

Chapter 8

REFERENCES

Celano, G., Castagliola, P., Trovato, E. and Fichera, S. (2011). Shewhart and EWMA t control charts for short production runs. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 27(3), 313–326.

Chang, T. C. and Gan, F. F. (1993). Optimal designs of one-sided EWMA charts for monitoring a process variance. *Journal of Statistical Computing & Simulations*, 49, 33–48.

Chang, T. C. and Gan, F. F. (1995). A cumulative sum control chart for monitoring process variance. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 27, 109–119.

Chantraine, P. M. R. (1987). Geometric moving average charts in a manufacturing environment. Paper presented at the 31st annual full technical fall technical conference, Atlantic, NJ.

Chao, M. T. and Cheng, S. W. (1996). Semicircle control chart for variable data. *Quality Engineering*, 8(3), 441–446.

Chen, G. and Cheng, S. W. (1998). Max chart: Combining X-Bar chart and S-chart. *Statistica Sinica*, 8, 263–271.

Chen, G., Cheng, S. W. and Xie, H. W. (2001). Monitoring process mean and variability with one EWMA chart. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 33, 223–233.

Chen, G., Cheng, S. W. and Xie, H. W. (2004). A new EWMA control chart for monitoring both location and dispersion. *Quality Technology & Quantitative Management*, 1, 217–231.

Chris, W. T. C. (1999). Joint monitoring Shewhart control charts. MSc Thesis, Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, National University of Singapore.

Crowder, S. V. (1987). A simple method for studying run-length distributions of exponentially weighted moving average charts. *Technometrics*, 29, 401–407.

Crowder, S. V. (1989). Design of exponentially weighted moving average schemes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 21, 155–162.

Del Castillo, E. (1996). Evaluation of the run length distribution of X charts with unknown variance. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 28(1), 116–122.

Gan, F. F. (1991). An optimum design of CUSUM quality control charts. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 23, 279–286.

Gan, F. F. (1992). Exact run length distributions of exponential EWMA control charts. Research Report #857, Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

Gan, F. F. (1995). Joint Monitoring of process mean and variance using exponentially weighted moving average control charts. *Technometrics*, 37, 446–453.

Gan, F. F. (1997). Joint Monitoring of process mean and variance. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, 30, 7, 4017–4024.

Gan, F. F. (1998). Designs of one and two-sided exponential EWMA charts. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 30, 55–69.

Gan, F. F., Ting, K. W. and Chang, T. C. (2004). Interval charting schemes for joint monitoring of process mean and variance. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 20(4), 291-303.

Garvin, D. A. (1987). Competing in the eight dimensions of quality. *Harvard Business Review*. Sept. – Oct., 87(6), pp. 101 -109.

Ghosh, B. K., M. R., Reynolds Jr. and Hui, Y. Van (1981). Shewhart \bar{X} bar charts with estimated variance. *Communications in Statistics: Theory and Methods*, 18, 1797–1822.

Haq, A., Brown, J. and Moltchanova, E. (2014). Improved fast initial response features for exponentially weighted average and cumulative sum control charts. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* (in press) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qre.1521>

Haq, A., Brown, J. and Moltchanova, E. (2014). New exponentially weighted average control charts for monitoring process dispersion. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* (early access online) [http:// dx.doiorg/10.1002/qre.1553](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qre.1553)

Hawkins, D.M. (1987). Self-Starting CUSUM charts for location and scale. *The Statistician*, 36(4), 299–316.

Hunter, J. S. (1986). The Exponentially Weighted Moving Average. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 18, 239–250.

Jones, L. A. (2002). The statistical design of EWMA control charts with estimated parameters. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 34, 277–288.

Jones, L. A., Champ, C. W. and Rigdon, S. E. (2001). The performance of exponentially weighted moving average charts with estimated parameters. *Technometrics*, 43, 156–167.

Lucas, J.M. and Saccucci, M.S. (1987). Exponentially weighted moving average control schemes: Properties and enhancements. Drexel University Faculty working series paper, 87-5.

Maravelakis, P. E. and Castagliola, P. (2009). An EWMA chart for monitoring the process standard deviation when parameters are estimated. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(7), 2653–2664.

McCracken, A.K. and Chakaborthi, S. (2013). Control Charts for Joint Monitoring of Mean and Variance: An Overview. *Journal of Quality Technology & Quantitative Management*, 10 (1), 17-36.

