LB 1 DON 169 / 2017

SIZING OF DISTRIBUTION TRANSFORMERS BASED ON "LIFE-CYCLE - COST"

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

Wellana Gamage Pawithra

(128776N)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Science in Electrical Installations



TH 3375+ CD-ROM

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

6 96.6 (0+3

May 2017

TH3375

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

W.G. Pawithra

Date: 2017-05-26

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

UOM Verified Signature

Signature of the supervisor:

Prof. J.R. Lucas

Date 26/05/2017

i

DEDICATION

To my loving parents and husband

who always pick me up on time and encourage me to go on every adventure, especially this one

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. J. Rohan Lucas for the continuous support of my MSc. study and related research, for his patience, motivation and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me all the time of research and in writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my MSc. study.

Besides my advisor, I would like to thank Dr. Asanka S. Rodrigo and Eng. W.D. Anura S. Wijayapala not only for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard questions which convinced me to widen my research from various perspectives.

Further, I would like to thank my parents and husband for their encouragement and support.

Lastly, I should thank many individuals, friends and colleagues who have not been mentioned here personally in making this educational process a success.

ABSTRACT

Many energy audits in Sri Lanka have identified the installed presence of oversized transformers and excessive number of transformers. No proper study has been done on the effect of the no load losses added to the system due to oversized transformers. Thus, transformers have not always being properly sized at the design stage.

In this research, a sample of bulk consumers from the North Western Province was selected and their installed capacities were compared with the properly sized transformers. Analysis shows that the no load losses can be reduced, on the average by about 40% with the proper sizing. Having identified the importance of proper sizing of transformers, software has been developed to select the optimum transformer capacity comparing the total owning costs of the transformers. The effect of the load pattern, transformer life time, discounting factor and the tariff rate has been considered in the optimization. Also, the software permits the determination of the optimum transformer for a few different load curves as well. The software tool has been validated in comparison with manual calculations.

This software is a useful tool for the bulk consumer as well as electrical consultants to determine the optimum transformer capacity for a given load curve based on total owning cost. The consumer can select the optimum size at the initial stage of the project so that unnecessary future costs due to losses can be minimized. Moreover, this would not only be a blessing to the consumer, but would mean that the overall distribution loss in the total electrical network will be reduced.

Keywords:

Life Cycle Cost, Transformer, Optimization, Load Losses, No load losses, Total Owning Cost

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D	ECL	ARAT	ION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISORI
D	EDI	CATIC	DN
A	CKN	OWL	EDGEMENTIII
A	BST	RACT	IV
L	IST	OF FIG	GURES VII
L	IST	OF TA	BLES VII
L	IST (OF AB	BREVIATIONSVIII
1	I	NTRO	DUCTION
	1.1	BACI	KGROUND
	1	.1.1	Adverse impacts of oversized transformers
	1	.1.2	Sri Lankan Scenario
	1.2	Pow	FR SYSTEM TRANSFORMERS
	1	.2.1	Distribution transformers
	1	.2.2	Transformer losses
	1.3	Тори	C OF RESEARCH
	1	.3.1	Research Motivation 6
	1	.3.2	Problem Statement
2	I	ITER	ATURE REVIEW
	2.1	BACK	GROUND
	2.1	TECH	INICAL PAPERS DEVIEWED 7
	2.2	RESE	
	2.0	I LOL	12
3	F	RESEA	RCH METHODOLOGY 13
	3.1	INTR	ODUCTION
	3.2	IDEN	TIFICATION OF RESEARCH GAP
	3.3	THEO	DRETICAL ANALYSIS
	3.4	DEVE	ELOP A MODEL TO CALCULATE THE TOTAL OWNING COST
	3.5	VALI	DATE THE MODEL
4	Т	HEOH	RETICAL ANALYSIS OF SIZING OF TRANSFORMERS
	4.1	EFFE	CT OF THE OVERSIZED TRANSFORMERS TO THE SYSTEM
	4.2	FACT	ORS FOR PROPER SIZING OF TRANSFORMERS (LIFE CYCLE COST)
	4	.2.1	Technical factors
	4	.2.2	Financial factors

17	
	4.2
19	4.3 T
19	4.3.
20	4.3.
20	4.3.
21	4.3.
22	4.4 <i>A</i>
24	5 TO
24	5.1 F
25	5.2 7
28	6 DF
20	0 KE
28	6.1 I
28	6.1.
29	6.1.
29	6.1.
30	6.1.
30	6.2
30	6.2.
31	6.2.
31	6.2.
31	6.2
32	7 CO
33	7.1
33	7.2
34	LIST OF
36	ANNEX
36	SOFTV
36	Ma
36	Lo
37	Lo
46	Va
	Ma Loc Loc

MO Ļ SRI

F

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1: Type A load curve	19
Figure 4-2: Type B load curve	20
Figure 4-3: Type C load curve	20
Figure 4-4: Type D load curve	21
Figure 4-5: Equivalent two step load curve according to IEC 60354	22
Figure 4-6: Overload curve according to IEC 60354	23
Figure 5-1: Software development algorithm	25
Figure 5-2: Interface of the software	26
Figure 5-3: Variable inputs	27
Figure 5-4: Results-Evaluated best transformers	27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Total Owning Cost Calculation Comparison 8
Table 2-2: Monthly Energy Loss of Total Transformer Circuit
Table 2-3: Rating Wise Transformer Losses for 1 Month 10
Table 4-1: Tariff Rates
Table 5-1: Cost of no load and load losses of 75 kVA transformer24
Table 6-1: Total owning cost for different load curves 28
Table 6-2: Total owning cost for different discounting factors
Table 6-3: Total owning cost for different transformer life times
Table 6-4: Total owning cost for different tariffs
Table 6-5: Total owning cost from Software for different discounting factors 30
Table 6-6: Total owning cost from software for different discounting factors 31
Table 6-7: Total owning cost for different transformer life times
Table 6-4: Total owning cost for different tariffs

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1: Type A load curve	19
Figure 4-2: Type B load curve	20
Figure 4-3: Type C load curve	20
Figure 4-4: Type D load curve	
Figure 4-5: Equivalent two step load curve according to IEC 60354	
Figure 4-6: Overload curve according to IEC 60354	23
Figure 5-1: Software development algorithm	25
Figure 5-2: Interface of the software	
Figure 5-3: Variable inputs	27
Figure 5-4: Results-Evaluated best transformers	27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Total Owning Cost Calculation Comparison 8	ŀ
Table 2-2: Monthly Energy Loss of Total Transformer Circuit)
Table 2-3: Rating Wise Transformer Losses for 1 Month)
Table 4-1: Tariff Rates	7
Table 5-1: Cost of no load and load losses of 75 kVA transformer	ł
Table 6-1: Total owning cost for different load curves	3
Table 6-2: Total owning cost for different discounting factors)
Table 6-3: Total owning cost for different transformer life times	9
Table 6-4: Total owning cost for different tariffs	0
Table 6-5: Total owning cost from Software for different discounting factors 30	0
Table 6-6: Total owning cost from software for different discounting factors 3	1
Table 6-7: Total owning cost for different transformer life times	1
Table 6-4: Total owning cost for different tariffs	1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- CEB : Ceylon Electricity Board
- IEC : International Electrotechnical Commission
- LKR : Sri Lankan Rupees
- TOC : Total Owning Cost
- DF : Discounting Factor
- Tf : Transformer
- Distn : Distribution

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Many energy audits [1-4] for Sri Lankan consumers have identified oversized transformers and the installation of excessive number of transformers as a serious deficiency leading to, not only the capital cost of excessive kVA capacity but also unnecessary no-load loss. It is recognized that the main reason for this is that transformers have not been properly sized at the design stage. The common method of selecting transformers is to first determine the anticipated total demand of the facility, then to add 10% - 20% as safety margin, further oversize for possible future expansion and finally to select nearest higher size transformer.

While it may not be feasible to replace oversize transformers already in use, where excessive number of transformers have been identified it is possible to keep some transformers un-energized to be used perhaps alternately. Further, consideration may be given to the fact that a certain amount of overloading beyond nameplate rating is possible for short periods of time.

1.1.1 Adverse impacts of oversized transformers

If the transformer is oversized, the initial cost will be much higher since transformer purchasing and the cost of other related components such as cables, circuit breakers are high. Moreover the no load losses increase with an oversized transformer.

When more oversized transformers are being installed in the national system it will increases the losses to the system. In the Sri Lankan tariff, the additional no load losses caused by unutilized transformer capacity are not considered when the customer is billed.

1.1.2 Sri Lankan Scenario

It has been noticed that the installed capacity of the consumer owned transformer is almost double their demand. In this study, a sample consumer base of bulk consumers in North Western Province with installed capacity of more than 160 kVA was considered. The total installed capacity of the consumer owned transformers more than 160kVA capacity is 218.8 MVA. However average total demand of all those consumers are only 107.4 MVA, which is even less than the 50% of the installed capacity.

