Bibliography

- Angel Sholomo, R. W. (1993). Lands for Housing the Poor. Singapore.
- Archer, R. W. (1984). Land Management for Adequate Land Supply and Plaaned Landuse in Asian Cities. *HSD Working Paper*.
- Archer, R. W. (1987). Tranferring the Urban Land Pooling/Readjustment Technique to the Development Countries of asia. *Human Settlements Development Program, HSD Working Paper*.
- Archer, R. W. (1989). Land sharing in Urban Land Developmet in Thailand.
- Archer, R. W. (1991). Provision of Urban Infrastructure through Land Subdivision Control in Thailand. *HSD Research Paper No 26*.
- Baker, L. (1991). Private Public Partm=nership in Land Development. *Housing and Urban Program*.
- Ballah, A. P. (1987). Policy of Land Aquisition and Development Analysis of an Indian Experience. *Third World Planning Review, Volume 9*(No 2).
- Boonyabacha, S. A. (1999). Landd Sharing as an Alternative to Eviction, The Bangkok Experience. *Third World Planning Review*, *10*, 107 112.
- CITYNET, E. (1995). *Municipal Land Management in Asia: A comparative Study*. New York: United Nations.
- CMC. (2010). Urban Slum Report, The Case of Colombo Sri Lanka. Sri Lanka: SEVANATHA.
- Coupland, A. (1997). Reclaming the city.
- Damen, J. (2004). Land Banking in Netherlands in the context of land consolidation. *Land and Water Management*.
- ESCAP. (1985). A Regional Overview on current practice towards more effective utilization of urban lands. *Land policies in Human Settlements*.
- Field, E. (2005). Impact Evaluation for Slum Upgrading Interventions.
- Herath. (2006).
- Herath, J. (2006). Distributional Impacts of Land Policies in Sri Lanka. *National Land and Agriculture reform*.
- Jogaratnam, T. (2001). Allocation of State Land for Peasent Agriculture in Sri Lanka. *Towards an Employment Strategy Framework in Sri Lanka*.
- Kumuduni, J. D. (2009). *Applicability of Land readjustment technique in city of Colombo*. Sri Lanka: Bachelor's Thesis.
- Laura Jaitman, J. B. (2001). Evaluation of slu, upgrading programs; Litreature review and Methodological approaches.

- Maddumabandara, C. M. (1998). Land use and Land Settlements: The Last fifty years and some thought on Future policy. *socio-economic Review*.
- Matovu, G. (October 2000). *Regional Roundtable on Upgrading Low Income Settlements*. Johanesburg, South Africa: Municipal Development Program, Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Office.
- Mattingly, M. (1994). Urban land development in Nepal: A case for a management approach.
- Nawagamuwa, A. (2003). Slums, Squatter Areas and Informal Settlements Do They Block or Help Urban Sustainability in Developing Contexts? 9th International Conference on Sri Lankan Studies.
- O. Anna, S. K. (1997). Urban Renewal in Wanathamulla; High Density Low income Housing . *Bachelor's Thesis*.
- Oil, P. P. (2003, December). The Public Private Participatory Urban Development in Nepal. *Land Pooling 2nd FIG Regional Conference*, 2 -5.
- P. D. Dale. (1988). An introduction with special reference to cadastral problems in Third World countries. *Land information and Management*.
- Paul, E. (2000). An Innovative but insufficient instrument of secures Tenure for the poor. *Land sharing in Phnom Penh*.
- Rabe, P. E. (2005). Land sharing in Phnom Penh: An innovative but sufficient of secure tenure for the poor.
- Robbins, R. L. (1984). Introduction land use and zoning . *Lasalle National Bank Criteria and Municipal Constitutional Liability*.
- Sandhu, K. (2004). Impact of Neo-urban Paraphanelia on Amristar city: of Transformation and Transgression. *Sri Lanka Journal of Real Estate*.
- Shomolo Angel, S. B. (1988). Land sharing as an alternative to Eviction; Land sharing in Bangkok . *Third World Planning review*.
- Sirinivas, H. (2015). Urban Squatters and Slums, Defining Squatter Settlements. *Concept Note Sereis E 036*.
- siriweera, I. W. (1994). A study of the Economic History of the Pre-modern of Sri Lanka.
- UN-HABITAT. (2003). *The Challenge of Slums; Global Report on Human Settlements*. Sri Lanka: UN-Habitat.
- Viratkapan, R. P. (2006). What has contributed to their success or failure? *Slum Relocation Projects in Bangkok*.
- Wordie, J. (1997). Land grabbing titans who changed HK's profit for good. *Glossary Environmental Statistics*.
- World Bank. (2002). *Upgrading of Low income urban settlements; Country Assessment Report.*Mali, Thailand: AFTUI.

