analysis software and maps analysis output files to COIDS. An Object Oriented Shell called KAPPA was used to develop the object oriented model.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I am most grateful to my research supervisor, Prof. Priyan Dias, Head of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for selecting me for carryout this research on Representation of a Reinforced Concrete Design code as an Object Oriented Expert system. He guided the research work presented in this thesis with much dedication and enthusiasm. I also wish to thank him for his valuable advice and the tedious task of correcting the study, helping me on weekends sacrificing holidays. If not for his continuous persuasion and encouragement, I would not have completed this thesis with my office work load.

I wish to thank the members of the progress review committees, Prof. Saman Bandara, Dr. (Mrs.) Premini Hettiarachchi, Prof. SAS Kulathileke, Prof. UGA Puswewala and Dr. Ruwan Weerasekara for their valuable advice.

I would also like to thank Nuwan Kodagoda for the initial work he had carried out on this research. I have incorporated some of his initial work in this thesis.

I would also like to thank Prof. Lakshman Alwis, Chairman, Design Consortium Limited, for granting duty leave for my research work. I would also thank my DCL colleagues for continuously supporting me with my office work, during the final stages of the research.

Finally, I would like to thank my family members for the support and encouragement, specially our parents for looking after my domestic responsibilities, without their support I would not have been able to finish this work.

## **DECLARATION**

This thesis is a report of research carried out in the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, between July 1996 and December 2009. Except where references are made to other work, the work has not been submitted in part or whole to any other university. This thesis contains 102 pages.

Weliserge Jude Basil Shiromal Fernando  
Department of Civil Engineering  
University of Moratuwa

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.2.1 Prolog Implementation of a Table .....	16
Fig.2.2 Prolog Implementation of Calculation of Tension Steel area in a Beam .....	17
Fig.2.3 Calculation of $(x/d)_{lim}$ using a decision table.....	17
Fig.2.4 Calculation of Longitudinal Spacing for shear using a decision table .....	18
Fig.2.5 Production System Implementation of Calculation of Tensile Steel area in a Beam.....	19
Fig.2.6 Details of Backtracking through a rules given in fig. 2.5.....	20
Fig.2.7 Semantic network which shows the relationship of physical objects in a design standard .....	21
Fig.2.8 Semantic network that shows details of one particular object.....	21
Fig.2.9 Knowledge Representation in a hierarchy of frames that show inheritances..	22
Fig.2.10 Frame of a Beam.....	23
Fig.2.11 Data Abstraction Levels of Building Structures.....	25
Fig.2.12 Object oriented representation of Parent Class and the Child Class .....	26
Fig.2.13 Object oriented representation of single inheritance and the multiple inheritances .....	27
Fig.2.14 Object oriented representation of object instances .....	27
Fig.2.15 Object Orientated representation of Objects, which includes both slot value (attribute) and the method to evaluate the attribute (Encapsulation).....	28
Fig.2.16 Object oriented representation of concept polymorphism, A single message to carryout multiple task .....	29
Fig.3.1 Part of the Contents (Section 3) of BS8110 Part 1 (1997) structured based on element type .....	31
Fig.3.2 Part of the Contents (Section 6) of Euro Code 2 (BS EN 1992-1-1:2004) structured based on stress states .....	31
Fig.3.3 Definition of Dimensions (Figure 2.2 of EC2).....	35
Fig.3.4 Approximate effective span for calculation of effective breadth ratio (Figure 2.3 of EC2).....	35
Fig.3.5 Stress block used in EC2 is compared with that in BS110.....	38