McCracken, A.K., Chakaborthi, S. and Mukherjee, A. (2013). Control Charts for Joint Simultaneous Monitoring of Unknown Mean and Variance of Normally Distributed Processes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 45 (4), 360 -376.

Montgomery, D. C. (1996). *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control*, John Wiley and Sons.

Page, E. S. (1954). Continuous inspection schemes. *Biometrika*, 41, 100–115.

Page, E. S. (1961). Cumulative Sum Charts. *Technometrics*, 3, 1–9.

Quesenberry, C. P. (1995). On properties of Q charts variables. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 21, 242–250.

Razmy, A.M. (2005). Joint monitoring of process mean and variance. MSc Thesis, Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

Razmy, A.M. (2010). Joint monitoring of process mean and variance with Shewhart distance scheme, *Sri Lankan Journal of Applied Statistics*, 11, 14-26.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2012). A Standard method to compare the combined quality monitoring schemes using average run length properties. *2nd International Symposium -2012, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka*. 172-173.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2013a). Design of exponentially weighted moving average scheme for standardized means. *Sri Lankan Journal of Applied Statistics*. 14 (2), 145 -152.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G (2013b). Design of exponentially weighted moving average chart for monitoring standardized process variance. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 13, 74-78.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2013c). Performance comparison of Shewhart joint monitoring schemes for mean and variance, *National Engineering Conference, 2013, 19th ERU Symposium*, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 19, 99 -104

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2014a). Comparison of rectangular and elliptical control region EWMA schemes for joint quality monitoring, *Open Journal of Statistics*. 4(11), 970-976.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2014b). A novel joint quality monitoring approach for industrial application. *Proceedings of the 1st Quality Symposium -2014, Sri Lanka Standards Institution*. 001-005.

Razmy, A.M. and Peiris, T.S.G. (2014c). An Improved Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Chart for Monitoring Process Variance, *Australian Statistical Conference 2014 in Sydney*

Roberts, S. W. (1959). Control chart tests based on geometric moving averages. *Technometrics*, 1, 239–250.

Robinson, P.B. and Ho, T.Y. (1978). Average run lengths of geometric moving average charts by numerical methods, *Technometrics*, 20, 85 – 93.

Shewhart, W. A. (1939). *Statistical methods from the view point of quality control*, Washington D.C, Graduate School, Department of Agriculture. Report # 76

Vardeman, S. and Ray D. (1985). Average run lengths for CUSUM schemes when observations are exponentially distributed. *Technometrics*, 27,145–150.

APPENDIX A

SAS PROGRAMS DEVELOPED FOR THIS STUDY

Appendix A1

SAS Programme for finding Control Limits for the EWMA Chart for Monitoring the Process Mean based on Standardized Sample Mean for Different In-control ARLs

The program given in appendix A 1 is for finding the control limit for the EWMA Chart for Monitoring the Process Mean based on Standardized Sample Mean for in-control ARL of 250 when $\lambda_M = 0.65$. For simulated data, for different control limits, the ARLs were found. Using iteration, the control limit that give the in-control ARL of 250 is taken as the control limit for the particular $\lambda_M = 0.65$ and ARL 250 combination. The simulations were run 1,000,000 times and the result was found as 1.99. Like this, programs were run for different combination of λ_M and in-control ARLs to find the control limits.

```
datacontrollimitsmean;
mu0 = 0;
sigma=1.0;
sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
nnn = 5;
nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
nnn1 = nnn -1;
totalrun = 100000;
maxsamp = 1000000;
seed = 97287416;
lam = 0.65;
onelm= 1.0 - lam;
bigd = 0;
smalld =1 ;
```



```

do bigh = 1.97,1.98,1.99,2.00,2.01;
smallh = -bigh;
actuals = smalld*sigma;
actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
message ='OK';
do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
zzz = 0;
runlen = 0;
do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
ut = (xbar - mu0)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
runlen =runlen +1;
zzz = onelm*zzz + lam*ut;
if zzz > bigh or zzz < smallh then do;
output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep runlen bigh ;

```

```

end;
Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
procmeans data = controllimitsmean;
by high ;
run;

```

The Sample out-put for this program is as follows:

The SAS System 09:13 Thursday, January 3, 2014 34

```

----- high=1.97 -----
                The MEANS Procedure
                Analysis Variable : runlen

