# Characterization of Bio-Degradable Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) for WTE Technologies for Sri Lanka

Hewa Kodippilige Pushpitha Kelum

(158283D)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

April 2019

# Characterization of Bio-Degradable Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) for WTE Technologies for Sri Lanka

Hewa Kodippilige Pushpitha Kelum

(158283D)

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka April 2019

### **Declaration of the candidate and the supervisor**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:
The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dis	sertation with the following declaration.
The above candidate has carried out research Dissertation under my supervision.	n for the Masters/ <del>MPhil</del> / <del>PhD thesis</del> /
Signature of the supervisor:	Date:
1. (Dr. M.M.I.D. Manthilake) 2	
(Dr. H.K.G. Punchihewa)	

### **Acknowledgement**

I would like to sincerely thank to my supervisor Dr. H.K.G. Punchihewa and Dr. M.M.I.D. Manthilaka for their dedicated support and continuous guidance from the beginning to complete this thesis study.

Also my sincere thanks go to Prof. R.A. Athalage and Dr. A.G.T. Sugathapala for their valuable advices and support during field visit to hydro-electricity schemes and biomass plants in preparing this final thesis report. I take this opportunity to thank all the engineering professionals who conducted the lectures and workshops at UOM premises and outstations.

I also have to thank to Department of Technical Education & Training (DTET) for giving me an opportunity (Fully Financial Funding) to follow the Master of Engineering in Energy Technology at University of Moratuwa.

It is worth giving my sincere gratitude to the Mr. Nalin Mannapperuma-Director: Waste Management Authority, Mr. Mallawaarachchi-Chief Engineer, Mr. R. D. D. Rajapaksha-PHI, Mr. B.D. Nuwan Chathuranga- Assistant Health Supervisor, Mr. K.A.W. Jayananda-Assistant Health Supervisor: Municipal Council Kurunegala, Eng.(Mr.)Namal Dissanayake-Mechanical Engineer, Mr. Dayarathna-PHI, Mr. R.M. Dias-Sub Overseer: Municipal Council Kandy regarding assistance given in collecting samples from Sundarapola dump site(Kurunegala) and Gohagoda dump site(Kandy).

At the same time I want to thank Mr. Rohita Ananda(Researcher) and Mr. Rukmal who left for PhD overseas from University of Moratuwa regarding information supply on data collection and writing research paper about my study.

Furthermore, I would like extending my sincere gratitude to Dr. Narayana and Prof. (Dr.) Ratnasiri for supplying information on Karadiyana Waste-to-Energy Project waste composition analysis.

Furthermore, I need to thank my colleague Eng. (Mr.) W. M. C. A. P. B. Wasala-Lecturer, Eng. (Mr.) Piyaratne-Visiting Lecturer: College of Technology-Kurunegala and Eng. (Ms) Roshani Costa:Research Engineer-NERD about encouraging me to carry out the research in a great extent.

#### **Abstract**

Currently the mixed municipal waste as well as the source segregated household and commercial waste from eight local authorities is disposed at the Karadiyana waste-processing site. A small fraction of the source-segregated waste is processed as compost. All of the mixed waste is land filled. While the landfill is a managed landfill it does not meet the modern standards for a landfill by any measure. The environmental externalities due to open dumping caused by the site are palpable.

The main objective of the present study is 'Selection of the waste to energy (WTE) conversion options based on composition of short-term bio-degradable portion of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) available at dump sites under Municipal Councils of Sri Lanka'.

Data collection survey has been conducted at dump sites in, Kurunegala and Kandy Municipal Councils to find out the condition of Municipal Solid Waste, especially biodegradable portion, dump data and waste data to propose suitable WTE conversion technology for each dump site. An analysis was conducted using Case Studies on physical and chemical composition of MSW in Sri Lanka and the results are presented in the report. Karadiyana W2E Project was considered as a case study to get real time data.

It is observed that the mixed waste collected in shopping (polythene) bags is causing many issues in both sites such as methane formation in bags, odour, leachate, landslides in the dump site. Composting of old dumps at the sites is practiced as a solution for waste reduction. Cleaning and sorting is done manually creating a lot of problems.