Fig.3.6 Service Loading arrangement (Example 7, of Graded Example in reinforced concrete design by W.P.S.Dias, 1998).....	40
Fig.4.1 Conceptual Model of the COIDS .....	43
Fig.4.2 KAPPA representation of the Conceptual Model of the COIDS as an Object Hierarchy.....	44
Fig.4.3 Product Data Object Hierarchy.....	45
Fig.4.4 Typical Element Instance .....	46
Fig.4.5 Standards Data Object Hierarchy .....	47
Fig.4.6 Basic Data Object Hierarchy .....	48
Fig.4.7 Sub Class Durability_BS .....	48
Fig.4.8 Common Steel Class.....	49
Fig.4.9 Steel_BS Sub Class .....	50
Fig.4.10 Lotus 123 representation of the BS Table 3.4 (BS34).....	51
Fig.4.11 Class Table_BS and the Method BS34 look up routines.....	51
Fig.4.12 Derived Data Object Hierarchy .....	52
Fig.4.13 Class Clause Object which includes the common slots clauses .....	53
Fig.4.14 Sub Class Clause_BS Object, slots for inferencing and BS 8110 clauses as methods .....	53
Fig.4.15 KAPPA Method BS3415 represents the BS8110 clause 3.4.1.5 .....	54
Fig.4.16 CLAUSE_BS effective_span slots .....	54
Fig.4.17 Sub Class Symbol BS, which handles the Symbols data Items in the Object's Slots and the corresponding methods in the Object's Methods .....	55
Fig.4.18 Interaction Model Object hierarch.....	56
Fig.4.19 KAPPA Function's Input Frame Data Method .....	57
Fig.4.20 Class User Query Methods .....	58
Fig.4.21 Durability Data dialog box posted to the user by the User Query object.....	58
Fig.4.22 Class Mapping .....	59
Fig.4.23 COIDS Graphical User Interface.....	60
Fig.4.24 Class Draw Data .....	60
Fig.4.25 Class Data Item Network.....	61
Fig.4.26 Class Data Item Network, slot Check Condition store flags to identify the check state.....	62
Fig.4.27 Class Processed Data .....	63

Fig.4.28 Class Beams .....	64
Fig.4.29 Class Beams_BS .....	64
Fig.5.1 KAPPA Main Window with seven Icons .....	67
Fig.5.2 KAPPA's Main Object hierarchy .....	68
Fig.5.3 KAPPA's Extended Object Hierarchy which indicates the image object hierarchy .....	69
Fig.5.4 COIDS Object Connected to the Class Root .....	70
Fig.5.5 KAPPA Active Images Tool Box.....	71
Fig.5.6 KAPPA Active Images package.....	71
Fig.5.7 KAPPA Knowledge Tool Window .....	72
Fig.5.8 KAPPA Rules linked to the COIDS standards clause BS3444 .....	74
Fig.5.9 COIDS strategy to execute standards clauses using KAPPA rules .....	74
Fig.5.10 COIDS Goal “CheckCondition” to find the stress state of the element to be checked .....	75
Fig.5.11 Instruction flow from KAPPA Functions to COIDS (Stage 1) .....	76
Fig.5.12 Data Item Network Object sends a message to forward chain the KAPPA rules (Stage 2) .....	77
Fig.5.13 Forward Chaining KAPPA Rule Beams will execute the method Get_CheckBeamData to get data from user (Stage 3) .....	78
Fig.5.14 Forward Chaining rule CheckBSBeams will calculate the requirement specified by the standard (Stage 4).....	78
Fig.6.1 Common Interface Session Window .....	79
Fig.6.2 Basic Data Input Session Window .....	80
Fig.6.3 KAPPA Product Model Hierarchy .....	81
Fig.6.4 KAPPA Function Editor INIT method will send messages to COIDS Objects .....	81
Fig.6.5 Basic Data Input Session Window, Initialise button will initialise the COIDS .....	82
Fig.6.6 Basic Product Data Dialog Box .....	83
Fig.6.7 Product Model hierarchy with the Instances generated based on the frame data user input.....	83
Fig.6.8 Typical Node Data User Input.....	84
Fig.6.9 Display User Node Inputs.....	84
Fig.6.10 Typical Element Data User Input .....	85