```

N	Mean	Std Dev
1000000	228.3777167	227.2598430

```

----- high=1.98 -----

```

N	Mean	Std Dev
300000	239.3720567	238.5905870

```

----- high=1.99 -----

```

N	Mean	Std Dev
300000	249.6155900	248.8675031

```


```

N	Mean	Std Dev
300000	260.6662367	260.3776291

```


```

Appendix A2

SAS Programme for finding Optimum λ_M Values for the EWMA Chart for Monitoring the Process Mean based on Standardized Sample Mean for different in-control ARLs

The program given in appendix A 2 is for finding the optimum λ_M value for the EWMA Chart for 2.7 Δ shift in mean. Out-of-control ARLs were obtained for different λ_M values after knowing the control limits. The λ_M value which gives the smallest out-of-control ARL is taken as the optimum λ_M value for quick detection of 2.7 Δ shift in mean. The simulations were run 1,000,000 times and when there is 2.7 Δ shift in mean, the smallest out-of-control ARL was obtained for $\lambda_M = 0.65$. Like this, programs were run for different combination of Δ , and in-control ARLs to find the optimum λ_M values for different shift in mean Δ

```
data optimumlamdam;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma = 1.0;
  sigmaSq = sigma * sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn - 1;
  totalrun = 1000000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 972885918;
  lam = 0.65;
  onelm = 1.0 - lam;
  bigd = 2.7;
  smalld = 1;
  do bigh = 1.99;
```



```

smallh = -bigh;
actuals = smalld*sigma;
actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
message ='OK';
do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
  zzz = 0;
  runlen = 0;
  do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
    x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
    x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
    xbar = xsum/nnn;
    s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
    s = s/nnn1;
    ut = (xbar - mu0)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
    runlen =runlen +1;
    zzz = onelm*zzz + lam*ut;
    if zzz > bigh or zzz < smallh then do;
      output;
      goto DONE1;
    end;
    keep runlen lam bigh ;
  end;
  Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
  output;
DONE1:
end;
end;

```

```
procmeans data = optimumlamdam;  
by high ;  
run;
```

Appendix A3

SAS Programme for finding Control Limits for the one sided EWMA Chart for Monitoring the Process variance Mean based on Standardized Sample variance for different in-control ARLs

```
data controllimitvar;
mu0 = 0;
sigma=1.0;
sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
nnn = 5;
nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
nnn1 = nnn -1;
totalrun = 3000;
maxsamp = 1000000;
seed = 9287016;
lamv = 0.1;
onelamv= 1.0 - lamv;
bigd = 0;
smalld =1 ;
do bigh = 0.548182;
smallh = -bigh;
actuals = smalld*sigma;
actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
message ='OK';
do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
mmm = 0;
runlen = 0;
do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```



```

x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
v = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
      vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
      if vv > 0.000000000000001 and ww < 0.999999999999999 then
      vvv = probit(vv);else
      vvv = 8.21;
      runlen = runlen + 1;
      mmm = onelamv*mmm + lamv*vvv;
      if mmm>high then do;

output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep runlen high xbar qqq rrr vvv uuu message x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s;
end;
Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
proc print data = controllimitvar;
proc means data = controllimitvar;
by high ;
run;

```

AppendixA4

SAS Programme for finding Optimum λ_v Values for the EWMA Chart for Monitoring the Process Variance based on Standardized Sample variance for different in-control ARLs

```
data optimumlamdv;
mu0 = 0;
sigma=1.0;
sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
nnn = 5;
nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
nnn1 = nnn -1;
totalrun = 100000;
maxsamp = 1000000;
seed = 9287016;
lamv = 0.01;
onelamv= 1.0 - lamv;
bigd = 0, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5;
smalld = 0.5, 0.75, 0.95, 1, 1.10, 1.25, 1.5, 3 ;
do bigh = 0.7482;
smallh = -bigh;
actuals = smalld*sigma;
actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
message ='OK';
do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
mmm = 0;
runlen = 0;
do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```

```

x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
v = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
      vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
      if vv > 0.000000000000001 and vv < 0.999999999999999 then
      vvv = probit(vv);else
      vvv = 8.21;
      runlen = runlen + 1;
      mmm = onelamv*mmm + lamv*vvv;
                                     if mmm>bigh then do;
      output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep runlen bighxbar qqq rrr vvv uuu message x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 ;
end;
Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
proc print data = optimumlamdv;
proc means data = optimumlamdv;
by bigh ;
run;

