

EVALUATION OF SUITABILITY OF 1,4-DIMETHYLPIPERAZINE AS A SUBSTITUTE CATALYST FOR POLYURETHANE FOAM PRODUCTION

I.P.Samarappuli

139459M

M. Sc in Materials Science

Department of Materials Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2018

EVALUATION OF SUITABILITY OF 1,4-DIMETHYLPIPERAZINE AS A SUBSTITUTE CATALYST OF POLYURETHANE FOAM PRODUCTION

Imali Poornima Samarappuli

139459M

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Materials Science

Department of Materials Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2018

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

.....

Signature

.....

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

.....

Signature of the supervisor

.....

Date

Abstract

The flexible polyurethane foams have been fabricated from polymeric 4,4'-di-phenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) and polyols. Catalysts play a major role in polyurethane foam preparation controlling the reaction profile throughout the reaction time. As a substitute catalyst 1,4-dimethylpiperazine promotes gelling reaction as well as blowing reaction as predicted. Effect of 1,4-dimethylpiperazine on polyurethane foam formation was investigated by varying the additive concentration while keeping all the other factors constant. Sample of standard dimensions were prepared from the resultant foam. They were used for density test compression set test, tensile strength and elongation tests. The tests were carried out as per the ASTM -D3574 standards. The reaction profile has moved to slower direction in terms of gelling time, rise time, curing time in the presence of 1,4-dimethylpiperazine compared to the control catalyst. This is due to the less reactivity of the test catalyst. The resultant controlled behavior of the 1,4- dimethylpiperazine could be more useful in applications such as complex molding. Other physical properties such as foam density, hardness, tensile strength, compression set test value lie within the comparable range which could again be useful in molding conditions. It is concluded that 1,4-dimethylpiperazine is a good delayed action catalyst which is found to be better for in-mold flowability and slow cure times with comparable foam properties.

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank my project supervisor, Dr. N.M.V.K. Liyanage, for her patient guidance, quick feedback and useful advice for this study. She has provided many suggestions which were useful on my experimental work. She encouraged me a lot throughout this research.

I would like to extend my thanks to the Professors and Lecturers in the University of Moratuwa, Department of Material Science for the helps they have extended towards me.

Also my heartiest gratitude goes to colleagues at MAS Holdings , Silueta (pvt) ltd., for their massive support on my project work in many ways.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration.....	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments.....	iii
Table of contents.....	iv
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Abbreviations.....	x
1 Introduction	
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Objectives.....	13
2 Literature review	
2.1 Catalysts.....	14
2.2 Tertiary amine catalysts.....	15
2.3 Organometallic catalysts.....	20
2.4 Delayed action catalysts.....	21
3 Experimental	
3.1 Materials.....	23
3.2 Methods.....	23
3.3 Preparation of samples.....	24
3.3.1 Sample Machining.....	24
3.3.2 Investigation of various properties.....	25
3.3.2.1 Density.....	25
3.3.2.2 Hardness.....	26
3.3.2.3 Compression set test.....	27

3.3.2.4 FOAMAT (Foam Qualification System) ...	28
3.3.2.5 Air flow.....	29
3.3.2.6 Physical properties.....	30
3.3.2.7 Cellular structure and solid morphology...	31
4 Results and Discussion	
4.1 Variation of Foam density with catalyst level.....	33
4.2 Variation of foam Hardness with catalyst level.....	34
4.3 Variation Compression set test of foam with catalyst level.....	34
4.4 Variation of FOMAT data (Kinetic parameters of the foam) ...	35
4.5 Variation of shrinkage of foam with catalyst level.....	41
4.6 Variation of Air flow of foam with catalyst level.....	42
4.7 Variation of physical properties of foam with catalyst level.....	43
4.8 Scanning Electron Microscope image analysis.....	45
5 Conclusion.....	47
6 Recommendation for Future work.....	48
References.....	49

Figure 3.8 Basic parts of the FOMAT equipment.....	31
Figure 3.9 Tensile testing machine.....	33
Figure 3.10 die for the tensile test.....	33
Figure 3.11 flat foam piece.....	33
Figure 3.12 test specimen for Elongation test.....	33
Figure 4.1 Effect of varying catalyst content on foam density of the polyurethane sample.....	35
Figure 4.2 Effect of varying catalyst content on foam Hardness of the polyurethane sample.....	36
Figure 4.3 Effect of varying catalyst content on Compression set value of the polyurethane sample.	37
Figure 4.4 Effect of varying catalyst content on gel time of the polyurethane sample.....	38
Figure 4.5 Effect of varying catalyst content on Rise time of the polyurethane sample.....	37
Figure 4.6 Effect of varying catalyst content on Curing time of the polyurethane sample.....	39
Figure 4.7 Stages of foaming process in the presence of control catalyst (Tegoamine 33).....	40
Figure 4.8 Stages of foaming process in the presence of test catalyst (1,4- DMP).....	41
Figure 4.9 Stages of foaming process at comparable catalyst levels	41
Figure 4.10 Simple mold designs.....	43

Figure 4.11 Complicate mold designs.....	43
Figure 4.12 Effect of varying catalyst content on Shrinkage of the polyurethane sample.....	44
Figure 4.13 Effect of varying catalyst content on Air permeability of the polyurethane sample.....	45
Figure 4.14 flat foam piece.....	45
Figure 4.15 test specimen for Elongation test.....	45
Figure 4.16 Effect of varying catalyst content on Breaking strength of the polyurethane sample.....	46
Figure 4.17 Effect of varying catalyst content on Elongation % of the polyurethane foam sample.....	46
Figure 4.18 Effect of varying catalyst content on Tensile strength of the polyurethane sample.....	47
Figure 4.19 SEM of micrograph of foam made using 1pphp of Tegoamine 33 at mag 100X.....	48
Figure 4.20 SEM of micrograph of foam made using 4 pphp of 1,4 -DMP at Mag 100x.....	48

List of tables	Page
Table 1.1 Example of diol chain extenders.....	7
Table 3.1 General foam formulation	25

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
1,4-DMP	1,4-dimethylpiperazine
Mol wt	Molecular weight
MDI	Diphenyl methane diisocyanate
TDI	Toluenediisocyanate
HDI	Hexamethylene diisocyanate