Gohagoda dump site is well managed separating plastics and polythene for recycling and bio-degradable for composting. Due to natural wind circulating through the dump odour is considerably reduced compared to Sundarapola site. In contrast to this, Sundarapola dump site shows negative operating conditions with insufficient and disorganized waste management practices.

The result revealed that the feasibility of the WTE conversion technology, in the form of a community owned power generation plant, bio-gas generating facility or composting facility operated on thermo-chemical and bio-chemical energy conversion of MSW. But it is an attractive option for Municipal Councils to reduce its long term stagnation of MSW at dump sites. In other words, if Municipal Councils implement this project, it would be an ideal solution where both the Municipal Council and the related community are benefited.

Sorting of waste at the source need to be established and collected separately. Waste Management Authority (WMA) needs monitoring all the dump sites in the country and regulates their operation. Waste auditing scheme is recommended for Waste Management Centers (WMC) while awarding 'Star' ratings for best waste sorting practices at the source.

**Keywords:** municipal solid waste, short-term bio-degradable waste, waste composition analysis, Karadiyana W2E Project, waste to energy, waste management centers, composting, anaerobic digestion, waste auditing scheme.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

Ac At Ta Lis Lis	eclaration of the candidate and the supervisor eknowledgement ostract able of content st of Figures st of Tables st of abbreviations st of appendices	i ii iii iv viii xii xiii xv
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1.Background 1.2.Aim 1.3.Objectives 1.4.Methodology Summary	1 4 4 4 8
2.	MSW and WTE conversion	9
	<ul> <li>2.1.Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) <ul> <li>2.1.1. Constituents of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)</li> <li>2.1.2. Dumping of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)</li> </ul> </li> <li>2.2.WTE Conversion <ul> <li>2.2.1. Parameters which Determine the Potential of Recovery of Energy</li> <li>2.2.2. Net Power Generation Potential from Waste to Energy</li> <li>2.2.3 What is Thermo Chemical Conversion?</li> <li>2.2.4 Technical Options available for Thermo Chemical Conversion</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	9 9 10 10 11 11 12 12
	<ul> <li>(a) Incineration</li> <li>(b) Mass Burn</li> <li>(c) Refuse Derive Fuel (RDF) for Direct Combustion</li> </ul>	12 14 15
	<ul><li>(d) Gasification</li><li>(e) Pyrolysis</li><li>(f) Plasma Pyrolysis Vitrification</li></ul>	15 19 23
	<ul> <li>(PPV) /Plasma Arc Process</li> <li>(g) Organic Municipal Solid Waste Torrefaction</li> <li>a. RDF used in the study</li> <li>b. Carbonized Refuse Derived Fuel production method</li> <li>c. Physical and chemical analysis of RDF and</li> </ul>	23 25 26 27
	CRDF	

d. Thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA) of RDF	28
e. Results of the physical and chemical analysis	30
of the substrate	
f. Results of a thermo-gravimetric analysis	31
g. Results of the physical and chemical analysis of	32
the CRDF	
2.2.5 Bio Chemical Conversion of Waste	39
(a) Anaerobic Processing Technologies	39
a. General Pre-treatment Requirements	39
b. Types of AD Technologies	40
i. High-Solids Versus Wet AD Systems	40
ii. One Stage Versus Two Stage	41
iii. Thermophilic Versus Mesophilic	42
iv. Technology Overview	42
c. High-Solids-Stackable Digestion Systems	45
d. High-Solids-Slurry Digestion Systems	47
e. Wet (Low-Solids) Digestion Systems	50
f. Co-digestion in Wastewater Treatment	52
Plant Bio-solids Digesters	
a Diagos Tachnalagy in Sri Lanka	53
<ul><li>g. Biogas Technology in Sri Lanka</li><li>i. Bio-gas requirement &amp;calculation size of</li></ul>	55 55
the reactor	33
(b) Composting	56
a. General Feedstock Preparation Steps	56
i. Particle Size Reduction	56
ii. Mixing and Blending	57
iii. Ferrous Metal Removal	57
iv. Water or Leachate Addition	58
v. Feedstock Preparation Considerations	60
b. Passively Aerated and Turned Composting	60
Systems	
i. Static Pile Composting	60
ii. Bunker	62
iii. Windrow	64
iv. Turned Mass Bed	67
v. Passively Aerated Windrow	69
c. Actively Aerated Composting Systems	70
i. Aerated Static Pile	73
ii. Enclosed Aerated Static Pile (Tunnel)	77
iii. Static Container	79
iv. Agitated Container	81
v. Channel	83
vi. Agitated Bed	85
vii. Rotating Drum	87
(c) Recycling(Resource Recovery)	90