1.2 Power System transformers

A transformer is an electrical device that transfers electrical energy between two networks through electromagnetic induction. In particular, transformers are used to increase or decrease the alternating voltages in electric power applications in all stages of the electrical system, such as in generation, transmission, distribution, and utilization of alternating current electrical energy. They are also used in metering and protection applications.

A wide range of transformers are used in electric power applications. Many types of transformers used in the power system are described to explain the significance of the distribution transformer, and why it has been selected for this study.

- 1. Generator transformer: A special purpose transformer connected to transform the voltage of the generated power to that of the grid.
- 2. Autotransformer: Transformer in which part of the winding is common to both primary and secondary circuits, leading to increased efficiency, smaller size, and a higher degree of voltage regulation. They are frequently used in power applications to interconnect systems operating at different voltage classes for transmission, such as 220kV to 132 kV.
- 3. Power transformer: These are high power transformers used to transfer electric energy between the high voltage transmission network and the medium voltage distribution networks. They transform the voltage from the transmission voltage of 220kV or 132kV to the medium voltage of 33kV or 11kV

- 4. Distribution transformer: These transformers are used to distribute energy from medium voltage distribution lines and networks to low voltage of 400V for local consumption.
- 5. Grounding transformer: Transformer used for grounding three-phase circuits to create a neutral in a three wire system, using a star-delta transformer, or more commonly, a zigzag grounding winding.
- 6. Instrument transformer: These transformers transform high currents and voltages to standardized low and easily measurable values that are isolated from the power system. They are used for metering and protection and provide voltage or current signals that are very accurate representations of the transmission line values in both magnitude and phase.
- 7. Capacitor voltage transformer: Used in power systems to step down extra high voltage and provide a low voltage signal for metering or protection. It consists of a capacitive divider and a wound transformer. The tuning of the divider to the line frequency makes the overall division ratio less sensitive to changes in the burden of the connected metering or protection devices.

Although each of the 7 transformers listed have the same basic function of stepping up or stepping down of the voltage, the constructional features and even the operational features are different.

While generator transformers on the one hand have large capacity and can have relatively high inherent impedance, the low voltage distribution transformers have relatively small capacity and must have low impedance to meet the consumer demand. While the generator transformers, power transformers and distribution transformers must have low designed power loss, an accurate voltage ratio corresponding to turns ratio is not very important. On the other hand, instrument transformers are designed to have very high accuracy and handle only very low power.

Of all the components in the Power System, the distribution transformers when taken collectively, contributes most to the power loss. Thus it is important to use them efficiently.

1.2.1 Distribution transformers

Distribution transformers are step down transformers used in low voltage electric power distribution. They are relatively small in size (generally from 50 kVA to 630 kVA and rarely exceeding 5 MVA). They are designed for low voltage regulation and would have leakage reactances of around 5% [5]. They are generally filled with insulating oil. The smaller size of modern distribution transformers are of sealed type so that no moisture can get in and hence the absence of a conservator. With the development of technology, dry type transformers are produced but at a higher cost, especially when used indoor, with the intention of minimizing environmental contamination, fire hazard and less maintenance.

1.2.2 Transformer losses

The "power loss" in machine transformer is the difference between its input power and its output power. Since the transformer is a static device, mechanical losses are not applicable and only electrical losses occur. These are the core loss and conductor loss.

1.2.2.1 Core Loss (Iron Loss)

Core Losses (also sometimes known as Iron Losses) are the losses that occur in the core, and which depend on the magnetic properties of the material used for the construction of core. Eddy current loss and hysteresis loss are the principle core losses in a transformer.

a) Hysteresis Loss

Hysteresis loss is due to reversal of magnetization in the transformer core. This loss depends upon the volume and grade of the iron, frequency of magnetic reversals and value of flux density.

It can be given by, Steinmetz formula:

Hysteresis Loss per unit volume $W_h = \eta Bmax^{1.6} f$

where, η is the Steinmetz hysteresis constant

 B_{max} is the peak value of the alternating flux density, and f is the frequency

b) Eddy current loss in transformer:

In a transformer, the AC current is supplied to the primary winding which sets up an alternating magnetizing flux. When this flux links with secondary winding, it produces induced emf in it. But some part of this flux also gets linked with other conducting parts like steel core or iron body or the transformer, which will result in induced emf in those parts, causing small circulating current in them. This current is called as eddy current. Due to these eddy currents, some energy will be dissipated in the form of heat.

Eddy current loss per unit volume = $\frac{4}{3} \frac{B_m^2 f^2 t^2 k^2}{\rho}$

where B_m is the peak value of the alternating flux density

f is the frequency t is the thickness ρ is the resistivity

As the Eddy current losses depends on the square of the thickness of the material, by forming the core out of laminations the eddy current losses are controlled to acceptable values.

It is to be noted that both the hysteresis loss as well as the eddy current loss depends on the flux density and hence the voltage and not the current. Thus the core loss does not depend on the load and hence are known as no-load losses.

1.2.2.2 Conductor losses

Conductor loss (commonly known as Copper loss) is due to ohmic resistance of the transformer windings. They occur in both the primary winding and the secondary winding.

Conductor loss for the primary winding = $I_1^2 R_1$

Conductor loss for the secondary winding = $I_2^2 R_2$

where, I1 and I2 are current in primary and secondary winding respectively,

R₁ and R₂ are the resistances of primary and secondary winding respectively.

It is clear that conductor loss is proportional to square of the current, and current depends on the load. Hence conductor loss in transformer varies with the load and known as load losses.

1.3 Topic of Research

1.3.1 Research Motivation

When I was about to embark on my research for my Master's degree, I thought about my experiences in industry. This led me to the many energy audits for Sri Lankan consumers where I had seen that they have identified over-sized transformers and the installation of excessive number of transformers giving rise to unnecessary no-load losses. Preliminary investigations revealed to me that the main reason for this being that the size of the transformer has not been properly sized considering the life-time cost to the consumer. I felt that determining a method to help the consumer and the designer to find the most optimum transformer for a particular application would be most opportune.

1.3.2 Problem Statement

In Sri Lanka, most of the bulk consumers have installed oversized transformers or excessive number of transformers without properly analysing their requirement. This leads to high energy cost due to transformer losses and creates considerable amount of loss to total electrical network. A proper study has not been carried out to minimize the unnecessary no load losses caused by transformers and guide users to do so at the design stage of a project.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Background

Sri Lanka is now almost fully electrified [6] and the quest now is to be able to meet the growth in demand efficiently. Currently, system losses in the CEB Power System has been reduced to around 10.9% [6]. Out of this around 8.7 % [6] is in the distribution system. However, how the losses distribute within the distribution network has not been published for the Sri Lankan System.

Much research has been done on the transformer losses [5, 7-9] and methods to calculate life cycle cost [10-12]. Some significant research papers are discussed in the following sections to identify research already carried out and to identify any research gap.

2.2 Technical papers reviewed

A recent study [13] has shown that in a typical urban scenario the distribution transformer can account for about 55% of the total distribution losses (around 33% no-load losses and 22% load losses) and in a typical rural scenario about 34% of the distribution losses can be attributed to the low voltage distributions lines and a further 34% from the distribution transformer (around 23% no-load losses and 11% load losses). It is thus essential for the proper selection of energy efficient transformers in the distribution system.

While it is realised that energy efficient transformers have a higher purchase price, it does not mean that overall cost to the consumer is higher because a higher efficiency transformer has a lower operational cost. Thus the effective cost of purchase of the transformer must be based on the transformer Life-Cycle Cost. This has been effectively shown by Weyerhaeuser [14], who makes it very clear how much savings may be possible by the use of properly selected transformer.

Option	Purchase Price	No-Load Loss (W)	Full-Load Loss (W)	15-Year Operating Cost	Total 15-Year Owning Cost
Low Efficiency	\$1,336	375	2,829	\$29,475	\$30,811
High Efficiency	\$3,214	190	993	\$10,875	\$14,084

Table 2-1: Total Owning Cost Calculation Comparison

Table 2-1 shows a result of the study where Olsun Electrics Corporation, provided a comparison of the cost of no-load and load losses of 75-kVA transformers. While the low-efficiency aluminium-wound transformer had an initial cost \$1,336 the 15 year TOC was \$30,811. The high-efficiency copper-wound unit cost \$3,214 and had a 15 year TOC of \$14,084. Both transformers have been evaluated on the assumption that they would operate continuously throughout the year.

J.P.R. Jayasinghe [5], in his Master of Science in Electrical Engineering dissertation in 2005 on "Segregation and Analysis of Distribution Losses and Mitigating Techniques" has discussed the fact that the distribution losses of the CEB are higher than that of developed countries, and that a detailed energy audit should be carried out to identify the areas where the loss level is high. He has gone on to describe the losses occurring in transformers and how the optimal capacity of the transformer can be selected based on the cost due to transformer losses, the load curve and estimate the Load Factor (LF) of the transformer. He has calculated the Load Loss Factor (LLF) and gone on to calculate the total annual losses of the transformer.