```


Appendix A5

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the SEEWMA Scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data SEEWMA;
  mu = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  lim = 1.148;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 10000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 857799;
  lam = 0.134;
  lamv = 0.141;
  onelm= 1.0 - lam;
  onelmv =1.0-lamv;
  bigh= (0.7737 + 0);
  sqbigh = (bigh**2);
  * smallh = -bigh;
  bighv = (0.734 + 0);
  sqbighv = (bighv**2);
  * sf = sqbigh/sqbighv;
  *cf = 1.442401;
  *bigr =sqbigh*cf;
  * Hre is sqrt of this;
  * bigd = 0;
  * smalld =1 ;
```

```

do bigd = 0.6;
  do smalld = 1.5;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
    message='Ok';
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      qqm = 0;
      qqv=0;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
        x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
        x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
        xbar = xsum/nnn;
        s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
        s = s/nnn1;
        uu = (xbar - mu)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
        v = nnn1*s/sigma2;
        vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
        if vv > 0.000000000000001 and vv < 0.999999999999999 then
          vv = probit(vv);else
          vv = 8.21;
        runlen =runlen +1;
        qqm = onelm*qqm + lam*uu;
        qqv = onelmv*qqv + lamv*vv;
      end do sample;
    end do runnum;
  end do smalld;
end do bigd;

if qqv < 0.00000 and nnn > 1 then
  aat = qqv; else

```

```

    aat= 0.00000;
    sqqqm = qqqm*qqqm;
    sqaat = aat*aat;
    *sqqqv = qqqv*qqqv;
    *rrr= sqqqm + sf*sqqqv;
    ct = (sqqqm/sqbig) +(sqaat/sqbighv);
    * if qqqm > bigh or qqqm < smallh or qqqv > bighv then do;
        if ct > lim then do;
            output;
            goto DONE1;
        end;
    keep runlen bigd smalld xbar qqqm qqqv rrr vv uu v vvv vvv
    x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 ;
    end;
    message ='Maximum # of samples Exceeded';
    output;
    DONE1:
    end;
end;
end;
proc print data = SEEWMA ;
proc means data = SEEWMA;
by bigd smalld;
run;

```


Appendix A6

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the CS_s Scheme for different in-control ARL_s

```
data csr;
  sigma =1;
  mu0 = 0;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsq = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 100000;
  maxsamp = 1000;
  seed = 967302006;
  km=0.224;
  kvu =0.055;
  kvl = 0.666;
  bighm = 2.268;
  smallhm= -bighm;
  bighv = 4.006;
  smallhv =-5.054;
  *bigd = 0;
  *smalld = 1;
  do bigd = 0, 0.2, 0.40,0.5,1,1.5,3;
  do smalld = 0.50, 0.75,0.95, 1, 1.05,1.10, 1.25,1.5, 3;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/sqrt(nnn);
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      ssm=0;
      ttm =0;
      ssv=0;
      ttv=0;
      *qqqm = 0;
```

```

runlen = 0;
do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
    x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
    x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
    xbar = xsum/nnn;
    s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
    s = s/nnn1;
    runlen = runlen + 1;
    ssm = max(0,ssm+xbar-km);
    ttm = min(0,ttm+xbar+km);
    ssv = max(0,ssv+log(s)-kvu);
    ttv = min(0,ttv+log(s)+kvl);
    if ssm > bighm or ttm < smallhm or ssv>bighv or ttv<smallhv then
        do;
            output;
            goto DONE1;
        end;
    keep bigd smalld xbar ssm ttm ssv ttv s mu0 runlen;
end;
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
end;
proc means data = csr;
by bigd smalld;
run;

```

Appendix A7

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the ES_c scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
dataese;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  logsigma = -0.270;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsq = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 10;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 23405701;
  lamm = 0.134;
  lamv =0.106;
  onelm = 1.0 - lamm;
  onelv = 1.0 - lamv;
  bighm = 0.372;
  smallhm = -bighm;
  bighv = 0.250;
  smallhv =-0.92;
  sqsmallhm=smallhm*smallhm;
  bhvmls = bighv-logsigma;
  sqbhvmls =bhvmls*bhvmls;
  shvmls = -smallhv-logsigma;
  sqshvmls=shvmls*shvmls;
  bigd = 0;
  smalld = 1;
  *do bigd = 0,0.2,.40,1,3;
  *do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.25,3;
  actuals = smalld*sigma;
```



```

actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/sqrt(nnn);
do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
  qqqm = 0;
  qqqv = -0.270;
  runlen = 0;
  do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
    x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
    xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
    x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
    xbar = xsum/nnn;
    s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
    s = s/nnn1;
    lgs = log(s);
    runlen = runlen + 1;
    qqqm = onelm*qqqm + lamm*xbar;
    qqqv = onelv*qqqv + lamv*lgs;
    hhha = (qqqm-mu0)*(qqqm-mu0)/sqsmallhm;
    hhhb = (qqqv-logsigma)*(qqqv-logsigma);
    if (qqqv > logsigma)then ttt = hhha +
hhhb/sqbhvmls;
    else
      ttt = hhha + hhhb/sqshvmls;
      if ttt >1 then do;
output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep bigd smalld xbar lgs qqqm qqqv mu0 runlen bhvmls
sqbhvmls shvmls sqshvmls hhha hhhb ttt;

