

LB/DON/23/04

No. 23

**Field Validation of Erosion Hazard Zones
for Effective Management of
Watersheds**

By

GAMAGE RANGANA NAVODANI KARUNATILAKA

BSc.Eng. (Hons), AMIE(SL)



**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of
the University of Moratuwa,
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

for

The Degree of Master of Philosophy

July 2003

624 "03"
631.459

Supervised by Dr. N.T.S. Wijesekera

Dr. N.P.D. Gamage

Dr. H.S. Thilakasiri

University of Moratuwa



79627

**Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka**

UM Thesis coll.

79627

79627

Statement

“This dissertation was submitted to the department of civil Engineering of the university of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, as a Partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of Master of Philosophy.”



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Declaration

This Dissertation has not been previously submitted in whole or part to any University or any Institute for a higher degree.

G.R.N. Karunatilaka

July 2003

Abstract

Land and water resources are primarily important for all developing countries, particularly in countries like Sri Lanka where the majority of the people are either directly or indirectly dependent on agriculture for their livelihood. Hence soil erosion is one of the most serious environmental problems of agricultural based developing countries since it removes soils rich in nutrients, increase natural level of sedimentation in rivers and other water bodies and causes flood and water quality problems. The final results are the reduction of the productivity of land, which can lead to increase in expenditure in fertilizers to maintain yields and reduction in capacity of rivers increasing the risk of flooding, blocking of irrigation canals and shortening the design life of reservoirs. Due to this critical nature of soil erosion, prevention of soil erosion is important. This means reducing the rate of soil loss to approximately to the loss that would occur under natural conditions, which relies on selecting appropriate strategies for soil conservation. Although all the areas under the threat of erosion cannot be developed due to financial constrains, it is necessary to prioritise the vulnerable areas. This requires an understanding of the processes of soil erosion.

The factors, which influence the rate of soil erosion is rainfall, soil type, slope length and steepness, plant cover and presence or absence of conservation measures. Considering these factors, most common method of estimation of soil erosion is the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). $A=RKLSCP$ where R is the rainfall erosivity, K is the soil erodibility, L & S are slope length and slope steepness factors, C is the cover management factor and P is the conservation practice factor. It predicts the long-term average annual rate of erosion. The USLE was developed by W.H. Wischmeier, D.D. Smith and others with the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research service (ARS), Soil Conservation Service (SCS) and Purdue University in the 1950s. However the factors in this equation requires field validation prior to their application for a particular region. This study was carried out for a relatively small area.

University of Moratuwa premises which covers the 25 ha was selected as the research area since the area has different land cover, topography, etc. and most importantly detailed field data can be collected easily.

Geographical Information System (GIS) is the best option in comparing different scenarios and finding out the optimum solution for such situations. The soil erosion model was prepared using GIS Arc/Info and Arc/View software, considering the factors effecting soil erosion.

The data related to rainfall erosivity, soil erodibility, slope length and steepness, cover management and conservation practice factors were obtained out from various departments, literature and field surveys. Final model was developed by taking weighted average of RKCP in the polygons within each similar LS polygon since slope class is polygon specific

A field survey identified some spatial units with four erosion classes and these data were used for model calibration and verification. Out of 200 polygons, a randomly selected 100 were used for model calibration and the rest were used for verification. Parameter optimization shows a very good match with the results ranging from 0 to 47.9 tons/ha/yr. Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) which is $MRAE = (1/n) [(E_o - E_c)/E_o]$ where E, o, c, n are for erosion level, observed, calculated and for number of samples respectively, was used as the objective function for calibration and verification of the model. Based on the calculated erosion values and trial and error matching process a weighting scheme was selected during optimization process.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. N.T.S. Wijesekera for his constant encouragement, guidance, suggestions and moral support during the period of the research. I also gratefully acknowledge the valuable guidance and comments given by Dr. N.P.D. Gamage and Dr. H.S. Thilakasiri to make this study more meaningful.

