## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Chapter six expects to summarise and conclude the study carried out. Further the chapter explains recommendations of this study and suggestions for future researches which will expand the body of knowledge.

### **6.1** Summary of the Study

Complexities inherited in construction projects generate enormous changes to the asplaned work (Zou, Zhang & Wang, 2007). Thus variations in construction projects cannot be eliminated and in fact necessary to successful completion of a project (Murdoch & Hughes, 2008). Negotiation of rates in a variation is highly influenced by the terms in the agreed contract. Finalizing rates of variations is identified as complex situation which both parties try to address their own concerns (Sutrisna & Potts, n.d.). Therefore, negotiation is generally using as a conflict management technique in reaching agreements on rates for variations. However in almost all negotiations face deadlocks where both parties stand still on their stance which stuck negotiations from moving forward (Fells, 1989). Thus it is identified that finding applicable methods used to overcome deadlocks are in rate negotiations in Sri Lankan construction industry is necessary to develop negotiation practices.

Study was followed through four objectives. Fist objective was to review negotiation strategies, tactics, styles and types of negotiators which were achieved via comprehensive literature review.

Second objective was to review the techniques use in handling negotiation deadlocks. Although many researches carryout their studies on negotiation deadlocks, those studies were carried out in deferent ways according to their research areas under different field of studies such as social science (Chitwood & Takemoto, 2008; Alexis, 2013), business studies (David, 1998; Isoor & Marshland, 2010; Anderson, 2011; Lindquist, 2012) and politics (Seuss, 2004; Goldwich, 2010). 33 numbers of different deadlocks handling techniques used in such negotiations were identified under second objective of the study.

Third objective was to investigate the practical usage of identified techniques to overcome negotiation deadlocks in the Sri Lankan construction industry in rate negotiations in variations. A questionnaire survey had been carried out among the identified fifty (50) experts in the construction industry. Questionnaire was based on thirty-three (33) identified deadlock handling techniques and respondents were asked to mark likert scale which contained five options. Feedback of the survey was analysed using Relative Importance Index (RII).

## **6.2 Conclusions**

There were three objectives of the research study as:

- 1. To review negotiation strategies, tactics, styles and types of negotiators,
- 2. To review deadlock handling techniques used in negotiation,
- 3. To investigate the practical usage of identified techniques to overcome negotiation deadlocks in the variation negotiations in Sri Lankan construction industry.

Those objectives were fulfilled as following;

Fist objective: to review negotiation strategies, tactics, styles and types of negotiators.

This objective was reviewed in the literature survey.

Negotiation strategies are distributive and integrative. In distributive negotiation the process seem to be positional or hard bargaining. Win-lose

situation occur in this strategy. Use techniques like bluffing, brinksmanship and guile. Integrative strategy is interest based. Share the problem and try to distribute the problem than a personalized argument.

There exist many negotiation tactics. In any negotiation process combination of tactics use. Auction, brinksmanship, bogey, defense in depth, flinch, good guy or bad guy and nibble are some of commonly used tactics.

Accommodating, avoiding, collaborating, competing and compromising are some styles use in negotiation. As per the gravity of the issue, people use any style or combination to come to an amicable settlement.

Types of negotiators are reviewed as soft, hard and principled. As per the meaning itself, soft negotiators like to bargain in a friendly manner and consider others arguments reasonably. Other way, hard negotiators do it in the total opposite way and apply stress, hot arguments and their ambition is to gain over the other party. Both soft and hard bargainers do not separate people from the dispute. Principled negotiators try to find collective outcomes. Their main target is the dispute rather than the people involved in the negotiation process.

People involve in the negotiation process use elements, strategies, tactics and styles in different ways. In addition their stance may vary as soft, hard or principled. These combinations perhaps lead the negotiation to a deadlock or series of deadlocks.

Second objective; to review deadlock handling techniques use in negotiations.

This objective was reviewed in the literature survey.