```

```
        end;  
output;  
DONE1:  
        end;  
        *end;  
        *end;  
procprint data = ese;  
procmeans data = ese;  
by bigd smalld;  
run;
```

Appendix A8

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the ES_r scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data esr;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 30000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 97257416;
  lam = 0.08;
  onelm= 1.0 - lam;
  bigh = 3.01;
  *bigd = 0;
  *smalld =1 ;
do bigd = 0,1;
  do smalld = 1,1.25;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
    message ='OK';
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      qqq = 2;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
        x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```



```

x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
uu = (xbar - mu0)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
uuu = uu*uu;
        h = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
hhh= CDF('CHISQUARE',h,nnn1 );
        if hhh>0.0000000000001 and hhh< 0.99999999999999
then
        vv = probit(hhh);else
        vv = 8.21;
                vv = vv*vv;
                rrr = uuu+vvv;
                runlen =runlen +1;
                qq = onelm*qq + lam*rrr;
                if qq > bigh then do;

output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep runlen bigd smalld ;
*xbar qq rrr vv uuu message
        x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s ;

end;
Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
end;

```

```
proc print data = esr;  
proc means data = esr;  
by bigd smalld;  
run;
```

Appendix A9

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the SS,scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data ssr;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsq = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 100000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 98749706;
  bighm = 1.383;
  smallhm = -bighm;
  bighv = 1.531;
  smallhv =-3.789;
  * bigd = 1.0;
  * smalld = 1.05;
do bigd = 0,0.2,0.4,0.6,1,1.5,3;
  do smalld= 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.5,3;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/sqrt(nnn);
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
        x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```



```

xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
loggs=log(s);
runlen = runlen + 1;
    if xbar>bighm or xbar< smallhm or loggs > bighv or
loggs < smallhv then do;
        output;
        goto DONE1;
    end;
    keep bigd smalld xbar loggs mu0 runlen;
end;
output;
DONE1:
    end;
end;
end;
*proc print data = ssr;
proc means data = ssr;
by bigd smalld;
run;

```

Appendix A10

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the SS_e scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data sse;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  logsigma = -0.270;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsq = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 10;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 23497746;
  bighm = 1.500;
  smallhm = -bighm;
  bighv = 1.634;
  smallhv = -4.256;
  sqsmallhm=smallhm*smallhm;
  bhvmls = bighv-logsigma;
  sqbhvmls =bhvmls*bhvmls;
  shvmls = smallhv-logsigma;
  sqshvmls=shvmls*shvmls;
  do bigd = 0,0.2,.40,0.60,1,1.5,3;
  do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.5,3;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/sqrt(nnn);
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
        x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```

```

x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
lgs=log(s);
runlen = runlen + 1;
hhha = (xbar-mu0)*(xbar-mu0)/sqsmallhm;
hhhb = (lgs-logsigma)*(lgs-logsigma);
if (lgs > logsigma)then T = hhha + hhhb/sqbhvmls;
else
T = hhha + hhhb/sqshvmls;
if T > 1 then do;
output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep bigd smalld runlenxbar lgs hhha hhhb T runlen;
end;
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
end;
proc print data = sse;
proc means data = sse;
by bigd smalld;
run;