Fig.6.11 Display User Element Inputs .....	85
Fig.6.12 Typical Support Data User Input.....	86
Fig.6.13 Typical Section Property Data User Input.....	86
Fig.6.14 Element number to input Element Load .....	86
Fig.6.15 Number of Load types on the Element .....	86
Fig.6.16 Load types on the Element to be defined.....	87
Fig.6.17 Typical Uniform Load Input data .....	87
Fig.6.18 Typical Material Data User Input.....	88
Fig.6.19 Typical Material Data User Input for concrete.....	88
Fig.6.20 Typical Durability Data User Input for Fire Resistance.....	89
Fig.6.21 Typical Durability Data User Input for Exposure Condition .....	89
Fig.6.22 User Define Path of the Analysis Package executive path .....	90
Fig.6.23 MICROFEAP-11 Analysis Software.....	90
Fig.6.24 User to insert the element number .....	91
Fig.6.25 User to insert which standard to be used for checking the element.....	92
Fig.6.26 Ele_9 Instance is generated based on the user input.....	92
Fig.6.27 User to input the stress states or Serviceability Limit States to be checked..	92
Fig.6.28 User to input the path of the Analysis Data File.....	93
Fig.6.29 Message to the user by COIDS Indicating the Mapping is complete .....	93
Fig.6.30 User to input the percentage redistribution.....	93
Fig.6.31 Reinforcement data at section 1 (Support) .....	94
Fig.6.32 Reinforcement data at section 2 (Middle Section) .....	94
Fig.6.33 Reinforcement data at section 3 (Support) .....	95
Fig.6.34 Ele_9 Instance which included the processed data-items.....	95
Fig.6.35 COIDS message to user Section 1 is satisfactory in Flexure .....	95
Fig.6.36 COIDS message to user Section 1 satisfactory in Shear .....	96
Fig.6.37 COIDS message to user regarding shear reinforcement.....	96
Fig.6.38 COIDS message to the user stating that the Task is completed .....	96

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 3.1 Structure and Philosophy of Design Standards.....	32
Table 3.2 Recommended Live loads.....	33
Table 3.3 Recommended basic load safety factors .....	33
Table 3.4 Recommended Material Properties.....	34
Table 3.5 Recommended Material safety factors.....	34
Table 3.6 Recommended equations to calculate the flange width of a beam .....	35
Table 3.7 Recommended pattern loading in BS8110 and EC2 .....	37
Table 3.8 Recommended stress block diagrams by other standards.....	39
Table 3.9 Summary of output of the design example .....	41

## **LIST OF KEY WORDS**

Common Interface, Expert Systems, Knowledge Base, Object Oriented Programming, Standards Processing, Reinforced Concrete Design

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements .....	ii
Declaration .....	iii
Table of Contents .....	iv
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Significance of Research .....	1
1.2 Objectives .....	4
1.3 Methodology .....	5
CHAPTER 2 – DESIGN CODES AS EXPERT SYSTEMS .....	7
2.1 Introduction .....	7
2.2 Design Standards .....	7
2.3 Aim of Building Standards.....	8
2.4 Properties of Standards .....	8
2.5 Expert Systems.....	9
2.6 Model-Based Reasoning.....	10
2.7 Design Standards as Expert Systems .....	12
2.8 Standards processing techniques .....	14
CHAPTER 3 – COMPARISON OF DESIGN STANDARDS.....	30
3.1 Introduction .....	30
3.2 The Structure of Design Standards.....	30
3.3 Basis of Design .....	32
3.4 Loads, Load Combinations and Partial Safety Factors.....	32
3.5 Material Properties .....	33
3.6 Physical Geometry of Structures .....	35
3.7 Other Key aspects of design standards .....	36
3.8 Design Example .....	40

CHAPTER 4 - COMMON INTERFACE CONCEPT AND IMPLEMENTATION ..	42
4.1 Introduction .....	42
4.2 Product Model.....	44
4.3 Standards Model .....	46
4.4 Interaction Model.....	56
CHAPTER 5 – KAPPA APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT SOFTWARE .....	65
5.1 Introduction .....	65
5.2 The KAPPA Interface .....	67
5.3 Knowledge Processing Techniques in KAPPA .....	72
5.4 Execution process of COIDS in Checking Mode.....	75
CHAPTER 6 – TYPICAL COIDS SESSIONS .....	79
6.1 Data Input Mode .....	79
6.2 Analysis Mode .....	89
6.3 Checking Mode .....	90
CHAPTER 7 – CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	97
REFERENCES .....	99