He has gone on to conclude that the major reasons for the high technical losses in the LV distribution system are the long length of low voltage lines and the over loading or over size transformers used.

He has related his findings to the distribution transformers and said that losses can be reduced by installing right capacity of transformers to the system Kenneth Duane Harden [15] has optimized the Energy Efficiency Standards for Low Voltage Distribution Transformers. In his study, he investigates whether the current energy efficiency rulemaking in the US, that establishes transformer efficiency at only one point on the load curve, provides the level of energy savings expected by government rule makers, and evaluates alternate methods for specifying transformer efficiency. This study also attempts to characterize the operational load levels experienced by these transformers, including seasonal and daily load variations, and relates the operational load levels to the efficiency standard and alternate methods.

However, his study is limited to low voltage, dry type, distribution transformers and mainly focuses on reviewing the energy efficiency regulations that govern the measurement and specification of low voltage, dry type, and distribution transformers. Recommendations are presented for improving transformer rulemaking and for system considerations to realize higher energy savings in commercial and industrial facilities.

V. A. Kulkarni and P. K Katti[7] in their paper on Estimation of distribution transformer losses in feeder circuits have studied the significance of the loss due to distribution transformers is and its percentage contribution to the total input energy. He has found that in their system in the transformer losses for one month, while 100 kVA transformers contribute around 0.45%, 2000 kVA transformers contribute around 3.22% as seen in Table 2-3.

	Transformer size (kVA)	Qty	Energy	Total Unita		
SI No			Wi	\mathbf{W}_{wdg}	Other (Oil Joints, Life)	(kWh)
1	100	15	3,240	4,410	810	8,460
2	200	10	3,384	4,752	1,230	9,220
3	315	07	3,108	4,656	1,020	7,864
4	500	15	9,720	13,799	2,340	25,839
5	1000	11	14,256	26,136	4,380	44,772
6	2000	10	21,600	31,680	7,110	60,390
Total Σ			55,308	76,251	15,870	156,591

Table 2-2: Monthly Energy Loss of Total Transformer Circuit

9

SI No	Transformer size (kVA)	Percentage (%) of Total input (import) Units (kWh)
1	100	0.45
2	200	0.50
3	315	0.63
4	500	1.38
5	1000	2.39
6	2000	3.22
Total Σ		8.57

Table 2-3: Rating Wise Transformer Losses for 1 Month

Lloyd H. Dixon, Jr has discussed a research paper [8] on Eddy Current Losses in Transformer Windings and Circuit Wiring where he intended to explain why eddy current losses increase so dramatically with more winding layers, why paralleling thin strips doesn't work, how passive conductors (Faraday shields and current transformer windings) have high losses, and why increasing conductor surface area will actually worsen losses and parasitic inductance if the configuration is not correct.

Eleftherios I. et Al have discussed an Energy Efficient Transformer Selection Implementing Life Cycle Costs and Environmental Externalities [12]. The goal of their research has been to encourage electric utilities to install highefficiency distribution transformers where they are cost-effective. This paper proposes a methodology that implements the complex economic analyses needed to accurately determine the emission reduction potential of highefficiency distribution transformers.

The methodology properly introduces the environmental cost into the life cycle cost (total owning cost) calculations implemented by electric utilities, and its results are compared to the classical total owning cost (without environmental cost), indicating the importance of environmental aspects of transformer economy evaluation.

Moreover, a sensitivity analysis of the various factors involved in the transformer life cycle cost is implemented, factors such as the transformer loading profile, the specific characteristics of the network where the transformer is installed and the uncertainty of the environmental cost impact on the final energy cost.

K. B. M. I. Perera and J. R. Lucas have presented a paper [16] on the Software Guided Safe Loading of Transformers where the outcome of the research is a software package which has been developed based on IEC 60354: Loading guide for oil immersed power transformers. It identifies the risks involved and indicates how transformers may be loaded in excess of the nameplate rating (abnormal loading) without adverse effects for specific load curves.

The study shows that the results obtained for loss of life is more precise with the software package, than with the manual two step approximation. This will help to reduce unexpected future damage to the transformer. The practical results obtained for transformer voltage regulation shows that it has no significant effect on the distribution network at acceptable loading conditions above nameplate rating. Thus they recommend that maximum utilization of the transformer be made allowing loading beyond nameplate rating within specified limits.

K. B. M. I. Perera and J. R. Lucas have also published a paper [17] on the loading of transformers beyond nameplate rating. They have considered the consequences of loading a transformer beyond name-plate rating, namely the temperature increase of windings, insulation oil etc..., the increase in the leakage flux density outside the core giving rise to additional eddy current heating in metallic parts linked by the flux.

Consequently, the moisture and gas content in the insulation and in the oil increase with the temperature increase, and the bushings, tap-changers, cableend connections and current transformers are exposed to higher stresses. K. B. M. I. Perera and J. R. Lucas have also done research [16] on Estimation of Distribution Transformer Losses in Feeder Circuit, and have discussed in particular that the voltage drops caused by loading transformers above nameplate rating has no major effect on performance of induction motors other than for the reduction in the starting and maximum running torque, and that the maximum utilization of the transformer be made allowing loading beyond nameplate rating within specified limits.

W.D.A.S. Wijayapala, et Al has discussed Determination of Capitalization Values for No Load Loss and Load Loss in Distribution Transformers [11]. In this paper capitalization values for losses in distribution transformers used in Sri Lanka for rural, semi-urban and urban areas in Sri Lanka has calculated which can be used to calculate the total owning cost for a given area. By using the results of this, it is easy to compare two different transformers based on their designed no load losses and load losses

2.3 Research Gap

Having done a review of published literature on transformer cost and efficiency, and a gap in the literature in published research was identified.

Although several studies carried out for total owning cost, improving efficiency of transformers and the implication in loading of transformers beyond nameplate rating, most research has been done on improving the transformer design to reduce losses. The effect of installation of excessive number of transformers and a method to select optimum transformer for a given curve have not been discussed. Therefore it is important to determine the effect of oversized distribution transformers to the system.

The research has targeted to fill the above research gap and propose a method to evaluate the economic cost of a transformer in the Sri Lankan scenario. Further, industrial consumers have a problem of deciding the most cost effective transformer for their application. Hence the need of developing a computer programme to evaluate the cost of the transformer throughout its life time is important.

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This research project systematically fills the research gap of optimizing distribution transformer selection by industrial users. This Chapter presents the methodology used in this project to fill the research gap.

The various stages of this methodology are detailed in the following sections.

3.2 Identification of Research Gap

Firstly a broad topic of research was identified, namely that of optimizing distribution transformer selection, based mainly on interest as I had worked overseas in an industrial concern, worked with a distribution transformer manufacturer and now in a commercial division with a supply authority.

A detailed literature review was carried out in order to identify a research gap and significant work has been given in the Literature Review chapter.

The effect of the no load losses to the system was examined from the literature survey carried out on various studies done on distribution systems. Further, the literature survey was concentrated on finding work done on two main areas. Firstly, determination of capitalization of no-load and load-losses in distribution transformers and secondly how transformers can be loaded beyond name plate rating without any adverse effects.

3.3 Theoretical Analysis

As the first step in the theoretical analysis, it was required to select a consumer base. Bulk consumers with installed capacity of more than or equal to 250kVA have been selected for the analysis. The second step was to determine whether to go for an approach that will discourage the use of oversize and excessive distribution transformers, or to develop a model to optimize the selection of the distribution transformers.

Having decided on the latter, sample data was collected from the bulk suppliers in North Western Province to analyse the effect of oversized transformers to the system. Then the average peak demand was taken for analysis of field data.

A survey was carried out to determine typical load curves of industrial consumers. Then at theoretical analysis was done for four different types of load curves to determine the effect of different factors to the total owning cost of the transformer.

3.4 Develop a Model to calculate the Total Owning Cost

Based on the theoretical calculations an algorithm was developed to calculate the total owning cost of a transformer for a given load curve. In this model the factors such as ability to load beyond nameplate rating, life time of the transformer and the time value of money have been considered. In addition to that as the transformer details depend on distribution voltage and since different tariffs are applicable to different types of industries, those details have been set as input variables in this software.

3.5 Validate the Model

Using the typical load curves, discounting factor, Life time of transformer and the tariff rates, the most economical transformer was determined from the designed software. For the same scenarios the most economical transformer was determined manually and compared to validate the model.

4 THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF SIZING OF TRANSFORMERS

In a Power System, energy losses occur at each stage of the power system, starting from the generator transformers, to the high voltage transmission lines, power transformers, to the medium voltage distribution, to the distribution transformers and finally to the low voltage distribution lines. In Sri Lanka total system loss is 10.9% [6]. Out of that 8.7% [6] is in the distribution system which comes to 80% (8.7/10.9)of the total system loss.