Appendix

Structure Questionnaire for People

Household survey for evaluation of success or/and failures of the Land Sharing Techniques that have applied in Sri Lankan context with special reference to City of Colombo By

H. W. I. H. Witharana (Master of Science in Town & Country Planning, Master Dissertation, Department of Town & Country Planning University of Moratuwa)

Dear Participants,

I'm undertaking a study on evaluation of success or/and failures of the Land Sharing Techniques that have been applied in Sri Lankan context with special reference to City of Colombo. This is conducted among families who reside at Edirisinghe Watta and Sirimavo Bandaranayake Watta housing project in Colombo.

The information you provide will be useful for urban planners and policy makers for the provision of proper urban planning. You are kindly requested to participate into this research and give your honors responses. All responses to this questionnaire will be helped and created in strict confidence and use only for the research purpose.

Thank you very much for taking time to complete the questionnaire. Your effort is greatly appreciated.

PAR'	Γ A – BASIC INFORMATION
1.	Name of the occupant:
2.	Address:
3.	Age:
4.	What is your profession? I. Government II. Private III. Other
5.	How much is your monthly income (Rs.)? i. 5000 -15000 ii. 15000-25000 iii. 25000-35000 iv. 35000-45000 v. 45000-55000 vi. more than 55000
I. U Otl 7.]	Period of Occupation (Years) I. 0-5
8.]	Land Ownership

I. II.	Private Ownership Government Owner	ship
9. Type of I. II. III. IV.	f ownership of occupa Freehold Leasehold User permit Unauthorized	ancy
10. Appro I. II. III. IV.	Describing the second second perch second 1-2 perch second 2-6 perch second More than 6 perch second	perty
PART B – C	CRITERIA EVALUA	TION
Evaluation C Following are		criteria for evaluation of the Land Sharing technique.
12. A 13. De 14. Re 15. Ca 16. Cr 17. Co 18. Lo 19. Be	ommunity organization land sharing agreeme ensification econstruction apital investment coss-subsidy ommunity Participation ower the development etter the cooperation or onger the support fro	on pressure of the landlord
	ce any threat of eviction	AGANIZATIONS In from UDA as unauthorized settlers in this area? No
2) Are there a	any community organiza	ations in your settlement to counter the threat of eviction?
Yes If yes,		No
I. Are you a	leader of that organiza Yes	No
II. Are you a	member of that organiz	zation? No
-	organization have a cl	hance to negotiate on the upcoming housing development
1 3	Yes	No

IV.	What is your		into above mentioned project? No
V.	What are the	results you g	get from negotiations with project officials?
VI.	How you orga	anize yourse	lves for contribute to the project?
VII.	What kind of		take?
VIII.	How do you i	nobilize thos	se actions?
	Did you sign	a LS agreer	ING AGREEMENT ment with UDA?
II.		ave faith abo	ut UDA?
III.		•	reements with the government?
	Yes	No	
IV.	If yes, wha	at sort of agre	eement is it?
V.	During the c	onstruction p	period did you relocate in another place temporarily?
VI.	Are you liv	ving in the sa	ame land that you occupied previously?
VII.	Did you go Yes	et the land ov No	wnership for the current lands according to the LS agreement?
VIII.	As an una	uthorized ten	ant which type of ownership do you expect in this settlement?