	2.3	Research	es on MSW composition	92
		2.3.1	Technology selection for a proposing MSW based Power plant	93
		2.3.2	Γechnology Selection	94
			Criteria for selection of Waste Processing Technolog	
			Key Criteria for Solid Waste Incineration	98
		` '	Key Considerations for operation of Incinerators	99
	2.4		lassify STBD/LTBD waste in MSW	99
	_,.		Factors affecting bio-degradation rate	102
	2.5		es on MSW quality analysis for WTE conversion	104
			Physical, Chemical and Biological Characteristics	104
	Sum	mary		105
3		•	n & Analysis	106
_		Case studi		106
	3.1	3.1.1	Karadiyana WTE Case Study	106
		(a)	Mass burn Incineration	108
		(b)	Biological Processing	109
		3.1.2	Kandy MC Case Study	110
		(a)	Composition analysis of municipal solid waste	110
		(b)	Calculation of energy potential	114
		(c)	Gross energy content in municipal solid waste	114
		(-)	from Kandy municipal council	
		(d)	Energy potential and consumption in Kandy	115
		3.1.3	Kurunegala MC Case Study	116
		(a)	Waste amount and Waste composition	116
	3.2	\ /	on Survey at Karadiyana Landfill	117
		-	ombo Municipal Council	
		3.2.1	Burned in open pits	118
		3.2.2	Sanitary landfills	118
		3.2.3	Development of the Sanitary Landfill	118
		3.2.4	High pressure (>7 kg/cm <sup>2</sup> compaction)	118
		(a)	Composition of the sample	121
		(b)	Mixed sample test results	121
		(c)	Paper, cardboards test results	122
		(d)	Textile sample test results	122
		(e)	Plastic test results	122
		(f)	Food, Garden & Animal waste	123
		(g)	Comparison of mixed waste and the individual	123
			waste	
		(h)	Existing volume at the open dumpsite Karadiya	na123
	3.3	Compositi	on Survey at Gohagoda dump site under Kandy	126
		Municipal	Council	
	3.4	Compositi	on Survey at Sundarapola dump site under	130
		Kurunegal	a Municipal Council	
	3.5	Analysis tl	he MSW samples for %STBD and %MC	131

3.6 Proximate Analysis		134
3.6.1	Moisture content	134
3.6.2	Volatile matter content	134
3.6.3	Ash and fixed Carbon content	134
3.6.4	Calorific Value	135
4 Results & di	scussion	136
4.1 Chemica	al Composition of STBD fraction	136
5 Conclusions &	& recommendations	139
References		140
Appendices- I		142
Appendices- II		144
Appendices- III		146
Appendices- IV		147
Appendices- V		148

