REFERENCES

- 1. Abdulharis, R. Hakim, D.M., Riqqi, R. &Zlatanova, S. (2005) Geo-Information as a Disaster Management Tool in Ache &Nias, Indonesia: A post disaster Area, Department of Geomatics Faculty Of Aerospace Engineering Delft
- 2. Abramovitz, J. (2001) *Unnatural Disasters*, Washington, DC: Worldwatch Institute.
- 3. Adger, N. (2003) Social capital, collective action, and adaptation to climate change, *Economic Geography*, 79(4), pp. 387-404.
- 4. Adger, N.(2006) Vulnerability, *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3), pp.268-281
- 5. Adger, W. (2000) Social and ecological resilience: Are they related. *Progress in Human Geography* 24(3), pp. 347-367
- 6. Andjelkovic, I. (2001) Guidelines on non-structural measures in urban flood management, Paris: UNESCO
- 7. Bates, D.C.(2002) Environment Refugees? Classifying Human Migration Caused by Environmental Change, *Population and Environment*, 23(5), pp.465-477
- 8. Belliveau, S., Smit, B., &Bradshaw, B.(2006) Multiple exposures and dynamic vulnerability, *ScienceDirect*, 16, pp. 364-378
 - 9. Black, R., Adger, W. N., Arnell, W. N., Arnell, N. W., Dercon, S., Geddes, A. & Thomas, D. (2011) The effect of environmental change on human migration. *Global Environmental Change*. doi:10.1016/jgloenvcha.2011.10.01
- 10. Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I. & Wisner, B. (1994). *At risk Natural Hazards, People's vulnerability and Disaster*. London:Routledge.
- Butler, L., Morland, L., &Leskin, G. (2007). Psychological resilience In the face of terrorism. In B. Bongar, L. Brown, L. Beutler, J. Breckenridge, & P. Zimbardo (Eds.), *Psychology of terrorism*, Oxford University Press,NY,pp. 400 - 417
- 12. Castles, S. & Miller, M..J. (2003) The Age of Migration: International Population Movements in the Modern World, New York: Guilford Press

- 13. Chan N.W. (1995)Choice and constraints in floodplain occupation: the influence of structural factors on residential location in Peninsular Malaysia, *Disasters*, 19(4), pp. 287–307
- 14. Cutter & Susan L. (1993) Living With Risk, London: Edward Arnold.
- 15. Easterling, W., Hurd, B., & Smith, J. (2004) *Coping with global climate change: the role of adaptation in the United States.* Pew Center on Global Climate Change, Arlington, VA.
- 16. Ezra M. & Kiros G.E. (2001) Rural out-migration in the drought prone areas of ethiopia: A multilevel analysis, *International Migration Review*. 35(3), pp.749–771.
- 17. Futuyma, D. J. (1979) Evolutionary Biology. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- 18. Gilbert, G.(2009) Adaptive capacity, adaptation strategies and migration in the Canadian Prairies during the Dirty Thirties: Lessons for drought-migration processes under future climate change. Canada, Ottawa.
- 19. Gordon, J. (1978) Structures. Harmonds worth. UK: Penguin.
- 20. Gunderson, L.H. &Holling, C.S. (2002)*Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*, Washington:Island.
- 21. Haque C.E &Zaman M.Q. (1989) coping with riverbank erosion hazard and displacement in Bangladesh: Survival strategies and adjustments. *Disasters*. 13(4), pp. 300–314.
- 22. Hay, J. &Beniston, M. (2001)Environmental change and migration, *Tiempo*, 42, pp.17-21.
- 23. Holling, C. (1973)Resilience and stability of ecological systems, *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, pp. 1-23.
- 24. Homer-Dixon, T. F. (1999)*Environment, scarcity, and violence*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 25. Hugo, G. (1996) Environmental concerns and international migration. *International Migration Review*, 30(1), pp. 105–131.
- 26. IPCC (2007), Summary for Policy Makers, inParry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., Linden, P.J. & Hanson, C.E. Eds., Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of working Group II to

