

LA/2008/57/09

FLOW BEHAVIOR OF MINERAL PARTICULATES THROUGH CONICAL SILOS.

LOKU PATHIRAGE SUDATH ROHITHA



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
This thesis was submitted to the
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Department of Earth Resources Engineering
of the University of Moratuwa in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for
the Degree of Master of Philosophy

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA
MORATUWA

Department of Earth Resources Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

University of Moratuwa



92977

December, 2008.

92977
622 408^h
622(043)
T41

92977

DECLARATION.

This dissertation had not been previously presented, in whole or part, to any University or Institution for a higher degree.

UOM Verified Signature

Signature of the Candidate.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Certified,

UOM Verified Signature

.....
Signature of the supervisor.

ABSTRACT

Silo or Bin is used very widely in Mining, Processing, Pharmaceutical, Cement, Ceramics and Packaging industries. The purpose of the silo is to hold material until it is ready to use in subsequent processes such as processing, chemical, physical or transport. According to the Oxford Dictionary (DK illustrated), a Silo is a pit or airtight structure in which green crops are kept for fodder or it can be a pit or a tower for the storage of grains, cement etc, or it is an underground storage chamber for guided missiles. The capacity of a silo may vary from Kgs. to Tons.

In this research, a silo is used as a conical shaped container with an orifice through which mineral sands of varying sizes were allowed to pass and their flow behavior were examined with respect to their material physical properties and silo parameters.

In the first stage of the project, the flow behavior was studied using beach mineral sands with glass funnels with orifice diameters 3.5, 4.8 and 8.0 mm and heights 8, 10 and 24cm respectively to simulate silos of varying orifice. The capillary part of the funnels were cut uniformly at the neck regions to prepare them for the tests.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

It was decided to use naturally occurring geo-materials of different mineralogical, chemical, and physical characteristics for the investigation. For this purpose, samples of beach sand from several locations along the western coast of Sri Lanka were collected and sampled using the sampler riffler, thereafter sieved through 2mm sieve to remove sea shells and other extraneous impurities followed by spiral separation and tabling. Subsequently, magnetic separation and high tension separation were carried out to separate magnetic and non magnetic fractions according to the standard procedure. The fractions separated consisted of ilmenite, rutile, garnet and zircon. A sample of silica was also obtained from the glass sand deposit at Naththandiya.

Each sand sample was sifted using the standard test sieves. Before conducting the flow properties of these minerals they were dried at 110°C for 2 hours and the test was conducted 3 times and the average flow rate obtained thus was plotted against the particle size and the

d/D ratio for each material. Where, d = average particle size diameter and, D = funnel orifice diameter.

Interpretation of flow rate measurements and analysis of data indicate that all beach mineral sands used in the study had the same flow rate pattern. The mass flow rate vs d/D curves consisted of three significant zones representing bridging effect, constant gradient zone with a negative gradient and the no-flow zone, which spilled over to the fine particle fractions.

The effects of charge particle nature, moisture contained, repose angle, surface morphology of mineral and the orifice diameter of the funnel on the flow properties were also investigated. As the particles studied were naturally occurring, they were sub-angular having rounded edges. Another series of experiments were conducted using spherically shaped silica gel particles having diameters 1.2, 2.2, and 3.3 mm respectively.

In the second stage, a pilot plant in the form of a conical silo was fabricated in the workshop and the experiments were conducted using mineral samples each sample weighed approximately 3 to 6 kg. The samples for the second stage was obtained from two sources, Lanka Mineral Sands Ltd and the river sand pits at Ingiriya. After a tedious process of size separation mostly involving sorting of particles of different size fractions by hand picking and sieving.

The results obtained agree very closely with those obtained using the glass funnels. It is evident that there are three zones in the performance curve -flow rate vs particle size with the bridging effect region corresponding to d/D ratios of $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{5}$ for relatively larger size particles and the region exhibiting the 'floc effect' resulting from residual charges in the fine sizes and air trapped within the flocs. The mineral particles used were pre-dried (dried at 110 °C for two hours). However, the presence of moisture in the powder seems to have a significant contribution on the flow rate.