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure.1.1: MSW Management by Disposal Method, World Markets: 2010-2022	1
Figure.1.2: MSW Composition analysis	3
Figure.1.3: Composition Survey Methodology	5
Figure 1.4: Selected waste dump sites for the study	6
Figure.2.1: Compositions of MSW in Sri Lanka	9
Figure.2.2: Generating electricity using biogas	11
Figure.2.3: Schematic diagram of MSW incineration and power generation process	12
Figure.2.4: Incineration	13
Figure.2.5: Example of Materials and Energy Inputs and Emissions	14
Associated with Materials Incineration for Energy Recovery	
Figure.2.6: Waste Processing in Mass Burn and RDF Plant	14
Figure.2.7: The schematic presentation of gasification and combustion chambers of pilot scale waste gasification unit	16
Figure.2.8: Pilot scale W-t-E gasification plant during experiments and investigations	18
Figure.2.9: Gasification	19
Figure 2.10: The schematic presentation of single stage combustion with	20
steam generation and utilization	
Figure.2.11: The schematic presentation of complete waste gasification	20
system with immediate combustion of synthetic gas	
Figure.2.12: The schematic presentation of complete gasification system	21
with high efficient electrical power production unit	
Figure.2.13: The schematic presentation of pyrolysis system with high	22
efficient electrical power production unit	
Figure.2.14: Pyrolysis	22
Figure.2.15: Plasma Pyrolysis Vitrification (PPV)	23
Figure.2.16: The configuration of RDF production lines at MBT plant in Gać	26
Figure.2.17: Schematic figure of the experimental set-up of CRDF generation	27
Figure.2.18: Reactor set-up	30
Figure.2.19: DTG and TGA characteristics of RDF	32
Figure 2.20: Effect of torrefaction temperature and retention time on the	36
Moisture content in CRDF	26
Figure 2.21: Effect of torrefaction temperature and retention time on the organic matter content in CRDF	36
Figure 2.22: Effect of torrefaction temperature and retention time on the combustible content in CRDF	37
Figure 2.23: Effect of temperature and retention time on the volatile content in CRDF	37
Figure 2.24: Effect of torrefaction temperature and retention time on the ash content of CRDF	38
Figure 2.25: Effect of torrefaction temperature and retention time on the HHV of CRDF	38

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure 2.26: One-stage high-solids-stackable AD system flow diagram (with optional second stage)	45
Figure 2.27: Vertical silo, one-stage, high-solids-slurry AD process flow	49
Figure 2.28: Typical complete mix CSTR wet (low-solids) AD process flow	52
Figure 2.29: Pre-treatment scheme for food waste sent to WWTP digesters	53
Figure.2.30: Anaerobic Digestion Process	54
Figure.2.31: Biogas Production Rate from Market Garbage	54
Figure.2.32: Sri Lankan Batch type	55
Figure.2.33: Shear shredders are commonly used to reduce particle size and further mix SSO feed stocks prior to composting	56
Figure.2.34:Vertical mixer used at a composting facility	57
Figure.2.35: Water is best added to windrows when they are being turned	58
Figure.2.36: Water can be added to windrows and stockpiles using sprinklers	
Figure.2.37: Typical static pile method used to process L&YW	62
Figure.2.38: Typical footprint of a small scale (i.e., less than 1000-tpy) static pile composting facility	
Figure.2.39: Small bunker composting system	63
Figure.2.40: Straddle-style windrow turner	66
Figure 2.41: Typical windrow operation with a towed windrow turner	66
Figure.2.42: A self-propelled windrow turner used for turned mass bed	68
Composting	
Figure.2.43: A mass bed system in the process of being turned; note turner	68
location at bottom left of the pile and aisle created behind	
the turner	
Figure.2.44: Typical passively aerated windrow system	69
Figure.2.45: Typical passively aerated windrow system	72
Figure.2.46: Aeration pipes must be installed away from the edges of the	72
Compost pile to prevent short-circuiting of air	
Figure.2.47: In-floor aeration with pipe and spigot system; inset photo shows	72
system prior to concrete floor being poured	
Figure.2.48: Aeration systems are designed to operate in positive or negative	73
mode and can be designed to switch back and forth between the two modes	
Figure.2.49: Typical ASP system with aboveground piping; block walls sepa batches to better utilize available space	rate 74
Figure.2.50: Composting system using polyethylene covers	76
Figure.2.51: Covered, positively aerated composting system	76
Figure.2.52: Mobile unit used to place and remove covers	76
Figure.2.53: Close up of tunnel door system	78
Figure.2.54: Aerated static pile composting inside an enclosed concrete Tunnel	79
Figure.2.55: Static container composting system	80
Figure.2.56: Composting system that uses multiple static containers	80
Figure.2.57: Each static container is attached to a central air system using flexible hoses	81