I would like to express my thankfulness to Prof. D.C. H. Senarath, Dr. S.S. Wickramasuriya, Dr. S.A.S. Kulatilake and Dr. U.G.A. Puswewala for their valuable suggestions during the progress reviews of the research.

I also wish express my thanks to all the staff members of the Hydraulics lab, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for provision of all the facilities for my research during this period. My gratitude is also extended to the Meteorological Department for provision of data.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

I am indebted to the University of Moratuwa for generously awarding me a scholarship to do my M. Phil degree.

Sudarshana Edirisinghe deserves a special mention for encourage me to do my higher studies and unlimited help given for this research.

Finally, I dedicate this piece of work to my loving parents who have sacrificed a lot for my education.



TABLES OF CONTENTS

	Page
Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Appendix	x
List of Abbreviations	xi
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Scope of the Work	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Watershed Management	5
2.2 Soil Erosion	6
2.3 Policies and Strategies of Land Degradation and Soil Erosion in Sri Lanka	13
2.4 Watershed Management Experiences in Sri Lanka	14
2.5 Estimation of Soil Erosion	15
2.6 Soil Loss Tolerance	20
2.7 Determination of Erosion Hazard	21
2.8 Geographical Information System	25
2.9 Mathematical Models of Soil Erosion	27
2.10 Soil Erosion Control Practices	30
2.11 Soil and Water Conservation Measures Adopted in Sri Lanka	31
3. METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Field Survey	34
3.2 Model Development	34
3.2.1 Functions used in Arc/Info	35
3.3 Model Verification	36
3.4 Critical Erosion Hazard Levels	36
4. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA	
4.1 Data Collection	38
4.1.1 Field Data Collection	38
4.2 Secondary Data	39
4.2.1 Rainfall	39
4.2.2 Soil Type	41
4.2.3 Land use	41
4.2.4 Topography	41

4.2.5 Identification of Existing Erosion	45
5. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	
5.1 Model Development	48
5.1.1 GIS Database Arrangement	48
5.1.2 Rainfall Erosivity (R)	50
5.1.3 Soil Erodibility (K)	52
5.1.4 Slope Length and Steepness (LS)	53
5.1.5 Crop Management (C) and Conservation Practice Factor (P)	53
5.2 Model Computation	54
5.2.1 Computation of Annual Erosion	54
5.2.2 Classification of Erosion Hazard Zoning	55
5.3 Model Verification	55
6. DISCUSSION	
6.1 Field Data	58
6.2 USLE Parameters	58
6.3 Area Specific Attributes	59
6.4 Level of Soil Erosion	59
6.5 Comparison of this Research with Similar Research Done for Sri Lanka	60
6.5.1 General	60
6.5.2 Method of Finding Out Parameters of USLE	60
6.5.3 Method of Preparation of the Final Model	61
6.6 Importance of C-factor	62
6.7 Map Based Data Collection Method	62
6.8 C-factor for bare land	62
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	64
8. REFFERENCES	67
9. APPENDICES	73

List of Tables.

Table No:	Description	Page
Table 2.1	Effect of various soil conservation practices on the detachment and transport phases of erosion.	12
Table 2.2	Erosion classes for field measurement	25
Table 4.1	Regression Equations relating monthly rainfall of the area with rainfall at Rathmalana	40
Table 4.2	Land use patterns and extent in the area	41
Table 4.3	Land slopes and extent in the area	43
Table 4.4	L and S factors for USLE in the study area	44
Table 4.5	Erosion classifications for field survey	45
Table 5.1	Database Arrangement of Geographical Information System	49
Table 5.2	MRAE of each trial for different weighting schemes and erosion classification classes	57
Table 5.3	Weighting scheme for each trial	57
Table 5.4	Soil erosion classification classes	57
Table 6.1	Slope classifications of the area	61
Table A1.1	Mean annual rainfall and erosivity for selected locations in Sri Lanka	74
Table A2.1	Soil erodibility factor by soil texture	75
Table A2.2	Erodibility of some soils of Sri Lanka estimated by Joshua 1977.	75
Table A4.1	Estimated value of the C factor in West Africa	79
Table A4.2	C-factor for undisturbed woodlands	79
Table A4.3	C-factors values for various land-uses	80
Table A4.4	Typical C factor values for construction sites and disturbed lands.	81
Table A5.1	P-factor values for the Universal Soil Loss Equation	82
Table A5.2	Recommended conservation practices	82
Table A5.3	Values, maximum strip widths, and slope length limits for contour strip cropping	82

