

## REFERENCES

1. Bartling, U. & Muhlenbein, H. (1997) *Optimization of large scale parcel distribution systems by the Breeder Genetic Algorithm (BGA)*. [Online] Available from: <http://muehlenbein.org/parcel98.pdf> [Accessed 6<sup>th</sup> June 2018].
2. Berman, O. & Wang, Q. (2006) Inbound Logistic Planning: Minimizing Transportation and Inventory Cost. *Transportation Science*, 40 (3), 287–299.
3. Cordeau, J.F., Gendreau, M., Laporte, G., Potvin, J.Y. & Semet, F. (2002) A guide to vehicle routing heuristics. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 53, 512-522.
4. Foster, B.A. & Ryan, D.M. (1976) An integer programming approach to the vehicle scheduling problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 27, 307-384.
5. Lee, Y.H., Jung, J.W. & Lee, K.M. (2006) Vehicle routing scheduling for cross-docking in the supply chain. *Computers and Industrial Engineering - Special issue: Logistics and supply chain management*, 51 (2), 247-256.
6. Nie, Y. (Marco), 2011. A cell-based Merchant–Nemhauser model for the system optimum dynamic traffic assignment problem. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 45, 329–342.
7. Peeta, S., Ziliaskopoulos, A., 2001. Foundations of dynamic traffic assignment: the past, the present and the future. *Networks Spat. Econ.* 1, 233–265.
8. Richards, P.I., 1956. Shock waves on the highway. *Oper. Res.* 4, 42–51.
9. RITA, 2014. Connected Vehicle Research in the United States. <[http://www.its.dot.gov/connected\\_vehicle/connected\\_vehicle\\_research.htm](http://www.its.dot.gov/connected_vehicle/connected_vehicle_research.htm)> (accessed 15.05.18).
10. Schrijver, A., 1998. *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*. John Wiley & Sons.
11. Szeto, W.Y., Lo, H.K., 2004. A cell-based simultaneous route and departure time choice model with elastic demand. *Transp. Res. Part B – Methodol.* 38, 593–612.
12. Tampère, C.M.J., Corthout, R., Cattrysse, D., Immers, L.H., 2011. A generic class of first order node models for dynamic macroscopic simulation of traffic flows. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 45, 289–309.
12. Ukkusuri, S.V., Ramadurai, G., Patil, G., 2010. A robust transportation signal control problem accounting for traffic dynamics. *Comput. Oper. Res.* 37, 869–879.

13. Ukkusuri, S.V., Han, L., Doan, K., 2012. Dynamic user equilibrium with a path based cell transmission model for general traffic networks. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 46, 1657–1684.
14. Waller, S.T., Ziliaskopoulos, A.K., 2006. A Combinatorial user optimal dynamic traffic assignment algorithm. *Ann. Oper. Res.* 144, 249–261.
15. Wunderlich, R., Elhanany, I., Urbanik, T., 2008. A novel signal-scheduling algorithm with quality-of-service provisioning for an isolated intersection. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.* 9, 536–547.
16. Wuthishuwong, C., Traechtler, A., 2013. Vehicle to infrastructure based safe trajectory planning for Autonomous Intersection Management. In: 2013 13th International Conference on ITS Telecommunications (ITST). IEEE, pp. 175–180.
17. Zheng, H., Chiu, Y.C., 2011. A network flow algorithm for the cell-based single-destination system optimum dynamic traffic assignment problem. *Transp. Sci.* 45, 121–137.
19. Zhu, F., Ukkusuri, S.V., 2013. A cell based dynamic system optimum model with non-holding back flows. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* 36, 367–380.
20. Zhu, F., Ukkusuri, S.V., 2014. Accounting for dynamic speed limit control in a stochastic traffic environment: a reinforcement learning approach. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* 41, 30–47.
21. Ziliaskopoulos, A.K., 2000. A linear programming model for the single destination system optimum dynamic traffic assignment problem. *Transp. Sci.* 34, 37–
22. Bliemer, M.C.J., 2007. Dynamic queuing and spillback in analytical multiclass dynamic network loading model. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* 2029, 14–21.
23. Broggi, A., 2012. IEEE News Releases. <[http://www.ieee.org/about/news/2012/5september\\_2\\_2012.html](http://www.ieee.org/about/news/2012/5september_2_2012.html)>(accessed 5.05.18).
24. Daganzo, C.F., 1994. The cell transmission model: a dynamic representation of highway traffic consistent with the hydrodynamic theory. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 28, 269–287.
25. Daganzo, C.F., 1995. The cell transmission model, part II: network traffic. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 29, 79–93.
26. Dantzig, G.B., Thapa, M.N., 2003. *Linear Programming 2: Theory and Extensions*. Springer.

