

**DEVELOPMENT OF A VISION AIDED
REACH-TO-GRASP PATH PLANNING AND
CONTROLLING METHOD FOR
TRANS-HUMERAL ROBOTIC PROSTHESES**

Dannangoda Gamage Kanishka Madusanka

148039D

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree Doctor of Philosophy in Biomedical Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2018

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD thesis under our supervision.

Prof. R.A.R.C Gopura
Head/Professor,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Dr. Y.W.R. Amarasinghe
Senior Lecturer,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Prof. G.K.I. Mann
Professor,
Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science,
Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada.

Abstract

This study proposes a reach-to-grasp path planning and controlling method for trans-humeral prostheses. Trans-humeral prostheses are used to replace the missing body part after the loss of upper limb (UL) above elbow. Reach-to-grasp paths refers to the paths taken by the human UL to reach towards an object with the intention of grasping.

A trans-humeral prosthesis has been designed and fabricated with 5DOF. A simulation environment has been proposed using the design. Simulation environment consists of a virtual shoulder joint which can be actuated according to a natural human shoulder using an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU). Prosthesis and the simulation environment has been used to experimentally evaluate the proposed path planning method.

A reach-to-grasp path planning method combining Electromyography (EMG) signals and vision signals has been proposed. EMG Based Module (EBM) is capable of controlling prosthesis elbow motion effectively with an accuracy of 92%. Visual Servoing Module (VSM) consists of a 2-1/2D visual servoing system to center the object of interest to the hand of the prosthesis and to correct the orientation. An object reaching algorithm has been proposed to reach towards the object. Later, the EBM and the VSM has been fused using an fusion filter.

An improvement to the above method has been proposed to make the paths straight. It consists of a path generation module and a path tracking module. Path generation module is capable of generating a path towards the object. The object position is located and a path is generated from the current position of the prosthetic hand to the object position with the aid of vision. Path tracking module takes the prosthetic hand on the generated path considering shoulder motions. Two path tracking methods has been proposed: spatial path following method and Model Predictive Controller (MPC) based path tracking method. Proposed path planning method has been experimentally evaluated.

Keywords- Trans-humeral prosthesis, electromyography, visual servoing, reach-to-grasp path planning, path following

DEDICATION

To my parents,

D.G. Sunil Santha and Indralatha Udayakanthi Wijesinghe

Without whom none of my success would be possible

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

After an intensive period of three years, today I am writing this note of thanks in the finishing touch on my thesis. It has been a period of intense learning for me, not only in the scientific arena, but also on a personal level. Working on this thesis has had a big impact on me. I would like to reflect on the people who have supported and helped me throughout this period.

I would first like to thank my thesis supervisor Prof. R.A.R.C. Gopura for insightful guidance, valuable suggestions, and timely encouragement. The door to his office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. He consistently allowed this thesis to be my own work, but steered me in the right direction whenever he thought I needed it. Furthermore my co-supervisors Dr. Y.W.R. Amarasinghe and Prof. G.K.I. Mann shared their tremendous support towards making this thesis a success. Their comments and suggestions on improving research work and writings was outstanding. I am extremely grateful for them for giving me the opportunity and support towards reading for my doctoral degree under their supervision.

I take this opportunity to express my gratitude towards the Senate Research Council of University of Moratuwa for the financial support granted to me under the grant no. SRC/LT/2013/07 to conduct my studies throughout three years.

Moreover, enormous thanks to the progress review panel members: Prof. Rohan Munasinghe, Dr. Palitha Dassanayake, and Dr. Sanath Jayawardena who guided me throughout the study. Thank you very much for your comments and suggestions which helped me to drive my study in the right direction.

I wish to express my sincere thanks towards Dr. Thilina Lalitharatne, Mr. Pubudu Ranaweera, Mr. Achintha Mihiran and all colleagues of Bionics Laboratory for their tremendous support. Besides that special thanks goes to Mr. Viraj Muthugala, a PhD candidate of Department of Electrical Engineering for the support and the comments provided and helping me at hard times.

In recognition of all the help and support I would like to remind Dr. W.K. Wimal Siri (previous Head of the Department), Dr. Damith Chathuranga (research coordinator), and all the staff of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

Special thank goes to all of my friends who helped me in all sorts of forms to make this thesis a success. Moreover, I would like to express my gratitude towards Ms. Nathasha Peiris for the tremendous support provided.

Without any doubt, I must thank my parents, for the endless support and commitment. Their love and encouragements helped me a lot to lift me up in every downfall.