```


Appendix A11

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the MS_m scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data msm;
  mu = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 10;
  maxsamp = 10000000;
  seed = 128452701;
  *lam = 0.134;
  *onelm= 1.0 - lam;
  bigh = 3.090189;
  * bigd = 0;
  * smalld =1 ;
  do bigd = 0,0.2,0.40,0.6,1,1.5,3;
    do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.50,3;
      actuals = smalld*sigma;
      actualm = mu + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
      message='Ok';
      do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
        qqqm = 0;
        qqqv=0;
        runlen = 0;
        do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
          x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
```

```

x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
uu = (xbar - mu)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
v = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
if vv > 0.00000000000001 and vv < 0.999999999999999 then
vvv = probit(vv);else
vvv = 8.21;
vvvv = abs(vvv);
uuuu = abs(uu);
if vvvv > uuuu then mn= vvvv; else
mn = uuuu;
runlen =runlen +1;
    if mn > bigh then do;
        output;
        goto DONE1;
    end;
keep runlen bigd xbar qqqm qqqv rrr vv uu v vvv vvv
    x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s ;
end;
message ='Maximum # of samples Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;

```

```
end;  
proc print data = msm ;  
proc means data = msm;  
by bigd smalld;  
run;
```


Appendix A12

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the ES_{mc} scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data esme;
  mu = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 100000;
  maxsamp = 100000000000;
  seed = 128452701;
  lam = 0.30;
  onelm= 1.0 - lam;
  bigh = 1.268;
  bigd = 0;
  smalld =1 ;
  * do bigd = 0,0.2,0.40,0.6,1,1.5,3;
  *   do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.50,3;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
    message='Ok';
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      * qqm = 0;
      * qqv=0;
      y = 0;
      z = 0;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
```

```

x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
uu = (xbar - mu)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
v = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
if vv > 0.000000000000001 and vv < 0.999999999999999 then
vvv = probit(vv);else
vvv = 8.21;
* vvvv = abs(vvv);
* uuuu = abs(uu);
* if vvvv > uuuu then mn= vvvv; * else
* mn = uuuu;
runlen =runlen +1;
y = onelm*y + lam*uu;
z = onelm*z + lam*vvv;
m = max(abs(y),abs(z));
    if m > bigh then do;
        output;
        goto DONE1;
    end;
keep runlen bigd smalld uu vvv y z m xbar qqqm qqv rrr vv
uu v vvv vv x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s ;
end;
message ='Maximum # of samples Exceeded';

```

```
output;
```

```
DONE1:
```

```
end;
```

```
proc print data = esme ;
```

```
proc means data = esme;
```

```
by bigd smalld;
```

```
run;
```


Appendix A13

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the ES_{sc} scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data essc;
  mu0 = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 100000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 97257416;
  lam = 0.150;
  onelm= 1.0 - lam;
  bigh = 1.84*2;
  do bigd = 0,0.2,.40,0.60,1,1.5,3;
  do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.5,3;
    actuals = smalld*sigma;
    actualm = mu0 + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
    message ='OK';
    do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
      qq = 2;
      runlen = 0;
      do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
        x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
        xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
```

```

x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
uu = (xbar - mu0)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
uuu = uu*uu;
      h = nnn1*s/sigmasq;

hhh= CDF('CHISQUARE',h,nnn1 );
      if hhh>0.0000000000001 and hhh< 0.999999999999999
then
      vv = probit(hhh);else
      vv = 8.21;
      vvv = vv*vv;
      rrr = uuu+vvv;
      runlen =runlen +1;
      qqg = onelm*qqg + lam*rrr;
      if qqg > bigh then do;

output;
goto DONE1;
end;
keep runlen bigd smalld xbar qqg rrr vvv uuu message
      x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s ;

end;
Message = 'Maximum # of smaple Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
end;
proc print data =essc;
proc means data = essc;

```

by bigd smalld;

run;

Appendix A14

SAS Programme for finding Optimum Chart Parameters for the SS_d scheme for different in-control ARLs