- the fourth assessment report of the inter-governmental panel on Climate Change, Cambridge, UK:Cambridge University Press.
- 27. Jacobsen, J.L. (1988) *Environmental Refugees: A Yardstick of Habitability*. Washington, DC: Worldwatch Institute.
- 28. Kates, R.W.(2000) Cautionary tales: adaptation and the global poor: *Climatic Change*, 45(1), pp. 5–17.
- 29. Kaye, L.(1994)The reckoning. Far Eastern Economic Review, 27, pp. 24–30.
- 30. Kondratyev, K.Y., Krapivin, V.F. & Phillips, G.W. (2001)*Global Environmetnal Change: Modelling and Monitoring*,Germany,Berlin: Springer-Verlag
- 31. Lee, E. (1966) A theory of migration, *Demography*, 3(1), pp. 47-58.
- 32. Lonergan, S. (1998) The role of environmental degradation in population displacement, *Environmental Change and Security Project Report*, 4, pp. 5-15
- 33. Massey, D.S., Arango, J., Hugo, G., Kouaouci, A., Pellegrino, A. & Taylor, E. (1994)An evaluation of international migration theory: the North American case, *Population and Development Review*, 20(4), pp. 699-751.
- 34. McCarthy, J.& Martello, M. L. (2005) Climate change in the context of multiple stressors and resilience, *In: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Scientific Report*, pp. 880–892, Cambridge, Cambridge university press.
- 35. McLeman, R. &Smit, B. (2006a) Migration as an adaptation to climate change, *Climatic Change*, 76(1-2), pp. 31-53.
- 36. Mileti, D.S. (1999) Disasters by Design: A Reassessment of Natural Hazards in the United States, Washington DC: Joseph Henry Press
- 37. Morrow-Jones H.A.& Morrow-Jones C.R. (1991) Mobility due to natural disaster: Theoretical considerations and preliminary analyses, *Disasters*, 15(2), pp.126–132
- 38. Mutton, D. & Haque, C.E. (2004) Human vulnerability, dislocation and resettlement: adaptation processes of river-bank erosion-induced displaces in Bangladesh, *Disasters*, 28(1), pp. 41.
- 39. Osterling, J.P. (1979) The 1970 Peruvian disaster and the spontaneous relocation of some of its vicitms: Ancashino Peasant migrants in Huayopampa, *Mass Emergencies*, 4, pp. 117–120.

- 40. PBS. (2002). *Surviving the Dust Bowl*. The American experience. Washington, DC: Public Broadcast Service.
- 41. Reid, S., Smit, B., Caldwell, W. &Belliveau, S. (2007) Vulnerability and adaptation to climate risks in Ontario agriculture, *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 12(4), pp. 609-637.
- 42. Reuveny, R. (2007) Climate change-induced migration and violent conflict, *Political Geography*, 26, pp.656-673
- 43. Sjaastad, L.A. (1962) The costs and returns of human migration, *Journal of Political Economy*, 70(5), pp. 80-93.
- 44. Smit, B. &Wandel, J. (2006) Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability, *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3), pp. 282-292.
- 45. Smit, B.&Pilifosova, O. (2003). From adaptation to adaptive capacity and vulnerability reduction, In J.B. Smith, R.J.T. Klein and S. Huq (eds.), Climate Change, Adaptive Capacity and Development, London: Imperial College Press.
- 46. Smith, K.& ward, R. (1998)Floods physical processes and human impact
- 47. Stark, O. & Taylor, E. (1991) Migration incentives, migration types: The role of relative deprivation, *The Economic Journal*, 101(408), pp. 1163-1178.
- 48. Swain, A. (1996) Environmental migration and conflict dynamics: focus on developing regions, *Third world Quarterly*, 17(5), pp. 959-973
- 49. Tobin, G.A.&Montz, B.E. (1997) Natural hazards. Explanation and integration, New York: Guildford Press..
- 50. Turner, B., Kasperson, R.E., Matson, P.A., McCarthy, J., Corell, R., Christensen, L., Eckley, N., Kasperson, J.X., Luers, A., Martello, M.L., Polsky, C., Pulsipher, A. & Schiller, A. (2003) A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100, pp. 8074–8079.
- 51. Twigg, J. (2004) Good practice review. Disaster Risk Reduction; Mitigation & preparedness in development and emergency programming, London: Overseas Development Institute.
- 52. UDA, 2006. *Urban Development Plan of Rathnapura*. Sabaragamuwa Provincial, Urban Development Authority, Ministry of Urban Development & Sacred Area Development, GoSL.