4.1 Effect of the oversized transformers to the system

In this study, North Western Province has been taken as sample area to calculate the effect of the no load losses to the system. There were over 900 Bulk consumers registered in the province. Out of these bulk consumers, 330 consumers belong to the 90% of privately installed transformers, where the installed capacity is more than 250kVA. The actual installed transformer capacity of this group is 219MVA. However considering the highest demand during the recorded years 2013 and 2014, the required transformer capacity has been identified to be only 132MVA.

The annual losses due to no load losses of this group is 2.7 GWh which could have been reduced to 1.65 GWh. Since the CEB practices high voltage metering for billing purposes, these losses would probably not have been included in the total system losses, but as part of the consumer load.

The total Energy sold for North Western Province is 1,094 GWh [18] and the above mentioned losses add up to 0.247% (2.7 GWh /1094 GWh) of the total loss, where it could have been reduced to 0.151% (1.65 GWh /1094 GWh). Moreover the annual distribution loss in Distribution Division 1 is 8.1% [6]. Therefore are around 3.05% (0.247%/8.1%) due to the no load losses where it could be reduced to 1.85% (0.151%/8.1%).

4.2 Factors for proper sizing of transformers (life cycle cost)

There are various types of factors that affect the sizing of a transformer. These factors can be broadly grouped into technical factors, financial factors and production/business related factors and should be considered when sizing the transformer at the design stage.

4.2.1 Technical factors

One of the main technical factors is the distribution voltage which together with the transformer rating determines the current. However, for a particular system this voltage is fixed (400V in the Sri Lankan low voltage system). Thus the most important technical factor becomes the transformer losses, both no-load losses as well as full-load loss.

The no-load losses do not depend on the load but on the voltage and the transformer capacity. As the distribution voltage is fixed within somewhat tight limits, the no-load losses are generally considered as fixed. However, as the no-load loss is a fixed percentage of the transformer rating, the use of oversize transformers would unnecessarily increase the total no-load loss.

The load losses depend on the load current and the transformer capacity. In practice, consumers do not require a fixed load throughout the day, but in a varying manner dependent on the load curve, which thus becomes a very important parameter in the evaluation of load losses. In particular, while a certain load and duration would give a particular amount of energy and energy losses, double the load for half the time while giving the same useful energy would actually double the energy consumed. Thus two other important parameters in the evaluation of load loss is the value of the peak load and its duration. The choice of a higher capacity transformer would give a lower inherent winding resistance and hence lower load losses for the same current.

It is seen that for a given load, a higher capacity transformer would increase the no-load losses while reducing the load losses. Thus for a given load curve, there would be an optimum size of transformer that should be used, while also considering any foreseen growth in demand.

While for the utility, the consumer load would be continually growing, for a specific consumer getting the supply at medium voltage the load growth would not be continuous, but is planned steps. Thus while a distribution transformer owned by the utility would have to perhaps rate the transformer based on the growth over a reasonable period of time, a medium voltage consumer does not have to oversize his distribution transformer, but could go for an optimum transformer. In fact standards actually allow for the operation of a transformer for short periods of time over its name plate rating provided overheating of the transformer does not occur. This fact can also be used in the proper sizing of the transformer.

4.2.2 Financial factors

Cost of the electricity of a county is one of the main financial factors that need to be taken into account. If the cost of electricity is very low consumers tend to add inefficient equipment to the system. In Sri Lankan context the commercial and industrial consumers pay somewhat high electricity tariff in the world. Therefore it is important for businesses to go for efficient equipment.

When going for efficient equipment it follows high capital investment. Therefore it is very important to consider the total owning cost of the transformer as it is one of the major capital investment attached to the electrical system. When calculating the total owning cost, discounting factor and the transformer life span should be considered.

4.2.3 Production/business related factors

The cost of the electricity depends on the type of the business. In Sri Lanka there are five main categories of tariffs out of which three are relevant to businesses. Those categories are General Purpose, Industrial and Hotel. Current tariff applicable for each categories are as given in Table 4-1.

Table 4-2: Tari	iff Rates
-----------------	-----------

Tariff Category	Maximum Demand Charge per Month (LKR/kVA)	Fixed Charge (LKR/month)	Energy Charge (LKR/kWh)	Consumption per month (kWh)
Industrial-1	_	600	10.80	<301
			12.20	>300
1.1			20.50	Peak (18.30-22.30)
Industrial-2	1,100	3,000	11.00	Day (5.30-18.30)
			6.85	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
11.112			23.50	Peak (18.30-22.30)
Industrial-3	1,000	3,000	10.25	Day (5.30-18.30)
			5.90	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
General-1		240	18.30	<301
	and the second	210	22.85	>300
0 10	1,100	3,000	26.60	Peak (18.30-22.30)
General-2			21.80	Day (5.30-18.30)
			15.40	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
G 12		3,000	25.50	Peak (18.30-22.30)
General-3	1,000		20.70	Day (5.30-18.30)
11.4.1.1			14.35	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
Hotel-1		600	21.50	
11.4.1.2			23.50	Peak (18.30-22.30)
Hotel-2	1,100	3,000	14.65	Day (5.30-18.30)
			9.80	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
11. 1.2			22.50	Peak (18.30-22.30)
Hotel-3	1,000	3,000	13.70	Day (5.30-18.30)
			8.80	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)
Distribution			15.5	Peak (18.30-22.30)
			9.5	Day (5.30-18.30)
			5.9	Off-peak (22.30-05.30)

Even the Operation pattern (daytime operation, shift operation, 24×7 etc...), and the growth of the business matters when selecting the economic analysis. In addition to that the interest in energy saving projects shall affect the load pattern and will reduce the consumption. Also if the management is interest in alternative electricity options such as solar, diesel generators etc. the energy cost shall be change accordingly.

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

4.3 Typical load patterns considered

In this study, only the technical and the financial factors along with the tariff are considered together with four typical load patterns, namely.

- a) Type A: Distribution Load Curve
- b) Type B: Industry with varying load during the day time
- c) Type C: Industry with varying load during the day time and night time
- d) Type D:Industry operate in shifts with varying load during the day time and night time

It is assumed that all four load curves have same peak load (700kVA) and. The load patterns are described in the following sections.

4.3.1 Type A Load Curve: Distribution Load Curve

The first load curve considered in the theoretical evaluation is the representation of a commercial type of load consuming a constant amount of power from 6am to 6pm as shown in Figure 4-1.



Figure 4-1: Type A load curve

3375

4.3.2 Type B Load Curve:

The second load curve considered in the theoretical evaluation is the representation of a load consuming a varying amount of power during the daytime, typically factory as shown in Figure 4-2.



Figure 4-2: Type B load curve

4.3.3 Type C Load Curve:

The third load curve considered in the theoretical evaluation is the representation of a load consuming a varying amount of power during the day and night, Typically a Hotel as shown in Figure 4-3.



Figure 4-3: Type C load curve

4.3.4 Type D Load Curve:

The fourth load curve considered in the theoretical evaluation is the representation of a load consuming a varying amount of power during the day and night as shown in Figure 4-4.



Figure 4-4: Type D load curve

Since the peak load is 700kVA the selected transformer combinations as 1×630 kVA, 1×800 kVA, 1×1000 kVA, 1×1250 kVA, 2×630 kVA, 2×400 kVA have been used, which can be used for each load curve.

The possibility to load beyond name pate raring for each curve has been considered for the analysis. Accordingly it has been calculated the total load losses & no load losses for each transformer selection. Then the present values of the losses have been calculated for the lifetime of the transformers and added the initial cost.

Finally the effect of each factor, load pattern, discounting factor, transformer life cycle and the tariff rate have been considered for the total owning cost.

4.4 Assumptions and Standards

- a) All transformers have been assumed to be oil immersed and with cooling type ONAN.
- b) IEC 60354Loading guide for oil-immersed power transformers permits transformers to be load beyond nameplate rating dependent on the time of loading beyond nameplate rating. The IEC standard also limits the continuous loading to 1.5 times the name plate rating.

As per IEC 60354, the load curve has to be represented in simplified two step load curve.



Figure 4-5: Equivalent two step load curve according to IEC 60354

Figure 4-6 describes how to check the possibility to load the transformer beyond nameplate rating after representing the load curve in two step load curve.



Figure 4-6 : Overload curve according to IEC 60354

As given in above example if the K2/K1 = 1.5 and t=2, it can be safely load for 1.325 times the nameplate rating value. If the transformer is 1000kVA for load pattern as explained above it can be safely loaded up to 1325kVA.

5 TOTAL OWNING COST OF TRANSFORMERS

5.1 Background

Transformers usually have a life time of around 30 years in operation and therefore to acquire a unit only based on its initial costs basis is not fully logical. The total owning cost (TOC) of a transformer is actually the lifetime cost of the transformer and takes into account not only the initial cost of the transformer, but also the cost of operating and maintaining the transformer over its full lifetime. This requires that the TOC is calculated over the lifetime of the transformer. With this method it is now possible to calculate the real economic choice for a given load pattern.