- Doan, K., Ukkusuri, S.V., 2012. On the holding-back problem in the cell transmission based dynamic traffic assignment models. *Transp. Res. Part B* 46, 1218–1238.
27. Dresner, K., Stone, P., 2008. A multiagent approach to autonomous intersection management. *J. Artif. Intell. Res.* 31, 591–656.
  28. Fajardo, D., Au, T.-C., Waller, S.T., Stone, P., Yang, D., 2011. Automated intersection control. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board* 2259, 223–232.
  29. Friesz, T.L., Han, K., Neto, P.A., Meimand, A., Yao, T., 2013. Dynamic user equilibrium based on a hydrodynamic model. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 47, 102–126.
  30. Han, L.S., Ukkusuri, S., Doan, K., 2011. Complementarity formulations for the cell transmission model based dynamic user equilibrium with departure time choice, elastic demand and user heterogeneity. *Transp. Res. Part B – Methodol.* 45, 1749–1767.
  31. Hausknecht, M., Au, T.-C., Stone, P., 2011. Autonomous intersection management: multi-intersection optimization. *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst.*, 4581–4586
  32. Ho, J.K., 1980. A successive linear optimization approach to the dynamic traffic assignment problem. *Transp. Sci.* 14, 295–305.
  33. Jin, W.L., Zhang, H.M., 2003. On the distribution schemes for determining flows through a merge. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 37, 521–540.
  34. Lebacque, J.P., Khoshyaran, M., 2002. First order macroscopic traffic flow models for networks in the context of dynamic assignment. *Transp. Plan. – Appl. Optim. Appl. Optimiz.* 64, 119–140.
  35. Lee, J., Park, B., 2012. Development and evaluation of a cooperative vehicle intersection control algorithm under the connected vehicles environment. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.* 13, 81–90.
  36. Lee, J., Park, B. (Brian), Malakorn, K., So, J. (Jason), 2013. Sustainability assessments of cooperative vehicle intersection control at an urban corridor. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* 32, 193–206.
  37. Lighthill, M.J., Whitham, G.B., 1955. On kinematic waves. II. A theory of traffic flow on long crowded roads. *Proc. R. Soc. London. Series A, Math. Phys. Sci.* 229, 317–345.
  38. Lin, W.H., Wang, C.H., 2004. An enhanced 0-1 mixed-integer LP formulation for traffic signal control. *Ieee Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.* 5, 238–245. Lo, H.K., 1999. A novel traffic signal control formulation. *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.* 33, 433–448.

39. Lo, H.K., Szeto, W.Y., 2002. A cell-based variational inequality formulation of the dynamic user optimal assignment problem. *Transp. Res. Part B Methodol.* 36, 421–443.
40. Matousek, J., Gärtner, B., 2006. *Understanding and Using Linear Programming*. Springer. NHTSA, 2014. U.S. Department of Transportation Issues Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Begin Implementation of Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications Technology. <http://www.nhtsa.gov/About+NHTSA/Press+Releases/NHTSA-issues-advanced-notice-of-proposed-rulemaking-onV2V-communications> (accessed 15.05.18).