

TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT: A FRAMEWORK FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONCEPT WITHIN REGIONS.

AN ANALYSIS OF EXISTING THEORIES AND CASE EXAMPLES

Senaratne S.M

108761V

Degree of Master of Science in Urban Design

Department of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2012



T2 "12"
T11.4 (043)

UOM Verified Signature

note of received:
11/01/12 2012
Jenaka Wijesundara
course director, Master of Urban
completed and named on Menu

**TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT:
A FRAMEWORK FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION
OF THE CONCEPT WITHIN REGIONS.**

AN ANALYSIS OF EXISTING THEORIES AND CASE EXAMPLES

Senaratne S.M

108761V

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master
of Science



Department of Architecture


University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2012

105067

University of Moratuwa



105067

105067

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
1 Background	
1.1 Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	1
2 Need of the Study	3
3 Intention scope and limitation	4
4 Method of study	5
Chapter 1	
THE CONCEPT OF TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT	7
1.1 “Automobile Dependancy” and its aftermath	7
1.2 Concept of Transit-Oriented-Development	8
1.2.1 Attempts of defining the concept	8
1.2.2 Key Design features of a TOD	8
1.2.3 Evolution	8
1.2.3.1 Early 20 th Century	12
1.2.3.2 Post-War Era	12
1.2.4 Modern Trends and benefits pushing TOD forward	12
1.3 Identifying the success of a TOD: A performance criteria	13
1.3.1 Location Efficiency	15
1.3.2 Value Recapture	16
1.3.3 Livability	17
1.3.4 Financial Return of Investment	18
1.3.5 Choice	19
1.3.6 Efficient Regional Land use patterns	19

1.4	Challenges	20
1.4.1	Financial Risk to Developer	20
1.4.2	High initial public investment costs	20
1.4.3	Unsupportive Regulatory Frameworks	20
1.4.4	Community Resistance	21
1.5	A Summary	21

Chapter two

	TOD CASE STUDIES: APPROACHES TO SUCCESS	7
2.1	Collingwood Village, Vancouver, BC	23
2.1.1	Introduction	23
2.1.1.1	Overview	23
2.1.1.2	Previous Situation	23
2.1.2	Infrastructure and Institutional Framework	23
2.1.3	Developer's Perspective	24
2.1.3.1	Land Usage	25
2.1.3.2	Identified TOD friendly features	25
2.1.4	The path to success	26
2.1.4.1	Barriers and Obstacles	27
2.1.4.2	Public consultation	27
2.1.4.3	Other Key Factors	27
2.2	Euclid Corridor Development, Cleveland, Ohio	28
2.2.1	Introduction	29
2.2.1.1	Overview	29
2.2.1.2	History	29
2.2.2	Infrastructure and Institutional Framework	34
2.2.3	Developer's Perspective	34
2.2.3.1	Land Usage	35
2.2.3.2	Identified TOD friendly features	35
2.2.4	The path to success	36

2.2.2 Infrastructure and Institutional Framework	31
2.2.3 Developer's Perspective	31
2.2.3.1 Land Usage	31
2.2.3.2 Identified TOD friendly features	32
2.2.4 The path to success	35
2.3 Brisbane, Australia	36
2.3.1 Introduction	37
2.1.2 Infrastructure and Institutional Framework	37
2.1.3 Developer's Perspective	38
2.1.3.1 Land Usage	39
2.1.3.2 Identified TOD friendly features	39
2.1.4 The path to success	43

Chapter three

ANALYSIS: A FRAMEWORK FOR TOD	45
3.1 Collingwood Village, Vancouver, BC	45
3.2 Euclid Corridor Development, Ohio	45
3.3 Southeast Queensland Region and Brisbane Development	46
3.4 Summary of Principles for Successful TODs.	48

CONCLUSION

BIBLIOGRAPHY