The importance of the performance curve and its characteristic features have been discussed and also the relevance of the performance curve in designing silos.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research presented in this thesis was carried out at the University of Moratuwa, Department of Earth Resources Engineering. I would like to record with deep respect and gratitude for the valuable guidance and encouragement given to me by my supervisors Emeritus Professor W.L.W .Fernando and Dr. D.M.D.O.K.Dissanayake.

I am thankful to Professor U.G.A. Puswewala (Head, Department of Earth Resources Engineering), who at all times given me encouragement and valuable support at all stages of this project.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to all the members of Academic and Technical staff of the Department of Earth Resources Engineering for their kind support and collaboration during the research work.

Dr. Nanda Munasinghe,(Director, Postgraduate Studies)and Dr.A.M.K.B.Abeysinghe (Postgraduate Research Co-ordinate, Department of Earth Resources Engineering) are acknowledged greatly for their kind assistance.

I also appreciate with thanks for all the help given in numerous ways by Dr. Nalin Rathnayake, and Mr. G.V.I.Samaradivakara.

My thanks should also go to Mr Sarath Jayatilake (ITI) regarding his valuable contributions.

At last, but not the least, my special appreciation and thanks are to Messers S.H.Waidyasekara, M.P.Jayathilaka, S.Chandrapala, D.F Ranasinghe and S.D Sumith for giving their fullest co-operation during this research work.

L.P.S.Rohitha
B.Sc. (Engineering)
Department of Earth Resources Engineering,
University of Moratuwa.
Dec. 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. Page No

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	xii
1.0 CHAPTER ONE – Introduction	1
1.1 Flowability	2
1.2 Objective of study	3
2.0 CHAPTER TWO- Literature Survey	4
2.1 Variables affecting of flow behavior of particles in silos.	4
2.1.1 Particle size and Size distribution.	4
2.1.2 Shapes of particles.	7
2.1.3 Roundness and Sphericity.	8
2.1.4 Surface texture.	9
2.1.5 Cohesive strength.	9
2.1.6 Surface coating.	10
2.1.7 Particle interaction.	10
2.1.8 Existence of electrostatic charges on fine particles.	10
2.1.9 Gold leaf electroscope.	12
2.1.10 Hardness.	12
2.1.11 Stiffness.	13
2.1.12 Strength.	13
2.1.13 Fracture toughness.	13
2.2 External factors influencing flow behavior.	14
2.2.1 Silo Vibration systems.	14
2.2.2 Temperature and Humidity.	15
2.2.3 Compaction condition.	16
2.2.4 Container surface effect.	16
2.2.5 Angle of repose.	16
2.2.6 Beach mineral sand.	16
2.2.6.1 Quartz.	17
2.2.6.2 Ilmenite.	18
2.2.6.3 Rutile.	18
2.2.6.4 Garnet.	18
2.2.6.5 Zircon.	19
2.2.6.6 Monazite.	19
2.2.6.7 Separation of beach mineral sands.	19
2.3 Technology in Discharging Powdery and Granular Materials.	20
2.3.1 Mechanical systems.	21
2.3.2 Vibrating systems.	21
2.3.3 Fluidization Systems.	22

	Page No
2.4 Flow profile.	22
2.4.1 Mass flow.	23
2.4.2 Funnel flow.	23
2.5 The importance of funnel angle Φ .	24
2.6 Flow Problems.	25
2.6.1 Piping (rat holing).	25
2.6.2 Arching/ Bridging.	25
2.6.3 Segregation.	25
2.6.4 Irregular flow	26
2.6.5 Wide residence time distribution.	26
2.7 Bridging effect in coarser grains.	27
2.8 Choice of the hopper designs / silo geometry.	27
2.9 Previous work- mathematical models.	28
2.9.1 Coarse particles.	29
2.9.2 Fine particles.	30
2.10 Summary of results on the designing of silos based on the literature review.	32
 3 CHAPTER THREE-Material and Methods.	 34
3.1 Materials.	34
3.2 Methods.	34
3.2.1 First stage.	34
3.2.1.1 Sample separation.	34
3.2.2.2 Humphrey's spiral separation.	35
3.2.2.3 Wilfley table separation.	35
3.2.2.4 Magnetic separation.	35
3.2.2.5 High Tension separation.	36
3.2.2.6 Time measurement.	40
3.2.2.7 Angle of repose measurement.	40
3.2.2.8 Measuring the moisture content.	40
3.2.2.9 Classification of various particle sizes.	41
3.2.2.10 Optical micrography.	41
3.3 Second stage.	41
3.3.1 Fabricating a pilot scale silo in the work shop.	41
3.3.2 Flow rate measurements.	42
3.3.2.1 Experiment with beach sand	42
3.3.2.2 Experiment with river sand.	43
 4. CHAPTER FOUR - Results.	 45
5. CHAPTER FIVE - Discussion.	96
6. CHAPTER SIX -Conclusion.	103
7. CHAPTER SEVEN -Suggestions for further research.	105
REFERENCE	106