- 53. United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Population: UNFPA Newsletter. 1984. Nov 10–11, p. 2.
- 54. Warner, K. & Afifi, T. (2014) Enhancing Adaptation Options and Managing Human Mobility, *Social research*, 81(2)
- 55. Watts, M.J.&Bohle, H.G. (1993)The space of vulnerability: the causal structure of hunger and famine, *Progress in Human Geography*, 17, pp. 43–67.
- 56. Wolpert, J.(1966) Migration as an adjustment to environmental stress, *Journal of Social Sciences*, 22(4), pp. 92-102.
- 57. Worster, D. (1979). *Dust Bowl: The Southern Plains in the 1930s*. New York: Oxford University Press
- 58. Yohe, G. &Tol, R.(2002) Indicators for social and economic coping capacity

 movingtoward a working definition of adaptive capacity,

 GlobalEnvironmental Change, 12(1), pp. 25-40
- 59. Zaman M.Q.(1991) The displaced poor and resettlement policies in Bangladesh, *Disasters*, 15(2), pp.117–125.
- 60. Zetter R. (2015) Exploring interlinked drivers of human migration in the context of environmental change, UK: University of Oxford

APPENDICES Appendix - 1

Study of migration as one form of adaptation strategy

fornatural hazards

Questionnaire

Sheet	No.
-------	-----

1. Age Group

18-25 year	25-35 year	35-45year	45-55	55>	
			year	year	

2. Sex

Male	Female	
IVIAIC	1 Ciliaic	

3. Marital Status

4. Educational Background

Graduate/Vocational Training		A/L		O/L		Grade 8 or less	
------------------------------	--	-----	--	-----	--	-----------------	--

- 5. Occupation.....
- 6. Income

0 - 10,000	10,000-25,000	25,000-	>50,000	
0 - 10,000	10,000-23,000	50,000		

7. How long do you stay in this place?

<10 Years	10-20 years	20-30 years	30-40	40>years	

8. What types of natural hazard events have you or someone in your household experienced?

|--|

1			2		3		>4			
	at is the deg sehold	gree of s	severity o	of flood ex	perienced by	you	rself	or yo	ur	
M	ild (1)	S	omewhat (2)	t heavy	Heavy (3)	١				
11. Wh	at hardship	did you	r family	face durin	g this season					
No.	Difficult	ies					1	2	3	
1.	Food	105						-		
2.	Accomm	odation	1							
3.	Access to									
4.	Access to		ry service	es						
5.	Drinking									
6.	Children	educati	on							
7	Security									
8	Transpor		em							
9	Diseases									
1	Dissatisfie	d	d Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied				5 satisfied			
2	Somewhat dissatisfied		4	Somewh	at satisfied					
	at coping m		ems (Ada	ptation str	ategies) did y	our :	fami	ly em	ploy	
1										
2										
4										
3										
J										
4										
5										

6	
7	
8	

13. Have you considered migrating due to flood seriously? 1 Yes 2 No

14. If yes What are factors affect for you to consider migration

Factor	1	2	3	4	5
Economic					
Access to Livelihood					
Cost of recovery					
Food scarcity					
Social factors					
Break down of Children education					
Security					
Spread of disease					