To perform an economical analysis of the transformer, it is necessary to calculate its life cycle cost. The capitalized cost of the transformer, comparing the costs of purchasing, operating and maintaining the transformer and taking into account the time value of money.

The TOC can be basically calculated as follows:

TOC = Initial Cost of Transformer + Cost of No-load Losses + Cost of Load Losses [14]

Total 15- Year Owning Cost		15-Year Operating Cost	Full-Load Loss (W)	No-Load Loss (W)	Purchas e Price	Option
	\$30,811	\$29,475	2,829	375	\$1,336	Low Efficiency
	\$14,084	\$10,875	993	190	\$3,214	High Efficiency

Table 5-1: Cost of no load and load losses of 75 kVA transformer

Table 5-1 shows a result of a typical study where Olsun Electrics Corporation, provided a comparison of the cost of no-load and load losses of 75kVA transformers. While the low-efficiency aluminium-wound transformer had an initial cost \$1,336 the 15 year TOC was \$30,811. The high-efficiency copper-wound unit cost \$3,214 and had a 15 year TOC of \$14,084. Both transformers

have been evaluated on the assumption that they would operate continuously throughout the year.

5.2 Total Owning Cost Calculation Software

The determination of the total ownership cost of a transformer could be somewhat tedious unless a software tool is developed for that purpose. The software has been developed in Microsoft Excel using Visual Basic for a user friendly graphical user interface.



Figure 5-1: Software development algorithm

Figure 5-2 shows the main user-form from where the customer can start to enter his data.



Figure 5-2: Interface of the software

The form requests the consumer to insert his load pattern in 15 minutes intervals or select one of the given load patterns and insert the minimum and maximum load. When entering the load pattern, provision is there for leaving out repeated data with at least the load changing points filled, so that software can create the load curve by filling the blank data considering that it is equivalent to the load in the previous time slot.

The consumer has to option to view the load paten as a plotted graph if he wants and confirm the data.

Then the program needs the system parameters to be entered. Figure 6.2 shows that at commencement all the fields are filled with the typical values so that the consumer can proceed if those are acceptable but he also has the option to change according to his requirements.

Tariff	Industrial	
Distribution Voltage	11kV	
Transformer Life Span	25	
Interest Rate	12	<mark>%</mark>
nfirm		



Once the entered data is confirmed the program determines and displays the optimum transformer selection and two next best transformer selections as seen in Figure 5-4.

		Total C	Owning Cost		
Maximum Load (kVA)	:	580	Other Options		
Minimum Load (kVA)	:	193	Transformer Monthly Co	st of To	tal Owning
Average Load (kVA)	:	572	Capacity (KVA) LUSSES (LK	K(UUU)) CO	ST (LKK(MN))
Optimum Tansformer			1000	49	8.46
Transformer Capacity	: 800 kVA		1250	58	10.49
Monthly Cost of Losses ('000)	: LKR	47	Graphical Comparison		Exit
Total Owning Cost (Mn)	: LKR	7.73		1999 (m. 1997)	

Figure 5-4: Results-Evaluated best transformers

6 **RESULTS**

6.1 Results of the Theoretical Analysis

For the results given below following factors considered as normal scenario. The normal load pattern selected is Load curve C, Discounting Factor as 12%. Life time of the transformer as 30 years and the tariff rate applicable is H3. However it is noted that the results of the theoretical analysis were as follows.

6.1.1 Scenario 1 - Effect of Load Pattern

In this scenario it has been compared four different load curves keeping all other three factors remain unchanged.

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))			
combination	Load Curve A	Load Curve B	Load Curve C	Load Curve D
630 × 1	4.31	4.17	5.15	4.78
800 × 1	4.68	4.56	5.33	5.04
1000 × 1	5.02	4.93	5.55	5.32
1250 × 1	6.09	6.02	6.46	6.30
630 × 2	6.95	6.88	7.37	7.19
400 × 2	5.57	5.44	6.31	5.99

Table 6-1: Total owning cost for different load curves

If the load patterns is with a considerable peak load compared to average load it is economical to select a transformer with a nameplate rating of lower value than to the peak load. However it need to be check the possibility of loading beyond name plate rating, when creating the two step load curves to sample load curves other than Distribution load pattern all other curves had peak demand more than 8 hours and therefore it was not possible to load beyond name plate rating. Therefore the transformer selection of 1x630 kVA was applicable only for the load curve A.

6.1.2 Scenario 2– Effect of discounting factor

Three different discounting factors considered for this analysis. Two different discounting factors considered for the evaluation in addition to 12%

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))			Total Owning (LKR (Mn)		st
compiliation	15%	12%	5%			
800 × 1	4.83	5.33	7.79			
1000 × 1	4.99	5.46	7.76			
1250 × 1	6.00	6.46	8.72			
630 × 2	6.83	7.37	10.02			
400 × 2	5.73	6.31	9.16			

Table 6-2: Total owning cost for different discounting factors

When the Discounting Factor is low the operating cost is much considerable for the Total Owning Cost. As per the above results, if the discounting factor is 5% the 1000kVA Transformer has become the optimum transformer. The IRR value for the given scenario is 5.73%, where it is the discounting rate which changes the optimum solution 800kVA transformer to 100kVA transformer

6.1.3 Scenario 3 : Effect of Transformer Life time

Three different life times considered for this analysis, which are 35, 30 and 25.

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))		
combination	25Yrs	30Yrs	35Yrs
800 × 1	5.27	5.33	5.36
1000 × 1	5.40	5.46	5.49
1250 × 1	6.41	6.46	6.50
630 × 2	7.30	7.37	7.41
400 × 2	6.24	6.31	6.35

Table 6-3: Total owning cost for different transformer life times

The optimum transformer selection is not varying with the change of the transformer life time. However the total owning cost changes with the transformer life time in an exponential pattern.

6.1.4 Scenario 4: Effect of Tariff

The third tariff range of all four types of tariffs applied for the selected Load Curve.

Transformer	Тс	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))			
combination	Industrial 3	Hotel 3	General Purpose 3	Distribution	
800 × 1	4.86	5.33	6.48	4.53	
1000 × 1	5.11	5.46	6.63	4.80	
1250 × 1	6.03	6.46	7.53	5.73	
630 × 2	6.86	7.37	8.62	6.51	
400 × 2	5.77	6.31	7.64	5.38	

Table 6-4: Total owning cost for different tariffs

The optimum transformer selection is 800kVA transformer for each category.

6.2 Results of the software analysis

Same scenarios analysed in Section 6.1 has been module in the software and it gives the results same as the theoretical values calculated manually.

6.2.1 Scenario 1 - Effect of Load Pattern

The results are same as the theoretical analysis as represent in the Table 6-5.

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))			
combination	Load Curve A	Load Curve B	Load Curve C	Load Curve D
630 × 1	4.31	Not Calculated	Not Calculated	Not Calculated
800 × 1	4.68	4.56	5.33	5.04
1000 × 1	5.02	4.93	5.55	5.32
1250 × 1	Not Calculated	6.02	6.46	6.30

Table 6-5: Total owning cost from Software for different discounting factors

As per the results obtained using the software shows that gives the same results as the manual calculation and even more the software has checked the possibility of loading beyond nameplate rating and eliminated the calculations for such transformer capacities.

6.2.2 Scenario 2- Effect of discounting factor

Same results have been obtained validating the model.

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))		
combination –	15%	12%	5%
800 × 1	4.83	5.33	7.79
1000 × 1	4.99	5.46	7.76
1250 × 1	6.00	6.46	8.72

Table 6-6: Total owning cost from software for different discounting factors

6.2.3 Scenario 3: Effect of Transformer Life time

Same results have been obtained validating the model.

Table 6-7: Total owning cost for different transformer life times

Transformer	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))			
combination	25Yrs	30Yrs	35Yrs	
800 × 1	5.27	5.33	5.36	
1000 × 1	5.40	5.46	5.49	
1250 × 1	6.41	6.46	6.50	

6.2.4 Scenario 4: Effect of Tariff

Same results have been obtained validating the model.

Table 6-8: Total owning cost for different tariffs

	Total Owning Cost (LKR (Mn))				
combination	Industrial 3	Hotel 3	General Purpose 3	Distribution	
800 × 1	4.86	5.33	6.48	4.53	
1000 × 1	5.11	5.46	6.63	4.80	
1250 × 1	6.03	6.46	7.53	5.73	

7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study has been identified that there is a considerable effect of unnecessary no-load losses to the system. North Western Province has been considered as the sample area for the research, and it has been found that the installed transformer capacity is more than double the minimum required transformer capacity. Due to this excessive transformer capacity, an additional 1GWh is lost to the electricity network as unnecessary losses. It counts to 0.1% of the total energy sales and 1.15% of the distribution losses.