LIST OF FIGURES.

Page No

Figure 1.1 Battery of conical silos in industry.	3
Figure 1.2 Air separator consisting compound conical silos.	3
Figure 2.1 Shapes of particles.	7
Figure 2.2a Particle shapes encountered in heavy mineral sands and quartz sand.	8
Figure 2.2b Shape of the particles after comminution or size reduction using crushers.	9
Figure 2.3 Dislocation of atoms in the crystal due to compressive force	11
Figure 2.4 Compressive load Vs displacement for different rocks and minerals.	11
Figure.2.5a Fractured surface (Note- Residual charges).	11
Figure 2.5b. Particle –particle interaction (Residual charge interaction).	11
Figure 2.6 Mineral hardness values according to Moh's Scale illustrated.	13
Figure 2.7 Particle distributions for powder under two different conditions.	14
Figure 2.8 Core flow discharge.	15
Figure 2.9 Angle of repose.	16
Figure 2.10 Micrograph of silica grains.	17
Figure 2.11 Silicon and oxygen chain as in silicates.	17
Figure 2.12 Micrograph of ilmenite sample.	18
Figure 2.13 Micrograph of rutile sample.	18
Figure 2.14 Micrograph of garnet sample.	18
Figure 2.15 Micrograph of zircon sample.	19
Figure 2.16 Micrograph of Monazite sample.	19
Figure 2.17 Flow chart for beach mineral sand separations.	20
Figure 2.18 Fluidization systems.	22
Figure 2.19 Representing Mass flow rate in a silo.	23
Figure 2.20 Representation of Funnel flow.-	23
Figure 2.21 Silo angle Vs wall friction angle.	24
Figure 2.22 Regions representing of Mass flow rate and Funnel flow rate via Intermediate flow rate.	24
Figure 2.23 Different powder flowing characteristics in silos.	25
Figure 2.24 Flow patterns observed in a conical silo.	26
Figure 2.25 Photograph of jamming effect or bridging effect in the case of coarser particles.	27
Figure 2.26 Bridging effect at the orifice of the silo.	27
Figure 2.27 Different silo designs.	28
Figure 3.1 Flow chart for processing beach minerals.	35
Figure 3.2 Basic flow chart.	36
Figure 3.3 Sampling locations	38
Figure 3.4 Instruments used in the research.	39
Figure 3.4a Magnetic separator.	39
Figure 3.4b Wilfley table.	39
Figure 3.4c High tension separator.	39
Figure 3.4d Humphrey's spiral.	39

