COST COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL AND FLAT SLAB STRUCTURES

NilushiHewavitharana

(138737G)

Degree of Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2017

COST COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL AND FLAT SLAB STRUCTURES

NilushiHewavitharana

(138737G)

Thesis / Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2017

DECLARATION

I declarethat this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

Signature:	Date:
Eng.N.Hewavitharana	
The above candidate has carried out research fo	r the Masters under my supervision.
Signature of the supervisor:	Date:

Dr.K.Baskaran

ABSTRACT

In present era, conventional Reinforced Concrete(RC) frame buildings are commonly used for the construction. The use of flat slab building provides many advantages over conventional RC frame building in terms of architectural flexibility, use of space, easier form work and shorter construction time.

In the present work conventional and flat slab four story buildings are considered for cost comparison. In this research flat slab building and normal symmetric RC frame buildings of different spans have been studied. The cost of construction for these buildings has also been compared. To find out the cost of reinforcement, formwork, concrete on structural elements slab, beam, columns are considered. For modeling and analysis of conventional and flat slabstructures, SAP 2000 software is used. The dead loads, live loads are considered as per British Standard.

The investigation shows that weight of flat slab structure is less compared to conventional slab structure. The cost of flat slab structure is less by around 12%-16% as compared to conventional slab. This study concludes that flat slab structures are the best suited for high rise buildings as compared to conventional slab structures, in terms of costof material.

Key words: Conventional slab, Flat slab, SAP2000, Cost Comparison

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My sincere thanks to the project supervisor Dr. K.Baskaran for devoting his valuable time in guiding me to complete this research study. It is no doubt that without his interest and guidance, this would not have been a success. He not only provided direction and guidance through the course of this research, but also inspired me to really learn and understand structural engineering.

I wish to thank the Vice Chancellor, Dean of the Faculty of Engineering and Head of the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa, for the permission granted for this research work. Further, I wish to offer my thank to the Coordinator of the Post Graduate research work of Structural Engineering and all the lecturers and staff of the Department of Civil Engineering who helped me in numerous ways. Also, I wish to thank the librarian and the staff of the library for the co-operation extended to me for this research work.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank Department of buildings for its generosity in funding and approving duty leave while attending the lectures and also for the encouragement and support given to success this research work and to prepare this thesis during the period of research.

I would like to pay special thank unless, warmth and appreciation to my husband encouragement given from the beginning of the research and also my little children Nemika, Tharuki & Sithuka whose help & sympathetic attitude at every point during my research, without whom I was nothing.

The final acknowledgement is to all others helped in various ways to achieve this goal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration		i
Abstract		ii
Acknowledgement	i	iii
Contents		iv
List of Figures		viii
List of Tables		ix
Chapter 1		
Introduction		
1.1 Genera	I	1
1.2 Objecti	1.2 Objectives	
1.3 Method	lology	3
1.4 Main fi	ndings	4
1.5 An ove	rview of the thesis	4
Chapter 2		
Literature Rev	iew	
2.1 Genera	I	6
2.2 Slabs		8
2.3 Conver	ntional beam slab	
	2.3.1 One –way slab	11
	2.3.2 Two-way slab	12
2.4 Flat sla	b	
	2.4.1 Types of flat slabs	17
	2.4.2 Thickness of flat slabs	17
	2.4.3 Types of flat slab design	18

2.4.4 Different components of flat slab	
2.4.4.1 Drop	18
2.4.4.2 Column head	19
2.4.4.3 Column strip	19
2.4.4.4 Middle strip	19
2.4.4.5 Panel	19
2.4.5 Use of column head	19
2.4.6 Use of drop panel	19
2.5 Problems with flat slabs	
2.5.1 Punching of flat slabs	20
2.5.1.1 General mechanism of failure	21
2.5.2 Deflection	22
2.6 Behaviour of flat slab building during earthquake	23
2.7 Difference between flat slab and conventional slab-beam	23
2.8 Structural Analysis software SAP2000 ver.14	24
2.9 Verification of SAP2000 software by modeling a four	
Story frame and compare axial load calculation	25
Chapter 3	
Structural arrangement & loads applied for case study	
3.1 General	31
3.2 Layout of structure 3.2.1 Floor loads	32
3.2.2 Initial member sizing	33
3.3 Material properties of structure	
3.3.1 Concrete	34
3.3.2 Reinforcement	34

3.4 Loading to be applied on the structure	
3.4.1 Dead and imposed loads	34
3.5 Structural form for case study 3.5.1 Flat slab building modeled with	
perimeter beam	35
3.5.2 Conventionalslab building modeled with	
perimeter beam	35
Chapter 4	
Computer modeling & case study	
4.1 Computer modeling	36
4.2 Load cases & combinations	36
Chapter 5	
Results and Discussion	38
Chapter 6	
Conclusion	
6.1 Conclusion	53
6.2 Future works	54
References	55
Appendices	
Appendix A	
A.1Calculations – Selection of section dimensions of four	
Story building	57