Having identified that there is a considerable effect of unnecessary no load losses to the system, a software has been developed to do the proper sizing of transformers for a given load pattern considering total owning cost. This software has been validated by comparing the total owning costs of the optimum solution and the next best alternative with manual calculated results for four typical load curves representing distribution, single shift factory, hotel and two shift factory. Results show that impact of discounting factor and the tariff category have a major impact on the optimum transformer selection, whereas the total life time of the transformer, while affecting the total owning cost does not seem to affect the optimum transformer selection. In addition to the above factors, the ability to load beyond nameplate rating of transformer has been considered for the optimum transformer selection, which depends on the load curve.

The developed software has the ability to select the distribution voltage of the area, and either enter a load curve manually or select one of three typical load patterns (these can be increased without much difficulty in the future), select a tariff category, specify a discounting factor and specify the transformer lifetime. The software then determines the most optimum and the second best alternative comparing the total owning cost. The software can be used by industrial consumers and consultants to identify the optimal transformer capacity required for a particular application.

7.1 Limitations

This software is basically developed by considering the losses of the transformers of "LTL Transformers (Pvt) Ltd. as it is the main transformer supplier to the Sri Lankan market. In addition, the current tariff scheme has been used together with the 2016 prices of transformers for the comparison, in the software.

The drawback of this process is the difficulty in predicting the future load profile and electricity costs and tariffs with confidence and the change of initial costs, which needs to be updated at least once a year. In addition this software has not considered the material prices, particularly the cost of conductor which varies with the location of the installation of the transformer.

7.2 Recommendations and Further Work

Further the analysis of the effect of load losses and the no load losses of distribution transformers to the system can be carried out for the total electricity network to get the actual statistics, which can be used to educate people during energy audits.

LIST OF REFERENCES'

- Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority, "Reports Digest-Energy Management Programme in Tea Sector", 2014.
- [2] Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority, "Load Research", 2014.
- [3] 2017. [Online]. Available: http://energyteamsolutions.com/Projects.htm. [Accessed: 05- Dec- 2015].
- [4] Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka, "Annual Report", 2014.
- [5] J.R.P Jayasinghe, "Segregation and Analysis of Distribution Losses and Mitigating Techniques", MSc., University of Moratuwa, 2005.
- [6] Ceylon Electricity Board, "Annual Report", 2014.
- [7] Kulkarni, V. A. and P. K Katti. "Estimation of Distribution Transformer Losses in Feeder Circuit". International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering Vol 3 No 5 (2011)
- [8] Eddy Current Losses in Transformer Windings and Circuit Wiring. Texas: Texas Instruments Incorporated, 2003.
- [9] Application Note Efficiency and Loss Evaluation of Large Power Transformers. Stefan Fassbinder, 2013. Web. 21 Dec. 2015.
- [10] A.H. Al-Badi Senior Member IEEE, A. Elmoudi, I. Metwally Senior Member IEEE, A. Al-Wahaibi, H. Al-Ajmi, M. Al Bulushi, "Losses Reduction In Distribution Transformers". International Multi Conference of Engineers & computer Scientist Vol 2, IMESC 2011, Hong Kong
- [11] W.D.A.S. Wijayapala, S.R.K. Gamage, H.M.S.L.G. Bandara, "Determination of Capitalization Values for No Load Loss and Load Loss in Distribution Transformers", Journal of the IESL, XLIX Vol. XLIX, No. 03, pp. [11-20], 2016

LIBRARY

- [12] Eleftherios I. Amoiralis, Marina A. Tsili, Pavlos S. Georgilakis, A. Kladas, "Energy efficient transformer selection Implementing life cycle costs and environmental externalities" 9th international Conference Electrical Power Quality and Utilization, Barcelona, Oct 2007
- [13] 2017. [Online]. Available: http://www.4cleanair.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Chapter_10.pdf.
 [Accessed: 08- Dec- 2015].
- [14] Copper Development Association Inc. (CDA), "Premium-Efficiency Motors and Transformers", 2001.
- [15] Kenneth Duane Harden, "Energy Efficiency Standards for Low Voltage Distribution Transformers", MSc., Purdue University Fort Wayne, Indiana, 2011
- [16] K. B. M. I. Perera, J. R. Lucas, "Software Guided Safe Loading of Transformers", New Delhi, April 2000
- [17] K. B. M. I. Perera, J. R. Lucas, "Software Guided Safe Loading of Transformers", *Engineer*, vol 30, No 3 September 1999, p 58-65.
- [18] Ceylon Electricity Board, "Statistical Digest", 2014.

ANNEXURE

Software Code

Main User Form

//Display User Form 'Load Curve Method' to select the method to enter the load curve//

Private Sub CommandButton1_Click() frmLoadCurveMethod.Show End Sub

//Display User Form 'Variable Confirmation' to enter the variable data required for calculation// Private Sub CommandButton2 Click()

frmVariableConfirmation.Show End Sub

//Exit form the Main User Form//
Private Sub CommandButton3_Click()
Unload Me
Application.DisplayAlerts = True
End Sub

//Load the image inthe Main User Form & Disaable the Variable Confiamation
Button at the Initial Stage//
Private Sub UserForm_Initialize()

Image1.Picture = LoadPicture("C:\Users\HP\Pictures\HP Wall.jpg") CommandButton2.Enabled = False End Sub

Load Curve Method User Form

Private Sub CommandButton2_Click() //Display User Form frmLoadPattern to enter the load values// formLoadPattern.Show

//Set the method as'Nil' to Export Direct data entered in the User Form 'Load Pattern'//

Dim wb As Workbook Dim ws As Worksheet

Set wb = ActiveWorkbook Set ws = Sheets("Variable Confirmation") ws.Cells(5, 10).Value = "Nil" //Exit from User Form LoadPattern// Unload Me

End Sub

//Display User Form 'Load Pattern' to enter the load values//
Private Sub CommandButton3_Click()
frmTypicalLoadCurves.Show
Unload Me
End Sub

Load Pattern User Form

Sub Activate Workbook() //Activate Workbook// Workbooks("Final Working.xlsm").Activate //Activate Worksheet// Workbooks("Final Working.xlsm").Sheets("Variable Confirmation"). Activate End Sub //Display Load Pattern Entered// Private Sub cmd Load Load Pattern_Click() frmLoadPatternGraph.CommandButton1.Value = True frmLoadPatternGraph.Show End Sub Private Sub cmdBack Click() End End Sub //Activate Command to Confirm the Load Pattern Entered// Private Sub cmdConfirm Click() CmdConfirmLoadPattern.Value = True //clear data once Confirm the data// L0000.Text = "" MainUserForm.CommandButton2.Enabled = True End Sub //Load the Entered Pattern to Excel Sheet// Public Sub CmdConfirmLoadPattern Click() Dim wb As Workbook Dim ws As Worksheet Set ws = Sheets("Variable Confirmation")

//validate first three controls have been entered//
If Me.L0000.Text = Empty Then 'h0000

MsgBox "Please enter Load at 0000h.", vbExclamation Me.L0000.SetFocus 'position cursor to try again Exit Sub 'terminate here - why continue? End If //If a field kept unfilled fill that with the value of the previous field// If Me.L0015.Text = Empty Then 'h0015 Me.L0015.Text = Me.L0000.TextEnd If If Me.L0030.Text = Empty Then h0030Me.L0030.Text = Me.L0015.TextEnd If If Me.L0045.Text = Empty Then 'h0045 Me.L0045.Text = Me.L0030.Text End If If Me.L0100.Text = Empty Then 'h0100 Me.L0100.Text = Me.L0045.TextEnd If If Me.L0115.Text = Empty Then 'h0115 Me.L0115.Text = Me.L0100.TextEnd If If Me.L0130.Text = Empty Then 'h0130 Me.L0130.Text = Me.L0115.TextEnd If If Me.L0145.Text = Empty Then 'h0145 Me.L0145.Text = Me.L0130.TextEnd If If Me.L0200.Text = Empty Then 'h0200 Me.L0200.Text = Me.L0145.Text End If If Me.L0215.Text = Empty Then 'h0215 Me.L0215.Text = Me.L0200.Text End If If Me.L0230.Text = Empty Then 'h0230 Me.L0230.Text = Me.L0215.TextEnd If If Me.L0245.Text = Empty Then 'h0245 Me.L0245.Text = Me.L0230.TextEnd If If Me.L0300.Text = Empty Then h0300Me.L0300.Text = Me.L0245.TextEnd If If Me.L0315.Text = Empty Then 'h0315 Me.L0315.Text = Me.L0300.TextEnd If If Me.L0330.Text = Empty Then 'h0330 Me.L0330.Text = Me.L0315.Text