Thirty three (33) techniques were identified. They are namely, change the setting, change the negotiator(s), change the levels in the organization, provide additional information, go "off the record", say "let's ship into winwin mode", take a break, revisit priorities, look at all the options, give a little, bag the smaller goals, call a time out, set aside quite time, impose a deadline, please say "yes", bringing an impartial 3<sup>rd</sup> party, let it go, set aside anger, agree in principle, regroup and re-focus, keep communication flowing, give negotiation power, present party's reasoning, dynamics of the negotiation, time of negotiation, mitigate other side's pressure, motivate, share the risk, environment of the negotiation, making concessions, seeking similarities from differences, advantage and dis-advantage analysis and finally humouring the embarrassment.

Third objective: to investigate the practical usage of identified techniques to overcome negotiation deadlocks in the variation negotiations in Sri Lankan construction industry.

This was achieved through the questionnaire survey and the data analysis system.

According to findings of the study, it can be concluded that all the identified techniques are applicable to the Sri Lankan construction industry and found that the industry well recognized them. Producing additional information to negotiation table is the key deadlocks handling mechanism using rate negotiation in variation management in construction projects. Impose a dead line, motivate, give negotiation power, change the levels in the organization, making concessions, bag the smaller goals, please say "yes", time of negotiation and revisit priorities are the more popular techniques. Share the risk, let it go, regroup and refocus, change the settings, advantage and disadvantage analysis and bringing an impartial 3<sup>rd</sup> party received least priority of the identified techniques.

#### **6.3 Recommendations**

Research proved that the Sri Lankan construction industry practitioners are familiar and well aware of the deadlock breaking techniques and they do practice as well. It is recommended that the professional bodies of Sri Lankan construction industry to arrange awareness programs and comprehensive professional development programs to enhance the negotiation skills including deadlock handling techniques in order to improve negotiation as a conflict management and ADR mechanism in the Sri Lankan construction industry.

Professionals of the Sri Lankan construction industry, engineers, project managers and quantity surveyors can improve themselves in negotiation and specially in handling negotiation deadlocks as time and cost are the key factors of any construction.

#### **6.4** Research limitations

According to the research study there were some limitations in generalizing the findings. The study was limited to;

- Rate negotiations in variation management
- Based on literature findings it was assumed that deadlocks can be break only through 33 identified techniques

#### **6.5** Further Research Directions

According to the findings and the limitations of the study, further research directions were identified as follows;

 Handling negotiation deadlocks in the pre-contract stage of Sri Lankan construction industry.

- Handling negotiation deadlocks in the claims based dispute negotiations in post contract stage of Sri Lankan construction industry.
- Study on how deadlocks handling done in negotiation by case studies or grounded theories.

## REFERENCES

- Alvin. (1991). Settling for more; Mastering Negotiating Stratergies and Techniques. Washington DC: The Bureau of National Affaires Inc.
- Atkinson, G. G. (1975). *The Effective Negotiator*. Quest research Publications.
- Baduge, S., & Jayasena, S. (2016). Win win Settlement; applicability of negotiation principles for dispute negotiations in construction projects. *World construction symposium* (pp. 555 566). Colombo: Ceylon Institute of Builders.
- Barbara, B. (2007, January 15). https://www.gsb.stanford.edu. Retrieved from Negotiation Stratergy: Seven Common Pitfalls to Avoid: https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/negotiation-strategy-seven-common-pitfalls-avoid
- Batista, E. (2014, December 1). *Conflict models and management styles*. Retrieved from http://www.ambulatoryadvisor.com: http://www.ambulatoryadvisor.com/breakdown-five-types-negotiators-outlined/
- Batista, E. (2014). Conflict Modes and Managerial Styles.
- Carlisle, J., & Leary, M. (1981). *Negotiating Groups*. London.
- CEDR. (2016, May 03). *Practical Advice Understanding and breaking deadlock*. Retrieved from Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution: http://www.cedr.com/solve/advice/?p=9
- Chitwood, A. S., & Takemoto, D. a. (2008). Negotiating The Special Education Maze: A Guide for Parents and Teachers. PublixBooks.
- Churchman, D. (1993). Negotiating Tactics. Univ Pr of Amer.
- Ehmann, L. C. (2012, July 26). *The Seven Pillars of Negotiation Wisdom*. Retrieved from http://goo.gl: http://goo.gl/23QmyX
- Fells, R. E. (1989). Managing the process of Negotiation. *Insights in Human Resources Management*, 17 22.
- FIDIC. (2006). *Federation Internationale des Ingenieurs-Cons*. Conditions of contract for construction for buildings and engineering works.