A.2 Calculations – Interior slab panel bending moment for	
Conventional slab	59
A.3 Calculations – Interior slab panel bending moment for	
flat slab	61
Appendix B	
B.1 Element forces –Frames for 7.5m conventional slab	65
B.2 Element forces –Frames for 7.5m flat slab	81
Appendix C	
C.1 Material requirement- conventional slab	97
C.2 Material requirement- flat slab	98
Appendix D	
D.1 Bending moment diagram for perimeter frame for	
7.5m-conventional slab	99
D.2 Shear force diagram for perimeter frame for	
7.5m-conventional slab	99
D.3 Bending moment diagram for internal framefor	
7.5m-conventional slab	100
D.4 Shear force diagram for internal framefor 7.5m-conventional slab	100
D.5 Bending moment diagram for perimeter framefor 7.5m-flat slab	101
D.6 Shear force diagram for perimeter frame for 7.5m-flat slab	101
Appendix E-Norms	
E.1 Mixing concrete Grade 30	102
E.2 Mild steel/Tor steel reinforcement to lintols slab beams or	
columns bent to shape laid in position and tied with G I wire as directed	102
E.3 Sawn timber form work for moulding, assembling,	
dismantling, cleaning and labour	103

List of figures

Figure 2.1	Brittle punching failure in flat slabs	6
Figure2.2	Floor height difference between flat slab and	
	Conventional beam slab	7
Figure 2.3	Flat slab failure in earthquake	7
Figure 2.4	Flat slab failure in earthquake	8
Figure 2.5	Beam with internal and external forces	10
Figure 2.6	One way slab with beams	11
Figure2.7	Two way slab with beams	12
Figure 2.8	Flat slab	14
Figure 2.9	Solid flat slab	15
Figure 2.10	Cofffered flat slab	15
Figure 2.11	Solid flat slab with drop panel	16
Figure 2.12	Types of flat slab	17
Figure 2.13	Flat Slab Failure due to Punching shear	21
Figure 2.14	Punching shear failure near columns	22
Figure 2.15	Conical failure surface of flat slabs	22
Figure 2.16	Flat slab model with perimeter beams	25
Figure 2.17	Conventional beam slab model	26
Figure 2.18	SAP Analysis window of the slab moment of	
	Conventional slab	29
Figure2.19	SAP Analysis window of the slab moment of	
	Flat slab	29
Figure 3.1	Layout plan for 7.5m span	32
Figure 4.1	Analyzed conventional beam slab building	38
Figure 4.2	Analyzed flat slab building	38
Figure 5.1	Span vs concrete volume (m ³)-Slabs	40
Figure 5.2	Span vs concrete volume (m ³)-Beams	41
Figure 5.3	Span vs concrete volume (m ³)-Columns	41
Figure 5.4	Span vs reinforcement (Mt)-Slabs	43
Figure 5.5	Span vs reinforcement (Mt)-Beams	44

Figure 5.6	Span vs reinforcement (Mt)-Columns	44
Figure 5.7	Span vs formwork area (m ²)-Slabs	46
Figure 5.8	Span vs formwork area (m ²)-Beams	47
Figure 5.9	Span vs formwork area (m ²)-Columns	47
List of tab	les	
Table 2.1	Load comparison-Conventional slab	27
Table 2.2	Load comparison-Flat slab	28
Table 3.1	Initial member sizing	33
Table 3.2	Grade of concrete and their properties, as BS8110	34
Table 5.1	Concrete requirement conventional slab	39
Table 5.2	Concrete requirement flat slab	40
Table 5.3	Percentage saving for Concreting (m ³) with respect to	40
	conventional slab	
Table 5.4	Reinforcement requirement conventional slab	40
Table 5.5	Reinforcement requirement flat slab	43
Table 5.6	Percentage saving for Reinforcement(Mt.) respect to	
	conventional slab	45
Table 5.7	Formwork requirement conventional slab	45
Table 5.8	Formwork requirement flat slab	46
Table 5.9	Percentage saving for formwork(m ²) respect to	
	conventional slab	48
Table5.10	Total Material requirement for conventional slab and	
	flat slab	48
Table 5.11	Total cost for conventional slab and flat slab	49
Table 5.12	Total cost per m ² for conventional slab and flat slab	49
Table 5.13	Shear check for 8.0m and 8.5m spans	50
Table 5.14	Axial load comparison	50
Table 5.15	Results comparison	52