```
data ssd;
  mu = 0;
  sigma=1.0;
  sigmasq =sigma*sigma;
  nnn = 5;
  nnnsqrt = sqrt(nnn);
  nnn1 = nnn -1;
  totalrun = 100000;
  maxsamp = 1000000;
  seed = 900452701;
  bigh = 3.323;
  smallh = - bigh;
  do bigd = 0,0.2,0.40,0.6,1,1.5,3;
    do smalld = 0.5,0.75,0.95,1,1.05,1.10,1.25,1.50,3;
      actuals = smalld*sigma;
      actualm = mu + bigd*sigma/nnnsqrt;
      message='Ok';
      do runnum = 1 to totalrun;
        qqm = 0;
        qqv=0;
        runlen = 0;
        do sample = 1 to maxsamp;
          x1 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          x2 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          x3 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          x4 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          x5 = actualm + actuals*rannor(seed);
          xsum = x1+x2+x3+x4+x5;
```

```

x2sum = x1*x1+x2*x2+x3*x3+x4*x4+x5*x5;
xbar = xsum/nnn;
s = x2sum - xsum*xsum/nnn;
s = s/nnn1;
uu = (xbar - mu)/sigma*nnnsqrt;
uuu = uu*uu;
v = nnn1*s/sigmasq;
vv= CDF('CHISQUARE',v,nnn1 );
if vv > 0.000000000000001 and vv < 0.999999999999999 then
vvv = probit(vv);else
vvv = 8.21;
vvvv=vvv*vvv;
rrrsq = vvvv+ uuu;
rrr = sqrt(rrrsq);
runlen =runlen +1;
if rrr>big then do;
            output;
            goto DONE1;
        end;
keep runlen bigd smalldxbar qqm qqv rrr vv uu v vvv vvv
        x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 s ;
end;
message ='Maximum # of samples Exceeded';
output;
DONE1:
end;
end;
end;
proc print data = ssd ;
proc means data = ssd;
by bigd smalld;
run;

```

Appendix B

Piston ring data set. (Montgomery, 1996)

Sample t	X_{t1}	X_{t2}	X_{t3}	X_{t4}	X_{t5}
1	74.030	74.002	74.019	73.992	74.008
2	73.995	73.992	74.001	74.011	74.004
3	73.988	74.024	74.021	74.005	74.002
4	74.002	73.996	73.993	74.015	74.009
5	73.992	74.007	74.015	73.989	74.014
6	74.009	73.994	73.997	73.985	73.993
7	73.995	74.006	73.994	74.000	74.005
8	73.985	74.003	73.993	74.015	73.988
9	74.008	73.995	74.009	74.005	74.004
10	73.998	74.000	73.990	74.007	73.995
11	73.994	73.998	73.994	73.995	73.990
12	74.004	74.000	74.007	74.000	73.996
13	73.983	74.002	73.998	73.997	74.012
14	74.001	74.001	73.999	74.002	74.003
15	74.012	74.014	73.998	73.999	74.007
16	74.000	74.002	74.005	73.998	73.996
17	73.994	74.012	73.986	74.005	74.007
18	74.006	74.010	74.018	74.003	74.000
19	73.984	74.002	74.003	74.005	73.997
20	74.000	74.010	74.013	74.020	74.003
21	73.988	74.001	74.009	74.005	73.996
22	74.004	73.999	73.990	74.006	74.009
23	74.010	73.989	73.990	74.009	74.014
24	74.015	74.008	73.993	74.000	74.010
25	73.982	73.984	73.995	74.017	74.013
26	74.012	74.015	74.030	73.986	74.000
27	73.995	74.010	73.990	74.015	74.001
28	73.987	73.999	73.985	74.000	73.990
29	74.008	74.010	74.003	73.991	74.006
30	74.003	74.000	74.001	73.986	73.997
31	73.994	74.003	74.015	74.020	74.004