End If

If Me.L0345.Text = Empty Then 'h0345 Me.L0345.Text = Me.L0330.Text End If If Me.L0400.Text = Empty Then 'h0400 Me.L0400.Text = Me.L0345.Text End If If Me.L0415.Text = Empty Then 'h0415 Me.L0415.Text = Me.L0400.Text End If If Me.L0430.Text = Empty Then 'h0430 Me.L0430.Text = Me.L0415.Text End If If Me.L0445.Text = Empty Then 'h0445 Me.L0445.Text = Me.L0430.Text End If If Me.L0500.Text = Empty Then 'h0500 Me.L0500.Text = Me.L0445.Text End If If Me.L0515.Text = Empty Then 'h0515 Me.L0515.Text = Me.L0500.Text End If If Me.L0530.Text = Empty Then 'h0530 Me.L0530.Text = Me.L0515.Text End If If Me.L0545.Text = Empty Then 'h0545 Me.L0545.Text = Me.L0530.Text End If If Me.L0600.Text = Empty Then 'h0600 Me.L0600.Text = Me.L0545.Text End If If Me.L0615.Text = Empty Then 'h0615 Me.L0615.Text = Me.L0600.Text End If If Me.L0630.Text = Empty Then 'h0630 Me.L0630.Text = Me.L0615.Text End If If Me.L0645.Text = Empty Then 'h0645 Me.L0645.Text = Me.L0630.Text End If If Me.L0700.Text = Empty Then 'h0700 Me.L0700.Text = Me.L0645.Text End If If Me.L0715.Text = Empty Then 'h0715 Me.L0715.Text = Me.L0700.Text End If If Me.L0730.Text = Empty Then 'h0730

Me.L0730.Text = Me.L0715.Text End If If Me.L0745.Text = Empty Then h0745Me.L0745.Text = Me.L0730.Text End If If Me.L0800.Text = Empty Then 'h0800 Me.L0800.Text = Me.L0745.TextEnd If If Me.L0815.Text = Empty Then 'h0815 Me.L0815.Text = Me.L0800.Text End If If Me.L0830.Text = Empty Then h0830Me.L0830.Text = Me.L0815.Text End If If Me.L0845.Text = Empty Then 'h0845 Me.L0845.Text = Me.L0830.Text End If If Me.L0900.Text = Empty Then 'h0900 Me.L0900.Text = Me.L0845.Text End If If Me.L0915.Text = Empty Then 'h0915 Me.L0915.Text = Me.L0900.Text End If If Me.L0930.Text = Empty Then 'h0930 Me.L0930.Text = Me.L0915.Text End If If Me.L0945.Text = Empty Then 'h0945 Me.L0945.Text = Me.L0930.Text End If If Me.L1000.Text = Empty Then h1000Me.L1000.Text = Me.L0945.Text End If If Me.L1015.Text = Empty Then h1015 Me.L1015.Text = Me.L1000.TextEnd If If Me.L1030.Text = Empty Then h1030Me.L1030.Text = Me.L1015.Text End If If Me.L1045.Text = Empty Then h1045 Me.L1045.Text = Me.L1030.Text End If If Me.L1100.Text = Empty Then 'h1100 Me.L1100.Text = Me.L1045.Text End If If Me.L1115.Text = Empty Then h1115 Me.L1115.Text = Me.L1100.Text End If

If Me.L1130.Text = Empty Then h1130 Me.L1130.Text = Me.L1115.Text End If If Me.L1145.Text = Empty Then h1145 Me.L1145.Text = Me.L1130.Text End If If Me.L1200.Text = Empty Then h1200Me.L1200.Text = Me.L1145.Text End If If Me.L1215.Text = Empty Then 'h1215 Me.L1215.Text = Me.L1200.Text End If If Me.L1230.Text = Empty Then 'h1230 Me.L1230.Text = Me.L1215.Text End If If Me.L1245.Text = Empty Then 'h1245 Me.L1245.Text = Me.L1230.TextEnd If If Me.L1300.Text = Empty Then h1300 Me.L1300.Text = Me.L1245.Text End If If Me.L1315.Text = Empty Then 'h1315 Me.L1315.Text = Me.L1300.Text End If If Me.L1330.Text = Empty Then h1330 Me.L1330.Text = Me.L1315.Text End If If Me.L1345.Text = Empty Then h1345 Me.L1345.Text = Me.L1330.Text End If If Me.L1400.Text = Empty Then h1400Me.L1400.Text = Me.L1345.Text End If If Me.L1415.Text = Empty Then h1415 Me.L1415.Text = Me.L1400.Text End If If Me.L1430.Text = Empty Then 'h1430 Me.L1430.Text = Me.L1415.Text End If If Me.L1445.Text = Empty Then h1445 Me.L1445.Text = Me.L1430.TextEnd If If Me.L1500.Text = Empty Then 'h1500 Me.L1500.Text = Me.L1445.TextEnd If If Me.L1515.Text = Empty Then h1515 Me.L1515.Text = Me.L1500.Text

End If If Me.L1530.Text = Empty Then h1530 Me.L1530.Text = Me.L1515.Text End If If Me.L1545.Text = Empty Then h1545 Me.L1545.Text = Me.L1530.Text End If If Me.L1600.Text = Empty Then h1600Me.L1600.Text = Me.L1545.Text End If If Me.L1615.Text = Empty Then 'h1615 Me.L1615.Text = Me.L1600.Text End If If Me.L1630.Text = Empty Then h1630 Me.L1630.Text = Me.L1615.Text End If If Me.L1645.Text = Empty Then h1645 Me.L1645.Text = Me.L1630.TextEnd If If Me.L1700.Text = Empty Then 'h1700 Me.L1700.Text = Me.L1645.TextEnd If If Me.L1715.Text = Empty Then h1715 Me.L1715.Text = Me.L1700.Text End If If Me.L1730.Text = Empty Then h1730Me.L1730.Text = Me.L1715.Text End If If Me.L1745.Text = Empty Then 'h1745 Me.L1745.Text = Me.L1730.Text End If If Me.L1800.Text = Empty Then h1800Me.L1800.Text = Me.L1745.TextEnd If If Me.L1815.Text = Empty Then h1815 Me.L1815.Text = Me.L1800.TextEnd If If Me.L1830.Text = Empty Then '10830 Me.L1830.Text = Me.L1815.Text End If If Me.L1845.Text = Empty Then 'h1845 Me.L1845.Text = Me.L1830.Text End If If Me.L1900.Text = Empty Then h1900Me.L1900.Text = Me.L1845.TextEnd If

If Me.L1915.Text = Empty Then 'h1915

Me.L1915.Text = Me.L1900.TextEnd If If Me.L1930.Text = Empty Then h1930Me.L1930.Text = Me.L1915.Text End If If Me.L1945.Text = Empty Then 'h1945 Me.L1945.Text = Me.L1930.Text End If If Me.L2000.Text = Empty Then 'h2000 Me.L2000.Text = Me.L1945.TextEnd If If Me.L2015.Text = Empty Then 'h2015 Me.L2015.Text = Me.L2000.Text End If If Me.L2030.Text = Empty Then 'h2030 Me.L2030.Text = Me.L2015.TextEnd If If Me.L2045.Text = Empty Then 'h2045 Me.L2045.Text = Me.L2030.Text End If If Me.L2100.Text = Empty Then h2100Me.L2100.Text = Me.L2045.Text End If If Me.L2115.Text = Empty Then h2115 Me.L2115.Text = Me.L2100.Text End If If Me.L2130.Text = Empty Then h2130 Me.L2130.Text = Me.L2115.Text End If If Me.L2145.Text = Empty Then h2145 Me.L2145.Text = Me.L2130.Text End If If Me.L2200.Text = Empty Then 'h2200 Me.L2200.Text = Me.L2145.TextEnd If If Me.L2215.Text = Empty Then 'h2215 Me.L2215.Text = Me.L2200.TextEnd If If Me.L2230.Text = Empty Then 'h2230 Me.L2230.Text = Me.L2215.Text End If If Me.L2245.Text = Empty Then 'h2245 Me.L2245.Text = Me.L2230.TextEnd If If Me.L2300.Text = Empty Then 'h2300 Me.L2300.Text = Me.L2245.TextEnd If

If Me.L2315.Text = Empty Then 'h2315 Me.L2315.Text = Me.L2300.Text End If If Me.L2330.Text = Empty Then 'h2330 Me.L2330.Text = Me.L2315.Text End If If Me.L2345.Text = Empty Then 'h2345 Me.L2345.Text = Me.L2330.Text