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure.2.58: Agitated container composting system installed at a University Campus	82
Figure.2.59: Agitated container system	83
Figure.2.60: Channel composting system	84
Figure.2.61: Agitated bed system viewed from discharge end of bay	86
Figure 2.62: Bridge crane and suspended auger turning mechanism viewed from discharge side of Bay	
Figure.2.63: Agitated bay turner movement and material flow	87
Figure.2.64:Small-scale rotating drum system	89
Figure.2.65: Example of Materials and Energy Inputs and Emissions Associated with Composting of Yard Waste	90
Figure.2.66: Example of Materials and Energy Inputs and Emissions Associated with Recovery of a Specific MSW Constituent	1 91
Figure.2.67: MSW composition in some selected cities of Sri Lanka	100
Figure.3.1: Waste management Project at Karadiyana	106
Figure.3.2: SWM process model based on SWM practices in Sri Lanka	107
Figure.3.3: Proposed Mass Burn Incineration Plant	108
Figure.3.4: Proposed Biological Waste Processing Plant	109
Figure 3.5: Composition variation of municipal solid waste in Kandymunicipalit	y111
Figure.3.6: Experimental and Dulong model predictions and available energy in one tonne of Municipal Solid Waste	112
Figure 3.7: Calorific values of some fossil fuels and different types of Municipal Solid Waste: Source: for energy value of Coal and Kerosene Kandpal.et al. 1994	. 112
Figure.3.8: The derived elemental composition percentages using experimental carbon content and ratios of elements given by Tchobanoglous et al (1993)	114
Figure.3.9: Experimental and model predicted calorific values (kJ/kg) for different type of biodegradable wastes.	115
Figure.3.10: Waste composition in Kurunegala MC	117
Figure 3.11: Tensile testing machine, concrete mould and mechanical attachment	119
Figure 3.12: Compacted MSW Sample	120
Figure.3.13: Average composition of waste	121
Figure 3.14: Compaction ratio variation moisture content in Mix sample	121
Figure 3.15: Comparison of the mixed & individual MSW	123
Figure.3.16: Reduction of each waste by compaction	124
Figure 3.17: Composition of MSW arriving at Karadiyana open dumping site	125
Figure.3.18: Sample Box	126
Figure.3.19: Sample Collection at Gohagoda dump site	127
Figure.3.20: Compost Analytical Report at Gohagoda Composting Plant	128
Figure.3.21: Plastic Classification at Gohagoda Recycling Plant	129
Figure.3.22: Sample Collection at Sundarapola dump site	130
Figure.3.23: MSW sorting and drying	131

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure.3.24: MSW Composition Analysis-MC Kandy	132
Figure.3.25: MSW Composition Analysis-MC Kurunegala	132
Figure.3.26: % STBD & % MC Analysis in STBD-MC Kandy	133
Figure.3.27: % STBD & % MC Analysis in STBD-MC Kurunegala	133

