AN APPROACH TO AUTOMATE A MODIFIED HEURISTIC EVALUATION METHOD FOR ASSESSING USABILITY OF WEBSITES

J.S.S. Wijesundare 139190 E

Faculty of Information Technology
University of Moratuwa
March 2016

AN APPROACH TO AUTOMATE A MODIFIED HEURISTIC EVALUATION METHOD FOR ASSESSING USABILITY OF WEBSITES

J.S.S. Wijesundare 139190 E

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Information Technology,
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for the partial fulfillment of the
requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in
Information Technology.

March 2016

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for

another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given. Jeevanthe Sulakshan Samaradiwakera Wijesundare Supervised by Dr. C.D. Gamage Signature Date: Mrs. G.T.I Karunarathne Signature Date:

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my parents.

Acknowledgement

I would like to acknowledge the continuing guidance and support by my supervisors, who are Dr. C.D. Gamage and Mrs G.T.I Karunarathne, which has made the conduct and completion of this study possible. I would like to thank my parents for the support they gave to complete this work on time. I would also like to acknowledge the support provided by the staff of the department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa, who generously offered their help in numerous ways.

Abstract

There has been a growing tendency towards greater usage of websites over the last few decades. This has resulted in a need to ensure better usability of these web sites. However, as some of the usability tests on web sites were either technology dependent, such as conformity to a particular web standard or application dependent, such as web sites built for news organizations, it was difficult to conduct a more general form of usability tests on websites. As a solution to this problem, researchers have developed various algorithms for assessing usability of websites in a more generic manner. However, as these evaluation schemes required the manual application of such algorithms, this exercise has turned out to be a tedious and time consuming task.

The research presented in this thesis had been conducted to develop an automated solution for the evaluation of usability of websites. It is hypothesized that automation of heuristic evaluation of web sites can be done by web page parsing with CssParser and Jsoup libraries. The solution takes web pages and CSS files saved on disk as inputs and produces a report of usability issues as the output.

Once the input set of web pages is provided, the automated solution developed in this research extracts certain attribute values from the saved CSS files and checks them against a set of predefined values. Based on the results, the usability problems are identified, and displayed as a report. The system developed is intended to be used by user interface designers, during the software interface design phase.

By using the web site usability solution developed in this research, web designers will be able to improve their designs after identifying the usability problems in user interfaces. The overall design of the solution include three modules, namely

the user interface module, evaluation engine module and a database of evaluation parameters. These modules were developed using the Java language and the overall system has been implemented to work in a platform independent manner.

The proof-of-concept of the automated solution for web site usability evaluation has been tested by considering 8 sample websites. The evaluation results shows that the developed scheme can evaluate the websites with an average accuracy of 67% while taking less than 30 seconds for evaluation. This clearly shows the utility of the developed system as an initial filter to identify web site designs with significant problems in their usability.

Table of Contents

| Chapter 1 – Introduction | 1 |
|--|----|
| 1.1 Prolegomena | 1 |
| 1.2 Background and motivation | 2 |
| 1.3 Problem definition | 2 |
| 1.4 Objectives | 3 |
| 1.5 Hypothesis | 3 |
| 1.6 Structure of the thesis | 4 |
| 1.7 Summary | 4 |
| Chapter 2 – State of the Art in Usability Evaluation | 5 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 5 |
| 2.2 Recent developments in usability evaluation | 5 |
| 2.3 Problem definition | 9 |
| 2.4 Summary | 10 |
| Chapter 3 - Technology adapted: HTML and CSS style-sheet | |
| analysis | 11 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 11 |
| 3.2 Programming language | 11 |
| 3.3 HTML scanning and data extraction | 12 |
| 3.4 CSS data processing | 13 |
| 3.4.1 CSS Selectors | 13 |
| 3.4.2 CssParser library | 14 |
| 3.5 Limitation of the static CSS code analysis | 16 |