End If

//Copy the load details to the calculation sheet// ws.Cells(2, 6).Value = Me.L0000.Value ws.Cells(3, 6).Value = Me.L0015.Value ws.Cells(4, 6).Value = Me.L0030.Value ws.Cells(5, 6).Value = Me.L0045.Value ws.Cells(6, 6).Value = Me.L0100.Value ws.Cells(7, 6).Value = Me.L0115.Value ws.Cells(8, 6).Value = Me.L0130.Value ws.Cells(9, 6).Value = Me.L0145.Value ws.Cells(10, 6).Value = Me.L0200.Value ws.Cells(11, 6).Value = Me.L0215.Value ws.Cells(12, 6).Value = Me.L0230.Value ws.Cells(13, 6).Value = Me.L0245.Value ws.Cells(14, 6).Value = Me.L0300.Value ws.Cells(15, 6).Value = Me.L0315.Value ws.Cells(16, 6).Value = Me.L0330.Value ws.Cells(17, 6).Value = Me.L0345.Value ws.Cells(18, 6).Value = Me.L0400.Value ws.Cells(19, 6).Value = Me.L0415.Value ws.Cells(20, 6).Value = Me.L0430.Value ws.Cells(21, 6).Value = Me.L0445.Value ws.Cells(22, 6).Value = Me.L0500.Value ws.Cells(23, 6).Value = Me.L0515.Value ws.Cells(24, 6).Value = Me.L0530.Value ws.Cells(25, 6).Value = Me.L0545.Value ws. Cells(26, 6). Value = Me.L0600. Value ws.Cells(27, 6).Value = Me.L0615.Value ws.Cells(28, 6).Value = Me.L0630.Value ws.Cells(29, 6).Value = Me.L0645.Value ws.Cells(30, 6).Value = Me.L0700.Value ws.Cells(31, 6).Value = Me.L0715.Value ws.Cells(32, 6).Value = Me.L0730.Value ws.Cells(33, 6).Value = Me.L0745.Value ws.Cells(34, 6).Value = Me.L0800.Value ws.Cells(35, 6).Value = Me.L0815.Value ws.Cells(36, 6).Value = Me.L0830.Value ws.Cells(37, 6).Value = Me.L0845.Value

ws Cells $(38, 6)$ Value = Me I 0900 Value
ws Cells(39, 6). Value = Me I 0915 Value
ws.Cells(40, 6) Value = Me I 0930 Value
ws.Cells(41, 6).Value = Me I 0945 Value
ws.Cells(42, 6).Value = Me I 1000 Value
Ws.Cells(42, 6). Value = Me.L1000. Value = Me.L1015. Value
ws.Cells(43, 6). v and $-$ Mc.L1015. v and v
ws.Cells(44, 0). value $-$ Me.L1050. value
ws.Cells(45, 6). Value – Me.L1045. Value \sim
ws.Cells(40, 0). value = Me.L1100. value \sim
ws.Cells(47, 6). Value = Me.L1115. Value $\sim C_{\rm ell}$
ws.Cells(48, 6). Value = Me.L1130. Value $C_{\rm ells}(40, C)$ Value = Me.L1145. Value
ws.Cells(49, 6). Value = Me.L1145. Value C_{11}
ws.Cells(50, 6). Value = Me.L1200. Value
ws.Cells(51, 6). Value = Me.L1215. Value
ws.Cells(52, 6). Value = Me.L1230. Value
ws.Cells $(53, 6)$.Value = Me.L1245.Value
ws.Cells $(54, 6)$.Value = Me.L1300.Value
ws.Cells $(55, 6)$.Value = Me.L1315.Value
ws.Cells $(56, 6)$.Value = Me.L1330.Value
ws.Cells $(57, 6)$.Value = Me.L1345.Value
ws.Cells $(58, 6)$.Value = Me.L1400.Value
ws.Cells $(59, 6)$.Value = Me.L1415.Value
ws.Cells $(60, 6)$.Value = Me.L1430.Value
ws.Cells $(61, 6)$.Value = Me.L1445.Value
ws.Cells $(62, 6)$.Value = Me.L1500.Value
ws.Cells $(63, 6)$.Value = Me.L1515.Value
ws.Cells $(64, 6)$.Value = Me.L1530.Value
ws.Cells $(65, 6)$.Value = Me.L1545.Value
ws.Cells $(66, 6)$.Value = Me.L1600.Value
ws.Cells(67, 6).Value = Me.L1615.Value
ws.Cells $(68, 6)$.Value = Me.L1630.Value
ws.Cells $(69, 6)$.Value = Me.L1645.Value
ws.Cells $(70, 6)$.Value = Me.L1700.Value
ws.Cells $(71, 6)$.Value = Me.L1715.Value
ws.Cells $(72, 6)$.Value = Me.L1730.Value
ws.Cells $(73, 6)$.Value = Me.L1745.Value
ws.Cells $(74, 6)$.Value = Me.L1800.Value
ws.Cells $(75, 6)$.Value = Me.L1815.Value
ws.Cells(76, 6).Value = Me.L1830.Value
ws.Cells(77, 6).Value = Me.L1845.Value
ws.Cells(78, 6).Value = Me.L1900.Value
ws.Cells(79, 6).Value = Me.L1915.Value
ws.Cells(80, 6).Value = Me.L1930.Value
ws.Cells(81, 6).Value = Me.L1945.Value
ws.Cells(82, 6).Value = Me.L2000.Value
ws.Cells(83, 6).Value = Me.L2015.Value
ws.Cells(84, 6).Value = Me.L2030.Value

ws.Cells(85, 6).Value = Me.L2045.Value ws.Cells(86, 6).Value = Me.L2100.Value ws.Cells(87, 6).Value = Me.L2115.Value ws.Cells(88, 6).Value = Me.L2130.Value ws.Cells(89, 6).Value = Me.L2145.Value ws.Cells(90, 6).Value = Me.L2200.Value ws.Cells(91, 6).Value = Me.L2215.Value ws.Cells(92, 6).Value = Me.L2230.Value ws.Cells(93, 6).Value = Me.L230.Value ws.Cells(94, 6).Value = Me.L2315.Value ws.Cells(95, 6).Value = Me.L2315.Value ws.Cells(96, 6).Value = Me.L2330.Value ws.Cells(97, 6).Value = Me.L2345.Value ws.Cells(98, 6).Value = Me.L2345.Value

//Exit form the Load Pattern Form// Unload Me

End Sub

Private Sub frmLoadPatternGraph_Initialize() Dim Fname As String

//Display the Load Pattern relevant to the entered Data//
Call SaveChart
Fname = ThisWorkbook.Path & "\temp1.gif"
Me.Image1.Picture = LoadPicture(Fname)
End Sub

Variable Confirmation User Form

Private Sub cmdVariableConfirmtion_Click() Dim wb As Workbook Dim ws As Worksheet

Set wb = ActiveWorkbook Set ws = Sheets("Variable Confirmation")

If Me.ComboBox1.ListIndex = -1 Then 'h0000 MsgBox "Please Select a Tariff Category.", vbExclamation Me.ComboBox1.SetFocus 'position cursor to try again Exit Sub 'terminate here - why continue? End If

If Me.ComboBox2.ListIndex = -1 Then 'h0000

MsgBox "Please Select the Distribution Voltage at your area.", vbExclamation

Me.ComboBox2.SetFocus 'position cursor to try again Exit Sub 'terminate here - why continue? End If

If Me.txtTransformerLife.Text = Empty Then 'h0000

MsgBox "Please enter Expected Lifespan of the Transformer.", vbExclamation

Me.txtTransformerLife.SetFocus 'position cursor to try again Exit Sub 'terminate here - why continue?

End If

If Me.txtInterestRate.Text = Empty Then 'h0000 MsgBox "Please enter Discounting Rate.", vbExclamation Me.txtInterestRate.SetFocus 'position cursor to try again Exit Sub 'terminate here - why continue?

End If

ws.Cells(1, 10).Value = Me.ComboBox1.Value ws.Cells(2, 10).Value = Me.txtInterestRate.Value ws.Cells(3, 10).Value = Me.txtTransformerLife.Value ws.Cells(4, 10).Value = Me.ComboBox2.Value

Unload Me frmOutPutDisplay.Show

End Sub

Private Sub ComboBox1_Change()

End Sub

```
Private Sub UserForm_Initialize()
ComboBox1.List = Array("Industrial", "Domestic", "Hotel", "General
Purpose")
Me.ComboBox1.Text = Me.ComboBox1.List(0)
ComboBox2.List = Array("11kV", "33kV")
Me.ComboBox2.Text = Me.ComboBox2.List(0)
txtTransformerLife.Value = "25"
txtTransformerLife.SetFocus
txtInterestRate.Value = "12"
txtInterestRate.SetFocus
```

End Sub

Output Display Form

Public Sub UserForm_Initialize()

Dim wb As Workbook Dim ws As Worksheet

Set wb = ActiveWorkbook

//Display the relevant results from the calculation Sheet//
Set ws = Sheets("Display")
IMonthlyCostOfLosses.Caption = ws.Range("C10").Text
IDisplayTOC.Caption = ws.Range("C9").Text
IDisplayTansformerCapacity.Caption = ws.Range("C8").Text
IMaxLoad.Caption = ws.Range("C2").Text
IMinLoad.Caption = ws.Range("C3").Text
IAvgLoad.Caption = ws.Range("C1").Text
Label50.Caption = ws.Range("C13").Text
Label51.Caption = ws.Range("C14").Text
Label55.Caption = ws.Range("D14").Text
Label53.Caption = ws.Range("E13").Text
Label58.Caption = ws.Range("E14").Text
Label56.Caption = ws.Range("E14").Text

End Sub

ORAT RECEIVED 2 9 JUN 201 Q - SECTIC UON BRARY G 201 20 20