	Page No
Figure 3.4e Optical microscope.	39
Figure 3.5 Set up of repose angle experiment.	40
Figure 3.6 Pilot silo made out of steel with vibrator and sliding gate attached.	42
Figure 3.7 Activity flow chart – stages one & two	43
Figure 3.8 Orifices fitted on to the silo discharge.	44
Figure 3.9 Different out put orifice sizes.	44
Figure 3.10 Bristle board funnels with different out put orifices.	44
Figure 3.11 Same as Fig.3.8 with the columns removed	44
Figure 3.12 Mica removal by elutriation.	44
Figure 3.13 Mica removal by elutriation.	44
Figure 4.1a. Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for silica sand.	45
Figure 4.1b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for silica sand.	45
Figure 4.1c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.1a and 4.1b superimposed).	46
Figure 4.2 Photomicrograph of the silica sand.	46
Figure 4.3 a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for silica sand.	47
Figure 4.3 b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration silica sand.	47
Figure 4.3 c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.3a and 4.3b superimposed) for silica sand.	48
Figure 4.4 Particle size distribution of silica sand	48
Figure 4.5a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for garnet sand.	49
Figure 4.5b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for garnet sand.	49
Figure 4.5c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size 4.5a and 4.5b superimposed for garnet sand.	50
Figure 4.6 Photomicrograph of garnet sand.	50
Figure 4.7a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for garnet sand.	51
Figure 4.7b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for garnet sand.	51
Figure 4.7c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.7a and 4.7b superimposed) for garnet sand.	52
Figure 4.8 Particle size distribution of garnet sand	52
Figure 4.9a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for rutile sand.	53
Figure 4.9b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for rutile sand.	53
Figure 4.9c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.9a and 4.9b superimposed) for rutile sand.	54
Figure 4.10 Photomicrograph of the rutile sample.	54
Figure 4.11a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for rutile sand.	55
Figure 4.11b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for rutile sand.	55
Figure 4.11c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.11a and 4.11b superimposed). for rutile sand.	56
Figure 4.12 Particle size distribution of rutile sand	56
Figure 4.13a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for zircon sand.	57
Figure 4.13b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for zircon sand.	57
Figure 4.13c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.13a and 4.13b superimposed) for zircon sand.	58
Figure 4.14 Photomicrograph of zircon sand	58
Figure 4.15a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for zircon sand.	59
Figure 4.15b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for zircon sand.	59

	Page No
Figure 4.15c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.15a and 4.15b superimposed) for zircon sand.	60
Figure 4.16 Particle size distribution of zircon sand.	60
Figure 4.17 Mass flow rate Vs Funnel out put diameters for different particle size for silica.	61
Figure 4.18 Mass flow rate Vs Funnel out put diameters for different particle size for garnet.	61
Figure 4.19 Mass flow rate Vs Funnel out put diameters for different particle size for rutile.	62
Figure 4.20 Mass flow rate Vs Funnel out put diameters for different particle size for zircon.	62
Figure 4.21 Mass flow rate Vs Funnel out put diameters for minerals under investigation.	63
Figure 4.22 Mass flow rate Vs Repose Angle for minerals under investigation.	63
Figure 4.23 Silica samples used in the research using the pilot plant.	64
Figure 4.24 a, b, c, & d Micrograph of fine silica particles used.	65
Figure 4.25a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for silica sand. for 15mm orifice diameter.	66
Figure 4.25b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for silica sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	66
Figure 4.25c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.25a & 4.25b super imposed) for silica sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	67
Figure 4.26a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for silica sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	67
Figure 4.26b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for silica sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	68
Figure 4.26c. Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.26a & 4.26b superimposed) for silica sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	68
Figure 4.27a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for silica sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	69
Figure 4.27b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for silica sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	69
Figure 4.27c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.27a & 4.27b superimposed) for silica sand for 20 mm orifice diameter.	70
Figure 4.28a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio for silica sand for 20 mm orifice diameter.	70
Figure 4.28b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio for silica sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	71
Figure 4.28c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.28a, 4.28b superimposed) for silica sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	71
Figure 4.29a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size for silica sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	72
Figure 4.29b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size for silica sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	72
Figure 4.29c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.29a & 4.29b superimposed) for silica sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	73