Leasehold
User permit
Unauthorized
IX. Did the government develop the most valuable part of your land?
Yes No
Criteria 03 – DENSIFICATION
I. Do you like to live in high rise apartment with basic facilities?
Yes No
II. How many floors do you expected?
• G+1
• G+2
• G+3
• G+4
• G+5 above
III. Did the government resettle you into housing apartments?
Yes No
IV. Do you like this method of resettlement?
Yes No
V. Are there any negative impacts for you by this method?
Yes No
VI. Do you like it? (LS)
Yes No
If yes, why?
Criteria 04 – RECONSTRUCTION
I. According to Land Sharing did you get an opportunity to resettle on the site?
Yes No
Do you like it?
Will all a
What are the reasons?
Criteria 05 - CAPITAL INVESTMENT
I. What is the method of supply money for constructing housing apartment?
Funds
Loans
UDA Own funds
Government Allowances

II.	Did the government collected funds from the residents for the new houses?
III.	What kind of financial agreement government had?
IV.	Did you do any kind of contribution to the project?
	if yes, the amounts – Rs.
_	teria 06 – CROSS SUBSIDY Are there any external aids or funds for the project? Yes No
II.	If yes, Which Agency?
III.	Did the project implemented by taking a Loan?
IV.	Is this fully funded by the government?
V.	What is the cost recovery mechanism of the project?
	teria 07 – COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION Did community participation take place at every stage of the project? Yes No

	Stages of the project	Community participation	Details (specify the community contribution)
1.	Project discussion	Yes / No	
2.	People's perception	Yes / No	
3.	LS agreement	Yes / No	
4.	On site relocation	Yes / No	
5.	Land clearing	Yes / No	
6.	Basic Infrastructure layering	Yes / No	

	7.	Construction	Yes / No	
	8.	Finishing	Yes / No	
	9.	Landscape and provide	Yes / No	
		Common facilities		
	10.	Resettle the people	Yes / No	
C-	• • • • •	 ia 08 – LOWER DEVEL	ODMENT DDEC	CIDE
		hat are the new facilities you		
	1. **	nat are the new facilities you	got from flousing c	evelopment:
т	т т	IDA as land owner hones to	lavalan mast attract	ive part of the land. Do you like it?
1	ı. C	Yes No	ievelop most attract	rive part of the fand. Do you like it?
		168 110		
If v	70C X	why?		
ш.	yes, v	why?		
			•••••	
TT	1 13 7	hat kind of navy dayalanman	took place in the le	and?
11	1. W	hat kind of new development	took place in the la	
17	7	Wile at the measure and for mot	.:	٠٠
IV		What the reasons are for not	improve the proper	rty?
	ii	i. No clear title	MC 1 IID A	
	iii			uirements
	iv	A 11 .1 1		
	Vi	i. Any other reasons		
7	ı Di	id it change the land value of	this area?	
`	וע .		uns area?	
		Yes No		
		T 1 1 C (1 - 1 1		
		Land value after the nousing	g project	
* 7	r D'		11 1 1	11 1 0
V	I. D1	id the demand for the lands cl	nanged due to the d	evelopment take place?
		Yes No		
		TC 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 /	
		If yes, how the land demand	changed? (increas	ed or decreased)
			11	
VI	I. Di	id the socio-cultural status ch	anged due to the ho	using development?
		Yes No		

Spa		
•	cify the changes in Economic status of people – (self-employments, income britunities etc.)	level,
Spec	eify the status of health and sanitation improvements – (Waste management)	
_	eify the status of leisure and recreation facilities – (open spaces, children parinds, community halls etc.)	_
		• • • • • •
Spe	eify the status of social interactions, unity and togetherness in the community	/?
	know about upcoming development proposal in your settlement?	
Yes Do you	No think it will obstruct your freedom?	
Yes	No	