1	Not at all	3	somewhat concerned	5	Extremely
	considered				concerned
2	Slightly concerned	4	Moderately		
			concerned		

15. what are the factors affect to stay in risky area

Factor	1	2	3	4	5
Economical					
Livelihood linked with the place					
Migration cost					
Social					
Educational facilities					
Access to services					
Native place					
Social ties					
Political					
Incentives					
Security					

_						
	1	Not at all considered	3	somewhat affected	5	Extremely affected

2	Slightly affected	4	Moderately affected		
---	-------------------	---	---------------------	--	--

16. If the heavy flood is occurred for next five years

Statements	1	2	3	4	5
My household would have the ability to successfully					
adapt to the flood					
My household would be able to fully recover from the					
damage caused by the floods					
My household would have access to sufficient financial					
resources to ensure that we fully recover from the threats					
posed by the floods.					
My household would be able to draw on the support of					
family and friends to ensure that we fully recover from the					
threats posed by the floods					
My household would have access to early-warning					
information to ensure that we are fully prepared for the					
threats posed by the floods.					
Past knowledge is crucial in successfully dealing with					
future flood events.					
If the flooding is continuing in my area for next five					
years, I will decide to find an alternative location to settle.					

1	Strongly disagree	3	Neutral	5	Strongly agree
2	disagree	4	agree		

Appendix - 2

Table 3.1. Average monthly Rainfall of Rathnapura (January to December).

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Rainfall	65	80	115	290	315	200	180	100	225	395	335	200
(mm)												

Source: Metrological Department office, Rathnapura District, 2010.

Table 3.2. Existing Land Use of Pattern of Rathnapura MC Area – 2004

Category	Extent (ha.)	%
Commercial	49.75	2.2
Residential	821.52	37.1
Government & Semi governments	81.74	3.7
Highways & Transport	116.95	5.3
Industries	10.91	0.5
Parks, Play Grounds & Open Areas	24.27	1.1
Urban Forests	237.55	10.7
Religious	12.14	0.6
Water Courses	95.55	4.5
Under developed lands		
Paddy fields	253.74	11.5
Plantations	244.84	11.0
Mixed Cultivation	114.51	5.1
Marshy	36.42	1.6
Cemeteries	2.83	0.1
Open Areas	111.28	5.0
Total:	2,218	100.0

Source: UDA, 2006

 Table 3.3
 Population Distribution and Density by Municipal Wards-2001

Ward	Municipal	Extent	Population -	Gross Population
no	Ward	(Hectares)	2001	Density per

				Hectare- 2001
1.	Hidallana	280.5	5,900	21.0
2.	Kospelawinna	415.9	4,665	11.2
3.	Weralupe	190.9	4,585	24.0
4.	Pompakele	93.5	2,367	25.3
5.	Godigamuwa	136.2	4,885	35.0
6.	Angammana	296.6	2,364	8.0
7.	ThiriwanaKetiya	167.5	2,017	12.0
8.	Batugedera	153.1	2,497	16.3
9.	Warakathota	18.2	650	35.7
10.	Bazaar	23.3	997	42.7
11.	Fort	21.2	1,440	67.9
12.	Pulugupitiya	46.1	1,131	24.5
13.	Dewalegawa	102.3	3,561	34.8
14.	Muwagama	138.1	5,100	36.9
15.	Mudduwa	137.2	4,150	30.2
Total:		2218.0	46,309	20.9

Source: UDA, 2006

Table 3.4. Classification of Houses – 2004

Type of Houses	No of Houses	%
Permanente	7,743	78.35
Semi Permanent	1,696	17.17
Temporary	439	4.46
Total:	9,882	