LIST OF TABLES	Page
Table.01: Determine the Potential of Recovery of Energy	7
Table.02: Selection of Best Option for Energy Recovery	7
Table.03: Calorific value of synthetic gas produced with air and various gasifier types	17
Table.04: The average morphological composition (N=3) of the analysed RDF	34
Table.05: The average (±SD - standard deviation) values of physical and chemical properties of the analysed alternative fuel	35
Table.06: Reaction constant rate and activation energy	35
Table.07: The average (±SD - standard deviation) values of physical and chemical properties of the analysed alternative fuel and CRDF's	35
Table.08: Digester type by moisture content summary	41
Table.09: AD technology summary	43
Table.10: High-solids-stackable AD system advantages and disadvantages	47
Table 11: High-solids-slurry AD system advantages and disadvantages	50
Table.12: Wet (low-solids) AD system advantages and disadvantages	51
Table.13: Capacity and Gas Generation Relationship	55
Table.14: MSW Collection by Municipals in each district in Sri Lanka	92
Table.15: Calorific values of available MSW in local authorities in Colombo district	93
Table.16: Technology analysis of different MSW to Power Technologies	94
Table.17: Options for Integrated Technologies as per waste quantity generation	97
Table.18: Specifications for Various Type of Waste Processing Technologies	98
Table.19: Approximated time for compounds to biodegrade in a marine Environment	101
Table.20: Time-frame for common items to break down in a terrestrial Environment	102
Table.21: Proximate and ultimate analysis of waste components	105
Table.22: SW generation amount in the Kurunegala MC	116
Table.23: Result of Composition Analysis (Physical Composition)	136
Table.24: Physical and chemical composition of MSW in Sri Lanka	136
Table.25: Chemical Composition bio-degradable waste	136
Table.26: Chemical Composition STBD waste Analysed	137
Table.27: Selection of Best Option for Energy Recovery on STBD	137
Table.28: WTE technology Selection criteria on STBD	139

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<u>Abbreviation</u> <u>Description</u>

°C·min<sup>-1</sup> Centigrade per minute 3D Three Dimensional AD Anaerobic Digestion ASP Aerated Static Pile

ASTM American Society of Testing Materials

BM Bio Methanization

BOD Bio Chemical Oxygen Demand

CC Chemical Conversion
CFL Compact Fluorescent

CH<sub>4</sub> Methane

CO Carbon Monoxide CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide

CRDF Carbonized Refuse Derived Fuel CSTR Continually Stirred Tank Reactor

dm<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> Cubic decimetre per hour

DTG Degradation Thermo-gravimetric

EOL End-of-Life
Eq. Equation
EU Europe

FAS Free Air Supply

FBI Fluidized Bed Incineration

Fe Ferrous Fuel Value

GJ/t Giga Joule per ton

H<sub>2</sub> Hydrogen HCl Hydrochloric

HDPE High Density Polyethylene HHV Higher Heating Value IWP Integrated Waste Plant

JICA Japanese International Corporation Agency

kcal/kg kilo calories per kilogram

kcal/Nm<sup>3</sup> kilo calories per Newton percubic meter

KDU Kotalawala Defence University

kJ/kg kilo Joule per kilogram kJ/m³ kilo Joule per cubic meter KMC Kurunegala Municipal Council

kWh kilowatt hour

L&YWLeaf and Yard WasteLCVLower Calorific ValueLTBDLong Term Bio DegradableMBIMass Bed Incineration

MC Municipal Council/ Moisture Content

MJ/kg Mega Joule per kilogram

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<u>Abbreviation</u> <u>Description</u>

MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup> Mega Joule per Newton per cubic meter

MRF Material Recovery Facility
MSW Municipal Solid Waste

Mt Metric ton
MW Mega Watt
N<sub>2</sub> Nitrogen

NCV Net Calorific Value NO<sub>x</sub> Nitrous Oxide

NSWMSC National Solid Waste Management Science Centre

O<sub>2</sub> Oxygen

PHI Public Health Inspector
PM Particulate Matter

R&D Research and Development
RDF Refused Derived Fuel
SD Standard Deviation
SO<sub>x</sub> Sulphur Oxide

SSO Source Separated Organics
STBD Short Term Bio Degradable
SWM Solid Waste Management
TGA Thermo-gravimetric analysis

TPD Tons per Day
TPY Tons per year
TS Total Solids

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

VS Volatile Solids

WMA Waste Management Authority
WMC Waste Management Centre

WTE Waste to Energy

WWTP Waste Water Treatment Plant

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Appendix –I	MSW Composition Survey Sheet	142
Appendix – II	MSW Composition Survey Sheet	144
Appendix – III	MSW Physical and Chemical Composition Analysis Sheet	146
Appendix – IV	MSW Physical and Chemical Composition Analysis Sheet	147
Appendix – V	MSW Collection by Municipals	148