Criteria 09 – BETTER COOPERATION OF LANDLORD

1.	Yes No	ng between landlord and the development agency?
II.	Did you discuss the problems yes No	you have with the agency?
		PPORT FROM OUTSIDE AGENCIES a given agencies for the infrastructure improvement in this
II.	What are those supportive age	ncies?
III.	Currently, are you satisfied wi	th the following Services in this settlement?
I.	Water	
	Very satisfied	
	Satisfied Moderate	
	Unsatisfied	
	Very unsatisfied	
II.	Electricity	
	Very satisfied	
	Satisfied Moderate	
	Unsatisfied	
	Very unsatisfied	
III.	Roads and footpaths	
	Very satisfied Satisfied	
	Moderate	
	Unsatisfied	
	Very unsatisfied	
IV.	` ,	
	Very satisfied Satisfied	
	Moderate	
	Unsatisfied	
	Very unsatisfied	
V.	C	
	Very satisfied Satisfied	
	Moderate	
	Unsatisfied	

	Very unsatisfied
	Health services Very satisfied Satisfied Moderate Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied Very unsatisfied
VII.	Garbage collection Very satisfied Satisfied Moderate Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied Very unsatisfied
11. C	rently, is there adequate safety in this community? Yes No
I.	Are there any crimes reported in your settlement in past? Yes No
II.	Are they increased or decreased after the housing project? Increased decreased
	Reason for the change -
III.	Are there illegal Drug dealers or Drug addicted people in this area before the housing project? Yes No
	What happened to them after the project and reasons?
IV.	Are there any reported violence situations in this area before housing project? Yes No
	What happened to them after the project and reasons?
V.	Do you see any kind of environmental pollution in this area before the project? Yes No What are they?

	what is the status of the environmental pollution level after the project?
VI.	Are there any specific impacts (negative or positive) on the environment due to the project?

Interview Guideline for officials

Direct interview for evaluation of success or/and failures of the Land Sharing Techniques that have been applied in Sri Lankan context with special reference to City of Colombo

By H. W. I. H. Witharana (Master of Science in Town & Country Planning, Master Dissertation, Department of Town & Country Planning University of Moratuwa)

Dear Participants,

I'm undertaking a study on evaluation of success or/and failures of the Land Sharing Techniques that have applied in Sri Lankan context with special reference to City of Colombo. This is conducted among officers who involved LS PROCESS at Edirisinghe Watta and Sirimavo Bandaranayake Watta housing project in Colombo.

The information you provide will be useful for urban planners and policy makers for the provision of proper urban planning. You are kindly requested to participate into this research and give your honors responses. All responses to this questionnaire will be helped and created in strict confidence and use only for the research purpose.

Thank you very much for taking time to complete the questionnaire. Your effort is greatly appreciated.

PART A - BASIC INFORMATION

1. Name of the interviewee:

2. Name of the institute

3. Position :

PART B – PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1. Are Edirisinghe watta and Sirimavo Bandaranayake watta housing projects undertaking LST?
- 2. Under what development plan did you declared this land to be develop?
- 3. When did you identify this land to be developed?
- 4. How many families lived in above mentioned two settlements?
- 5. What is the method used to find capital investment for constructing the housing scheme?
- 6. What are the main sources of capital investment of this project?
- 7. What is the plan to develop this land?
- 8. What are the objectives of your plan?
- 9. Why do you decide to apply a land sharing for this location?

- 10. Which method/mechanism is used for land sharing procedure?
- 11. Do you think occupants like your proposal? Why? How do you know?
- 12. Do you think occupants prefer to live in high rise apartments?
- 13. Which type developments are you used for most valuable part of the land in your project?
- 14. Do you think occupants like your new proposal? Why?
- 15. What is the method occupant relocate till implement LS proposal?
- 16. How many houses do you provide for low income people?
- 17. Do you think to take participation of occupants on your development?
- 18. What is the method of cost recovery?
- 19. Who are the third party intermediations?
- 20. Have your project any physical/technical/environmental/social constrains?
- 21. As your objectives, do you think you can get an optimum utilization of the land?
- 22. Do you consider social background of the settlement?
- 23. Did you conduct a SIA for the project?
- 24. Did you conduct an EIA for the project?
- 25. What are the environmental improvements you proposed?
- 26. How do you manage to control the environment pollution in and around the project?
- 27. Did you achieve your objectives of the plan?
- 28. Is this a success project in terms of every aspect?
 - Environment -
 - Economic
 - Social
 - Physical
- 29. What is your satisfaction on the project?
- 30. Are there any political influences to the project? What are they?