Source: Reports from Ratnapura Divisional Secretariat, 2006

Table 3.6. Damage caused by the Floods – 2003

Particulars	No in RMC area	No in District	% in RMC
Loss of lives	03	122	2
House damages:			
Partially Damaged	2879	9291	31
Totally Damaged	618	3367	18
No of Displaced families	9400	34473	27
Damaged Paddy lands	176 ha.	1369 ha.	13

Source: Rathnapura Municipal Council, 2006

Appendix - 3

Table 4.1 Livelihood linked with the Place

Thought of migrate			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	Valid	Not at all Considered	7	41.2	41.2	41.2
		Slightly Concerned	3	17.6	17.6	58.8
		Somewhat Concerned	6	35.3	35.3	94.1
		Moderately Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	1	3.0	3.0	3.0
		Slightly Concerned	1	3.0	3.0	6.1
		Somewhat Concerned	2	6.1	6.1	12.1
		Extremely Concerned	29	87.9	87.9	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.2 Migration Cost

Thought of migrate		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Yes	Valid	Moderately Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	5.9
		Extremely Concerned	16	94.1	94.1	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	1	3.0	3.0	3.0
		Slightly Concerned	1	3.0	3.0	6.1
		Somewhat Concerned	2	6.1	6.1	12.1
		Moderately Concerned	3	9.1	9.1	21.2
		Extremely Concerned	26	78.8	78.8	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.3 Educational Facilities

Thought of migrate		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Yes	Valid	Slightly Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	5.9
		Somewhat Concerned	5	29.4	29.4	35.3
		Moderately Concerned	11	64.7	64.7	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	5	15.2	15.2	15.2
		Slightly Concerned	2	6.1	6.1	21.2
		Somewhat Concerned	12	36.4	36.4	57.6
		Moderately Concerned	11	33.3	33.3	90.9
		Extremely Concerned	3	9.1	9.1	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.4 Access to Service

Thoug	Thought of migrate		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	Valid	Slightly Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	5.9
		Somewhat Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	11.8
		Moderately Concerned	15	88.2	88.2	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Moderately Concerned	22	66.7	66.7	66.7
		Extremely Concerned	11	33.3	33.3	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.5 Native Place

				Cumulative
Thought of migrate	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent

Yes	Valid	Not at all Considered	3	17.6	17.6	17.6
		Slightly Concerned	2	11.8	11.8	29.4
		Somewhat Concerned	2	11.8	11.8	41.2
		Moderately Concerned	5	29.4	29.4	70.6
		Extremely Concerned	5	29.4	29.4	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	1	3.0	3.0	3.0
		Slightly Concerned	1	3.0	3.0	6.1
		Somewhat Concerned	5	15.2	15.2	21.2
		Moderately Concerned	6	18.2	18.2	39.4
		Extremely Concerned	20	60.6	60.6	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.6 Social Ties

Thought of migrate		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Yes	Valid	Somewhat Concerned	5	29.4	29.4	29.4
		Moderately Concerned	11	64.7	64.7	94.1
		Extremely Concerned	1	5.9	5.9	100.0
		Total	17	100.0	100.0	
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	1	3.0	3.0	3.0
		Somewhat Concerned	8	24.2	24.2	27.3
		Moderately Concerned	12	36.4	36.4	63.6
		Extremely Concerned	12	36.4	36.4	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.7 Incentives

				Cumulative
Thought of migrate	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent

Yes	Valid	Not at all Considered	17	100.0	100.0	100.0
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	31	93.9	93.9	93.9
		Slightly Concerned	1	3.0	3.0	97.0
		Somewhat Concerned	1	3.0	3.0	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.8 Security

Thous	ght of mig	prate	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1110 012	5111 01 11112	3	rrequestion	1 0100110	, min i 0100110	1 0100110
Yes	Valid	Not at all Considered	17	100.0	100.0	100.0
No	Valid	Not at all Considered	31	93.9	93.9	93.9
		Slightly Concerned	2	6.1	6.1	100.0
		Total	33	100.0	100.0	