Kanishka Madusanka
kanishkam@ieee.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Prosthesis as a Robot	2
1.2 Motivation	3
1.3 Contributions of the Thesis	5
1.4 Thesis Overview	5

2	Literature Review	8
2.1	Bio-mechanics and Kinematics of Upper Limb (UL)	8
2.1.1	Bio-mechanics of Upper Limb (UL)	9
2.1.2	Kinematics of Upper Limb (UL)	15
2.2	Upper Limb (UL) Prostheses	17
2.3	Upper Limb Prosthetic Control Systems	19
2.3.1	Myoelectric (EMG) Signals	20
2.3.2	Myoelectric Control Systems	21
2.3.3	Hybrid Myoelectric Control Systems	28
2.4	Reach-to-grasp Path Planning	38
2.5	Summary	39
3	The Trans-humeral Prosthesis Used to Evaluate the Path Planning Method	41
3.1	Design Criteria for the Trans-humeral Prosthesis	41
3.2	Design of the Trans-humeral Prosthesis	42
3.2.1	Elbow	42
3.2.2	Forearm	43
3.2.3	Wrist	44
3.2.4	Hand	45
3.3	Simulation of the Trans-humeral Prosthesis	46

3.3.1	Development of the Simulation Environment	47
3.3.2	Simulation Results of the Prosthesis	51
3.4	Fabrication of the 5 DOF Trans-humeral Prosthesis	53
3.4.1	Prosthetic Controller	53
3.4.2	Experimental Validation of The Prosthesis	56
3.5	Kinematics of the Stump Arm and the Prosthesis	58
3.6	Summary	60
4	Reach-to-Grasp Path Planning Based on a 2-1/2D Method of Visual Servoing	61
4.1	EMG Based Module (EBM)	62
4.2	Visual Servoing Module (VSM)	65
4.3	Integration of VSM and EBM	71
4.4	Experimental Validation of the Path Planning Method	72
4.4.1	Experimental Setup	73
4.4.2	Experiments and Results	76
4.5	Summary	86
5	Reach-to-Grasp Path Planning Based on Path Tracking Meth- ods	87
5.1	Human Reach-to-grasp Motion Analysis	87
5.2	Proposed Reach-to-grasp Path Planning Method	89

5.2.1	Path Generation Module	90
5.2.2	Path Tracking Module	96
5.3	Spatial Path Following Method	96
5.3.1	Shoulder Pose Predictor	97
5.3.2	Path Following	99
5.3.3	Experimental Evaluation of the Spatial Path Following Method	102
5.4	Proposed MPC Based Path Tracking Method	109
5.4.1	Problem Formulation	110
5.4.2	Model Predictive Controller (MPC)	111
5.4.3	Shoulder Matcher	113
5.4.4	Path Updater	114
5.4.5	Jerk Remover	114
5.4.6	Experimental Validation of the MPC Based Path Tracking Method	115
5.5	Comparison of Two Path Following Methods	122
5.6	Summary	124
6	Conclusion	126
6.1	Conclusion	127
6.2	Discussion and Future Directions	130

List of Publications	132
References	134
Appendix	144
A Time Domain Features	144
A.1 Root Mean Square (RMS)	144
A.2 Mean Absolute value (MAV)	144
A.3 Mean Absolute Value Slope (MAV Slope)	144
A.4 Zero Crossings (ZC)	145
A.5 Slope Sign Change (SSC)	145
A.6 Waveform Length (WL)	145
B Implementation of Shoulder Controlling Algorithms of Simulation Environment (IMU to V-REP)	146
B.1 Micro-controller Program	146
B.2 LUA Code in V-REP Used to Control Shoulder	148
C Prosthesis joint Control in Simulation Environment Using UI	150
D Implementation of Low Level PID Controllers of The Prosthesis	152
E MatLab Scripts Used in 2-1/2D Visual Servoing based Path Planning Method	155

E.1	IBVS Implementation	155
E.2	PBVS Implementation	156
E.3	Reaching Algorithm Implementation	158

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Four major sections of UL	9
2.2	Planes of human body	10
2.3	Bones of shoulder joint	11
2.4	Motions of shoulder joint	11
2.5	Motions of elbow joint	12
2.6	Motions of wrist joint	13
2.7	Grasping patterns frquently used in ADL	14
2.8	Kinematic structure of upper limb	15
2.9	Definition of DH parameters	17
2.10	Levels of amputation	18
2.11	Overview of a prosthetic control system	19
2.12	EMG signal	21
2.13	Pattern recognition based myoelectric control system	22
2.14	Stages of classification	23
2.15	EMG contraction levels	24

2.16	EMG electrode placement	25
2.17	Targeted Muscle Reinnervation (TMR)	28
2.18	Categorization of hybrid myoelectric control systems	29
2.19	ACHILLE interface	30
2.20	Use of EMG and EEG to control a prosthesis	31
2.21	Object determination and grasp type identification algorithm	33
2.22	Control system architecture	34
2.23	Implementation of control system	36
2.24	Signal processing algorithm	37
3.1	Major components of The prosthetic arm	42
3.2	3D Model of elbow assembly	43
3.3	3D Model of forearm assembly	44
3.4	Wrist of the prosthesis	45
3.5	Prosthetic hand	46
3.6	Prosthesis model used in simulation environment	47
3.7	DOF at each joint of the prosthesis	48
3.8	Shoulder controller	49
3.9	UI used to control joints from elbow to wrist	50
3.10	Simulation results of shoulder FE and shoulder AAD	51
3.11	Simulation results of prosthetic joints	52

3.12	Trans-humeral prosthetic arm	53
3.13	Interconnection of components of the prosthesis	55
3.14	Motion output of the SP motor to a desired motion input	57
3.15	Motion output of the wrist.	58
3.16	Kinematic model of stump arm and trans-humeral prosthesis	59
4.1	Forces acting on the forearm	62
4.2	Image based visual servoing process	66
4.3	Perspective projection	67
4.4	Misaligned object	69
4.5	Misaligned object as seen by camera	69
4.6	Visual servoing module	70
4.7	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) used for the Inverse Kinematics (IK)	71
4.8	Overall path planning method of the prosthesis	73
4.9	Experimental setup	74
4.10	Detected two points for angle calculation	75
4.11	Calculation of angle, θ	75
4.12	Experimental procedure for ANN based Inverse Kinematics (IK)	77
4.13	Comparison of the angle produced by the EBM with the human elbow angle	78

4.14	Reach-to-grasp path planning using IBVS	80
4.15	Reach-to-grasp path planning using IBVS with object reaching algorithm	81
4.16	Reach towards the object of interest	82
4.17	Hand trajectories for 3 different object positions	82
4.18	Correcting hand orientation using PBVS	83
4.19	Reach-to-grasp path planning using overall VSM	84
4.20	Resultant elbow FE angle	85
5.1	Capturing human motion while performing a reaching motion . . .	88
5.2	Human path taken by 3 subjects	89
5.3	Proposed path generation module	90
5.4	Initial position of the prosthesis	91
5.5	Attachment of camera and US sensor to the prosthesis	91
5.6	Experimental setup used to evaluate the path generation module .	94
5.7	Proposed path following method	98
5.8	Linear path from P_1 to P_2	99
5.9	Operation of Min-Dist finder	102
5.10	Experimental setup	103
5.11	Paths used for the experiment	105
5.12	Results of shoulder pose predictor	106

5.13	Path following results	107
5.14	Velocity profile for the path 1 taken by the prosthesis	108
5.15	Dynamic path tracking method	110
5.16	Operation of the Model Predictive Controller (MPC)	112
5.17	Path updating procedure	114
5.18	2D paths used for the experiments	116
5.19	MPC Prediction results for the object placements C1, C2, C3, D1, D2, and D3	118
5.20	MPC Prediction results for the object placements C4 and D4 . . .	119
5.21	Paths taken by the prosthesis	120
5.22	Paths taken by the prosthetic hand when following 3D paths . . .	122

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Range of motions of shoulder	12
2.2	DH Parameters of human UL	18
2.3	Comparison of pattern recognition based prosthetic control systems	27
2.4	Vision based prosthetic controllers	35
3.1	Range of motions comparison of actual arm and prosthesis	57
3.2	DH parameters	59
4.1	Results of ANN based Inverse Kinematics (IK)	77
4.2	Comparison of Inverse Kinematics (IK) methods	77
4.3	Summary of EBM performance	79
4.4	Responses of User Study 1	85
4.5	Responses of User Study 2	86
5.1	Straight line nature of the paths taken by human hand	89
5.2	Distance between detected positions and the object surface	96
5.3	Paths Generated by the path generator for mobile phone	97
5.4	Paths used for the experiment	104

5.5	Path following results without shoulder pose predictor	108
5.6	Path following results with shoulder pose predictor	108
5.7	3D paths used for the experiment	117
5.8	Summary of path prediction	121
5.9	Summary of 2D path tracking	121
5.10	T-Test results	123

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAD	Abduction/Adduction
ADL	Activities of Daily Living
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
API	Application Package Interface
BLDC	Brush Less DC
CCD	Charge Coupled Device
CMOS	Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor
DAQ	Data Acquisition
DC	Direct Current
DH	Denavit Hartenberg
DLS	Damped Least Squares
DOF	Degrees of Freedom
EBM	EMG Based Module
ECoG	Electrocorticography
EEG	Electroencephalography
EFPMB	EMG Force Proportional Moment Balance Model
EMG	Electromyography

EPE	End Point Error
FE	Flexion/Extension
FIS	Fuzzy Inference System
FK	Forward Kinematics
IBVS	Image Based Visual Servoing
IER	Internal External Rotation
IK	Inverse Kinematics
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
IP	Inertia Point
MAV	Mean Absolute Value
MDA	Multiple Discriminant Analysis
MMG	Mechanomyography
MPC	Model Predictive Controller
MSE	Mean Square Error
MU	Motor Unit
MUAP	Motor Unit Action potential
MUAPT	Motor Unit Action potential Train
PBVS	Position Based Visual Servoing
PC	personal Computer
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative

RMS	Root Mean Square
RMSE	RMS Error
ROM	Ranges of Motions
SD	Standard Deviation
SP	Supination/Pronation
SSC	Slope Sign Change
TDANN	Time delayed ANN
TMR	Targeted Muscle Reinnervation
UI	User Interface
UL	Upper limb
URD	Ulnar Radial Deviation
US	Ultrasonic
USA	United states
V-REP	Virtual Robotic Experimentation Platform
VSM	Visual Servoing Module
WL	Waveform Length
ZC	Zero Crossings