List of Figures

Figure No:	Description	Page
Figure 2.1	Major active and passive factors that affect erosion and sediment transport from a land surface (Kenneth et al 1997)	8
Figure 2.2	Types of erosion (a) sheet erosion, (b) rill erosion, (c) gully Erosion	9
Figure 2.3	On-Site Effects of Soil Erosion	10
Figure 2.4	Off-site Effects of Soil Erosion	11
Figure 2.5	Where soil is hard. A- raindrop splash and flow of water over the surface remove fine soil particles and leave small stones perched on pedestals. B- a special type of soil remnant.	22
Figure 2.6	Formation of soil remnants	23
Figure 2.7	Measurement of erosion around vegetation.	24
Figure 4.1	Study area location (University of Moratuwa premises)	37
Figure 4.2	Thiessen Polygons for rain gauge stations within the area	40
Figure 4.3	Land use patterns in the area	42
Figure 4.4	Slope classes within the area	44
Figure 4.5	Observed erosion levels in the area	46
Figure 4.6	Areas, which shows four erosion classes. (Location of the pictures is in the figure 4.5)	47
Figure 5.1	Sequence of preparation of digital format of maps	48
Figure 5.2	Statistical correlation between Rathmalana and station 1 during year 2001	50
Figure 5.3	Statistical correlation between Rathmalana and station 2 during year 2001	51
Figure 5.4	Statistical correlation between Rathmalana and station 3 during year 2001	51
Figure 5.5	Statistical correlation between KE> 25 index and seasonal rainfall	52
Figure 6.1	Calculated erosion levels	63

Figure A2.1	Nomograph for computing the K value of soil erodibility for use in the USLE.	76
Figure A3.1	LS factors for different slopes	78
Figure A6.1	Baker's Performa for field data collection	83
Figure A6.2	Modified chart for field data collection	84

List of Appendices

Appendix No:	Description	Page
Appendix 1	Different methods available to predict rainfall erosivity	73
Appendix 2	Different methods to find out soil erodibility	75
Appendix 3	Methods to find out LS factor	77
Appendix 4	Methods to find out C factor	79
Appendix 5	Methods to find out P-factor	82
Appendix 6	Bakers' Performa and modified chart for the data collection.	83
Appendix 7	Statistical correlation between Rathmalana and three rain gauge stations in the area during year 2001.	85
Appendix 8	The statistical correlation between KE > 25 index and seasonal Rainfall.	86
Appendix 9	Trial 1 of classification scheme and verification of final weighting scheme	87



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

List of Abbreviation

Abbreviation	Content
ADB	- Asian Development Bank
AE	- Annual Erosivity
ARS	- Agricultural Research service
AWC	- Available Water Capacity
CAD	- Computer Aided Drafting
CEA	- Central Environmental Authority
CLO	- Crown Land Ordinance
DOA	- Department of Agriculture
FAO	- Food and Agricultural Organization
FD	- Forest Department
FOs	- Farmer Organizations
GIS	- Geographic Information System <small>University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. www.lib.mrt.ac.lk</small>
KE	- Kinetic Energy
LS	- Slope Length and Slope Steepness factors
NRDP	- Nuwara Eliya Rural Development Project
MRAE	- Mean Ratio of Absolute Error
SALT	- Sloping Agricultural Land Technology
SCS	- Soil Conservation Service
SOC	- Soil Organic Carbon
UMC	- Upper Mahaweli Catchment
USDA	- United States Department of Agriculture
USLE	- Universal Soil Loss Equation
VM	- Vegetation Management