	Page No
Figure 4.30a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for silica sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	73
Figure 4.30b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for silica sand for 35 orifice diameter.	74
Figure 4.30c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.30a & 4.30b superimposed) for silica sand for 35 orifice diameter.	74
Figure 4.31 a, b, c, d & e Micrographs of Garnet small particle used.	75
Figure 4.32a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size for garnet sand without vibration for 15mm orifice diameter.	76
Figure 4.32b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for garnet sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	76
Figure 4.32c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (super imposed with 4.32a & 4.32b) for garnet sand for 15 orifice diameter.	77
Figure 4.33a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for garnet sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	77
Figure 4.33b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with for garnet sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	78
Figure 4.33c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.33a & 4.33b superimposed) for garnet sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	78
Figure 4.34a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for garnet sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	79
Figure 4.34b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for garnet sand for 20 mm orifice diameter.	79
Figure 4.34c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.34a & 4.34b superimposed) for garnet sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	80
Figure 4.35a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for garnet sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	80
Figure 4.35b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for garnet sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	81
Figure 4.35c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.35a & 4.35b superimposed) for garnet sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	81
Figure 4.36a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for garnet sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	82
Figure 4.36b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for garnet sand for 35 mm orifice diameter.	82
Figure 4.36c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (superimposed 4.36a, 4.36b) for garnet sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	83
Figure 4.37a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for garnet sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	83
Figure 4.37b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for garnet sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	84
Figure 4.37c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio 4.37a & 4.37b superimposed for garnet sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	84
Figure 4.38 a, b, c, d, & e Micrograph of rutile particles used	85
Figure 4.39a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter	86

	Page No
Figure 4.39b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter	86
Figure 4.39c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.39a & 4.39b superimposed)for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter	87
Figure 4.40a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter	87
Figure 4.40b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	88
Figure 4.40c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (superimposed with 4.40a & 4.40b) for rutile sand for 15mm orifice diameter.	88
Figure 4.41a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for rutile sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	89
Figure 4.41b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for rutile sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	89
Figure 4.41c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.41a & 4.41b superimposed) for rutile sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	90
Figure 4.42a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for rutile sand for 20 orifice diameter.	90
Figure 4.42b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for rutile sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	91
Figure 4.42c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.42a & 4.42b superimposed) for rutile sand for 20mm orifice diameter.	91
Figure 4.43a Mass flow rate Vs Particle size without vibration for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	92
Figure 4.43b Mass flow rate Vs Particle size with vibration for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	92
Figure 4.43c Mass flow rate Vs Particle size (4.43a & 4.43b superimposed) for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	93
Figure 4.44a Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio without vibration for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	93
Figure 4.44b Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio with vibration for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	94
Figure 4.44c Mass flow rate Vs d/D ratio (4.44a & 4.44b superimposed) for rutile sand for 35mm orifice diameter.	94
Figure 4.45 The effect of moisture content of dry sand particles on the mass flow rate.	95
Figure 5.1 Mass flow rate Vs Particle size.	96
Figure 5.2 Mass flow rate Vs Particle diameter.	97
Figure 5.3 Particle shape and sphericity	97
Figure 5.4 Sphericity of particles.	98
Figure 5.5 Bridging effect at the orifice of the silo.	98
Figure 5.6 Floc formation of fine particles at the orifice.	98
Figure 5.7 Positively charged Gold nano particles adhering on negative sites of chinaclay.	99
Figure 5.8 a Cleavage across the lattice	99
Figure 5.8 b Edge-Edge interaction	99

	Page No
Figure 5.8 c Particle-Particle interaction via broken bonds.	100
Figure 5.9 Attractive and repulsive forces with distance apart for fine particles(Theoretical).	101
Figure 5.10 Attractive and repulsive forces with distance for fine particles.	101
Figure 5.11 Relationship between the Rate of flow Vs Funnel. orifice diameter for heavy minerals compared to silica sand	101
Figure 5.12 General curve of the Mass flow Vs Funnel out put diameter for silica sand.	102



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF TABLES

Page No

Table 2.1	Classification of Rocks	5
Table 2.2	Particle size analysis instruments based on different physical principles	6
Table 2.3	Type of pressing & the significance of the moisture in industry	10
Table 2.4	Types strengths of bonds pertaining to Mechanical Property	13
Table 2.5	Relationship of A,B and m with dimensions in Johanson equation	30
Table 3.1	Analysis of various fractions from Rougher stage - High Tension Separation	36
Table 3.2	Analysis of various fractions from Scavenger stage - High Tension Separation	37
Table 3.3	Analysis of various fractions from Cleaner stage - High Tension Separation	37
Table 3.4	Common minerals of sedimentary rocks arranged in groups based on mass magnetic susceptibility.	37



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk