# DEVELOPMENT OF A QUEUING SIMULATION MODEL FOR TRAIN PASSENGERS

K.K.S. Wimalarathne

(128414E)

Master of Engineering Degree

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2018

# DEVELOPMENT OF A QUEUING SIMULATION MODEL FOR TRAIN PASSENGERS

K.K.S. Wimalarathne

(128414E)

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2018

#### **DECLARATION**

This report contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University or equivalent institution in Sri Lanka or abroad, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text of this report.

I carried out the work described in this report under the supervision of Dr. Himan Punchihewa.

Signature	:	Date :
Name of Student	:	
Registration No	:	
Signature	:	Date :
Name of Supervis	or:	

#### **Abstract**

Sri Lanka Railway (SLR) is currently having a schedule with uneven time intervals between trains. It reduces the popularity of the railway system and as a result new passengers hesitate to join the system. Hence, this current system is generally used by regular travellers only. In order to seek ways to improve the train schedules simulation based approaches have not been used in Sri Lanka. Therefore, the aim of the research was to develop a simulation model to minimise queuing time of passengers while maintaining an even interval between trains.

A passenger survey and a railway survey were carried out to find current issues related to the timetable and the service. Considering the feedback of passengers, a simulation model was created using the Anylogic simulation software for the current train scheduling and the proposed train scheduling systems.

The simulation model shows that passenger queuing time is able to be changed using the parameters of the model. Results also indicate that queuing can be potentially reduced using even time intervals between trains. However there could be a necessity to change the number of compartments to cater for the number of commuters in the proposed system. In addition the proposed system needs to be piloted in order to understand and overcome the practical limitations. This could potentially help to improve the popularity of the railway system in Sri Lanka. The operation model was developed between two stations due to limitation of the software. Thus, it is proposed to develop the model to cover the entire train fleet and the network to obtain more accurate and repetitive results.

Keywords: train schedule, Anylogic, modelling, queuing time

### Acknowledgements

The writing of this dissertation has been one of the most significant academic challenges I have ever had to face. Without the support, patience and guidance of the following professionals, this study would not have been completed. It is to them that I owe my deepest gratitude.

Dr. H.K.G. Punchihewa, who undertook to act as my supervisor despite his many other academic and professional commitments, was a pillar of strength for me. His wisdom, knowledge and commitment to the highest standards inspired and motivated me.

I also thank Dr.R.A.R.C Gopura, Coordinator of Manufacturing Systems Engineering Master degree, for his enthusiastic support and motivation extended towards me in completing this report. My special thanks go to Mr. S. Nilhan Niles, who shared his excellent knowledge for the success of my report and his guidance helped me to improve my presentation skills as well.

I thank profoundly the Head of Department and all the other academic staff members of the Department of Mechanical Engineering for their assistance during first year of masters' degree. In addition to that I would like to thank my wife Ms. N.D.I. Nakandala and other family members of their unstinted support and motivation.

## **CONTENTS**

1 - Introduction	
2 - Aim and Objectives	
2.1Aim	
2.2Objectives	3
3 - Methodology	
4 - Literature review	5
4.1Train scheduling	5
4.2Sri Lankan context for railway research	6
4.3Passenger satisfaction	7
4.4Summary of literature	8
5 - Case study for the coastal railway	10
5.1. Findings	11
5.1.1. Passenger density	11
5.1.2. Types of passengers	14
5.2. Train capacity	14
5.2.1. Weekly trip frequencies	16
5.3Limitations of Sri Lanka railway	17
5.4Current train schedule of each station	17
5.4.1. Train movement data	18
5.5Summary of case study	19
6 - Computerized Model	21
6.1Design Tree	21
6.2Objects in the program	22
6.2.1. Railway Track	22
6.2.2. Position on the track	22
6.2.3. Train source	22
6.2.4. Delay	23
6.2.5. Pick up passengers	23
6.2.6. Train moving	24
6.2.7. Passenger drop	25
6.2.8. Train dispose	26
6.2.9. Pedestrian configuration object	26
6.2.10. Pedestrian waiting area	27
6.2.11. Pedestrian generation	27