32	74.008	74.002	74.018	73.995	74.005
33	74.001	74.004	73.990	73.996	73.998
34	74.015	74.000	74.016	74.025	74.000
35	74.030	74.005	74.000	74.016	74.012
36	74.001	73.990	73.995	74.010	74.024
37	74.015	74.020	74.024	74.005	74.019
38	74.035	74.010	74.012	74.015	74.026
39	74.017	74.013	74.036	74.025	74.026
40	74.010	74.005	74.029	74.000	74.020

Appendix C

Simulated data set consists of 40 samples

Sample	X_{t1}	X_{1t2}	X_{t3}	X_{t4}	X_{t5}	Z_t	A_t	C_t
1	0.5073	1.2646	-0.0603	0.0007	-2.4717	-0.0425	0.1298	0.0406
2	0.2410	0.4434	-2.7532	0.0394	2.1952	-0.0279	0.3391	0.2560
3	-0.7315	-0.3195	-1.6033	-0.5485	0.9615	-0.1497	0.3080	0.2505
4	0.4672	0.4390	0.2885	0.4994	-0.2312	-0.0492	0.0604	0.0124
5	2.5121	-0.4961	1.1382	0.5740	0.2289	0.1782	0.1135	0.0859
6	-0.6717	0.5718	2.2080	2.7447	-0.5562	0.3961	0.2729	0.4484
7	0.8952	0.2057	1.3019	1.3277	0.2859	0.5711	0.1255	0.6243
8	1.0008	-0.6199	0.1641	-0.7039	1.1209	0.5535	0.0976	0.5746
9	1.7128	0.3820	0.4047	1.7047	1.1247	0.7822	0.0091	1.1058
10	0.4332	-0.5712	0.8744	0.3868	-1.2383	0.6780	0.0000	0.8306
11	-0.0380	1.0804	0.4137	-0.0562	1.6069	0.7613	0.0000	1.0474
12	0.0481	-0.1347	-1.3088	-2.2127	0.2572	0.4788	0.0000	0.4143
13	-1.3375	0.0465	-0.9593	-0.6832	0.8172	0.3007	0.0000	0.1634
14	1.1826	0.2444	1.4309	1.5445	-0.5157	0.4804	0.0000	0.4170
15	0.6356	0.6405	-0.7710	-0.0678	-2.0769	0.3287	0.0267	0.1968
16	0.5735	-0.2419	1.8311	-1.5479	0.2869	0.3380	0.1100	0.2332
17	-0.3612	2.1104	-0.3608	-0.0969	1.1816	0.4340	0.1520	0.3915
18	0.0381	1.9037	-0.0285	-0.9788	0.5396	0.4621	0.1755	0.4541
19	-0.9321	0.0646	-0.4937	0.3847	-0.3614	0.3296	0.0274	0.1980
20	1.3701	-0.6623	1.1551	0.1353	0.9892	0.4554	0.0042	0.3748
21	1.0814	2.0279	0.3719	0.4480	-2.6424	0.4704	0.2196	0.5066
22	0.7484	1.0014	-2.9943	0.4965	3.1913	0.5482	0.5237	1.1502
23	-0.4671	0.0479	-1.5569	-0.2385	1.6491	0.4480	0.5380	1.0035
24	1.0312	0.9959	0.8078	1.0715	0.1582	0.6193	0.3022	0.8951
25	3.5873	-0.1729	1.8700	1.1647	0.7333	0.9434	0.4045	1.9703
26	-0.3924	1.1620	3.2072	3.8781	-0.2481	1.2507	0.6285	3.7011
27	1.5662	0.7044	2.0745	2.1069	0.8045	1.5000	0.4914	4.6005
28	1.6982	-0.3277	0.6524	-0.4327	1.8483	1.5047	0.4878	4.6183
29	2.5882	0.9247	0.9531	2.5781	1.8530	1.8140	0.4118	6.3216
30	0.9887	-0.2668	1.5402	0.9307	-1.1007	1.7042	0.4151	5.6296
31	0.3997	1.7978	0.9643	0.3770	2.4559	1.8263	0.3717	6.3328
32	0.5074	0.2788	-1.1888	-2.3187	0.7687	1.4888	0.4433	4.4406
33	-1.2247	0.5053	-0.7519	-0.4068	1.4687	1.2799	0.4430	3.3944
34	1.9254	0.7527	2.2358	2.3778	-0.1974	1.5165	0.4518	4.6074
35	1.2417	1.2479	-0.5166	0.3625	-2.1489	1.3373	0.5421	3.8824
36	1.1641	0.1449	2.7361	-1.4877	0.8059	1.3582	0.6519	4.2741
37	-0.0043	3.0852	-0.0038	0.3261	1.9243	1.4862	0.7119	5.1135
38	0.4949	2.8268	0.4116	-0.7763	1.1217	1.5284	0.7491	5.4640
39	-0.7179	0.5279	-0.1699	0.9281	-0.0045	1.3689	0.5882	4.1523
40	2.1599	-0.3807	1.8911	0.6163	1.6837	1.5315	0.5691	4.9556