| 3.6 Summary | 17 |
|---|----|
| Chapter 4 – A novel approach to automate heuristic evaluation | 18 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 18 |
| 4.2 Hypothesis | 18 |
| 4.3 Input | 18 |
| 4.4 Output | 19 |
| 4.5 Process | 19 |
| 4.5.1 Identification of usability errors | 19 |
| 4.5.2 Filtering specific results | 21 |
| 4.6 Features | 21 |
| 4.7 Users | 21 |
| 4.8 Summary | 21 |
| Chapter 5 – Design of the E-Validator system | 22 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 22 |
| 5.2 Top level architecture | 22 |
| 5.2.1 User interface | 22 |
| 5.2.2 Evaluation engine | 24 |
| 5.2.3 Database of evaluation parameters | 25 |
| 5.3 A mapping between selected heuristics and WCAG 2.0 | 25 |
| 5.4 Summary | 25 |
| Chapter 6 – Implementation of the E-Validator system | 26 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 26 |
| 6.2 Implementation details | 26 |

| 6.2.1 | User interfaces | 26 |
|-------------|--|----|
| 6.2.2 | Evaluation engine | 27 |
| | 6.2.2.1 WebPageParser | 28 |
| | 6.2.2.2 WebPage | 28 |
| | 6.2.2.3 CssDataBundle | 28 |
| | 6.2.2.4 CssDataBundleList | 29 |
| | 6.2.2.5 CssFileOfRules | 29 |
| | 6.2.2.6 CssParser | 30 |
| | 6.2.2.7 PageError | 31 |
| | 6.2.2.8 BrowsedLinksAreNotInPurple | 32 |
| | 6.2.2.9 LinksAreNotUnderlined | 35 |
| | 6.2.2.10 MenusHaveSmallTargets | 35 |
| 6.3 Summary | | 36 |
| | | |
| Chapter 7 | - Evaluation | 37 |
| | | |
| 7.1 Introd | uction | 37 |
| 7.2 Data c | ollection | 37 |
| 7.2.1 The | evaluated websites | 37 |
| 7.2.2 Syste | m generated output | 38 |
| 7.2.3 Resu | lts of expert evaluations | 39 |
| 7.3 Metho | d of analysis | 39 |
| 7.4 Results | 5 | 41 |
| 7.5 Analys | is of the test-case with the lowest accuracy | 42 |
| 7.6 Summary | | 43 |
| | | |

| Chapter 8 – Conclusions and Further work | |
|--|----|
| 8.1 Introduction | 44 |
| 8.2 Conclusion | 44 |
| 8.3 Further work | 45 |
| 8.4 Summary | 45 |
| References | 46 |
| Appendix A – Detailed Designing | 48 |
| Appendix B – Selected source code | 49 |
| Appendix C – Questionnaire | 62 |

List of Figures

| Figure 3.1 - Nested div tags | 12 |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.2 - Extraction of web page data using methods from Jsoup library $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $ | 13 |
| Figure 3.3 – Definition of a generic selector | 14 |
| Figure 3.4 – A selector named with a user defined string | 14 |
| Figure 3.5 - How to use the CssParser library methods to extract style-sheet | t |
| information | 16 |
| Figure 4.1 – Flowchart showing the process of the identification of | |
| usability errors | 19 |
| Figure 5.1 – Top level architecture of the E-Validator software system | 22 |
| Figure 6.1 – Design class diagram | 27 |
| Figure 6.2 – How Css code can be included in a web page | 31 |
| Figure 6.3 – Source code of the PageError class | 32 |
| Figure 6.4 – parsePages method of the WebPageParser class | 33 |
| Figure 7.1 – Formula used for calculating system accuracy | 40 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1 – Limitations of the developments in usability evaluations | 10 |
|---|------------|
| Table 5.1 – A mapping between heuristics and the WCAG 2.0 guidelines | 22 |
| Table 7.1 – Websites considered for evaluation | 38 |
| Table 7.2 – List of usability issues the system can identify | 39 |
| Table 7.3 –Usability issues identified by the E-Validator software system | 4(|
| Table 7.4 – Heuristic evaluation results of industry experts | 4 1 |
| Table 7.5 - Data analysis | 42 |