6.2.12. Ped select output	29
6.2.13. Pedestrian waiting (Pedwait)	30
6.2.14. Pedestrian go to (pedgoto)	31
6.2.15. Pedestrian exit (Pedexit)	32
6.2.16. Queue	32
6.2.17. Pedestrian enter	33
6.2.18. Termination of pedestrian	34
6.3Simulation	34
6.4Summary	35
7 - Results and Discussion	37
7.1. Identified issues in current railway operations	37
7.1.1. Railway timetable	37
7.2. Identification of peak demand	39
7.3Computerized Model creation	39
7.3.1. Required input parameters	42
7.4 Model running for current time table	46
7.5. Calculation for a new timetable	49
7.6. Proposed time table	53
7.6.1. Inter arrival time as 10 minute	53
7.6.2. Inter arrival time as 15 minutes	56
7.6.3. Inter arrival time as 20 minute	59
7.7Mathematical explanation to queuing time	62
7.8Model validation	63
7.8.1. Real system measurement	63
8 - Conclusion	73
9 - References	75

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5-1 Passenger demand variation in peak time of the day	13
Figure 5-2 Purpose of travel	
Figure 5-3 Passenger Demand curve with time	
Figure 5-4 User interface of GPS device	18
Figure 6-1 Design Tree	21
Figure 6-2 Railway Track and position on Track	22
Figure 6-3 Properties of delay	23
Figure 6-4 Pickup Properties	24
Figure 6-5 Properties window of TrainMoveTo	25
Figure 6-6 Properties window of dropoff	26
Figure 6-7 Ped Configuration Properties	27
Figure 6-8 Property window of ped Area	28
Figure 6-9 Property Window of pedsources	29
Figure 6-10 Properties windows of Selectoutput	30
Figure 6-11 Property Window of Pedwait	31
Figure 6-12 Property Window of ped goto	32
Figure 6-13 Property Window of Queue	33
Figure 6-14 Properties windows of ped enter	34
Figure 6-15 Model for two stations	36
Figure 7-1 Graphic time table for Kalutara South to Colombo Fort	38
Figure 7-2 Passenger demand curve with time	41
Figure 7-3 Schedule created for current railway time table,	42
Figure 7-4 Passenger arrival schedule of Kalutara South station	43
Figure 7-5 Average, maximum and minimum velocity vs. time graph	45
Figure 7-6 Simulation run of current train schedule	48
Figure 7-7 Time difference between train	52
Figure 7-8 Model output for 10 minutes interval	55
Figure 7-9 Model output for 15 minutes interval	58
Figure 7-10 Model output for 20 minutes interval	61
Figure 7-11 Captured image form the Kalutara South railway station	63
Figure 7-12 Real and model output	65
Figure 7-13 Actual vs Model output from 5:30AM to 6:00 AM	69
Figure 7-14 Actual vs Model output from 6:05AM to 6:35 AM	70
Figure 7-15 Actual vs Model output from 6:40AM to 7:10 AM	

|--|

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 5-1 Passenger demand in Kalutara South station	12
Table 5-2 Type of passengers	14
Table 5-3 Passenger demand variation in the day	15
Table 5-4 Trip frequencies of people	16
Table 5-5 Current train Schedule Kalutara South to Colombo Fort	17
Table 5-6 GPS Capturing Data	18
Table 7-1 The current Railway time table	37
Table 7-2 Passenger Count of week day for five stations (30 min)	40
Table 7-3 Average acceleration of S class DMU	
Table 7-4 Average declaration of S class DMU	46
Table 7-5 Controlling parameters of model	46
Table 7-6 Passenger queuing time observation in current train schedule	47
Table 7-7 Time difference between trains at KSS	
Table 7-8 Time difference between trains at PNS	50
Table 7-9 Time difference between trains at RTH	51
Table 7-10Model output for 10 minutes interval	54
Table 7-11Model output for 15 minutes interval	57
Table 7-12Model output for 20 minutes interval	60
Table 7-13 Passenger queuing time summery	62
Table 7-14 Real system measurement	64
7-15 RMSE values	66
7-16 RSME data	67
Table 7-17 Actual vs. Model output from 5:30AM to 6:00 AM	68
Table 7-18 Actual vs. Model output from 6:05AM to 6:35 AM	69
Table 7-19 Actual vs. Model output from 6:40AM to 7:10 AM	70
Table 7-20 Actual vs. Model output from 7:15AM to 8:00 AM	71
Table 9-1 Current railway time table	
Table 9-4 Passenger Survey Result	5

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Current Train Schedule

Appendix 2 Passenger Survey Questioner

**Appendix 3** Passenger survey data summery