- Fisher, R., & Shapiro, D. (2006). *Using Emotions as You Negotiate*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Goldwich, D. (2010). Win-Win Negotiation Techniques: Develop the mindset, skills and behaviours of winning negotiators (Success Skills Series). arshall Cavendish Corp/Ccb.
- Gridlock, A. (2009). *The viability of interest based legislative negotiation*. Harvard law & policy review.
- Group, C. L. (2012, July 26). *Introducing the 7 Elements of Negotiation*. Retrieved from http://goo.gl: http://goo.gl/yAaGzX
- Institute, P. M. (2008). A guide to the project management body of knowledge. USA.
- Jayalath, C. (2013). Arguing construction claims. Colombo: Godage & Brothres.
- Jayasena, H. S., & Kavinda, Y. H. (2012). Most appropriate dispute resolution strategy for Sri Lankan construction industry. *2012 world construction conference* (pp. 180 187). Colombo: Ceylon Institute of builders.
- Lax, D., & Sebenius, J. (1992). *The Manager as Negotiator: The Negotiator's Dilemma: Creating and Claiming Value*. Boston: Little Brown and Co.
- Lewicki, R. J., Saunders, D. M., & Minton, J. W. (2001). *Essentials of Negotiations*. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Higher Education.
- Lickson, C. P. (2016, April 19). *Negotiation basics win win stratergies for everyonr*. Retrieved from www.axzopress.com: http://www.axzopress.com/downloads/pdf/0619259078.pv.pdf
- Mahmoodi, K. (2016, April 03). Retrieved from www.theseus.fi: http://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/42807/ Mahmoodi\_Kosar.pdf?sequence=1
- Marcus, L. J. (1995). Renegotiating Health Care: Resolving Conflict to Build Collaboration. San Francisco: Josey-Bass.
- Meek, S. B. (1996). *Alternative dispute resolution*. Texas: Tucson, AZ: Lawyers & Judges Pub.
- Murdoch, J., & hughes, W. (2008). *Construction contracts: law and management*. USA: Trylor and Francis.

- Pickavance, K. (2005). *Delay and disruption in construction contracts*. Great Britain: MPG Books Publishers.
- Project Management Institute. (2008). A guide to the project management body of knowledge. USA.
- Pruitt, D. g. (1981). Negotiation Behaviour. London: Acadamic Press.
- Prutt, D. G. (1977). *The Psychology of Intergrative Bargaining*. New York: Sage Publications .
- Raiffa, H. (2016, March 23). Retrieved from http://tonydorcey.ca: http://tonydorcey.ca/595/Art%20and%20Science.PDF
- Ren, Z. (2002). A Multy-Agent Systems Approach to Construction Clams Negotiations. Londan: Loughborough University.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for business Students*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Sebenius, J. K. (2016, April 23). *Negotiation analysis between decisions and games*. Retrieved from www.usc.edu: http://www.usc.edu/dept/create/assets/002/50863.pdf
- Shell, R. G. (2001). Bargaining Styles and Negotiation: The Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument in Negotiation Training. *Negotiation Journal*, 155-174.
- Shell, R. G. (2006). Bargaining for Advantages. New York: Penguin Books.
- Sparks, D. B. (1993). *The Dynamics of effective Negotiation*. Houston Texas: Gulf Publishing Co.
- Steve, G. (2011). *The Negotiation Book*. United Kingdom: A john Wiley and Sons LTD Publications.
- Sutrisna, M., Buckley, K., Potts, K., & Proverbs, D. (2016, January 15). https://www.researchgate.net. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32116922\_A\_Decision\_Support\_Tool\_for\_the\_Valuation\_of\_Variations\_on\_Civil\_Engineering\_Projects
- Thomas, R. (2001). *Construction contract claims*. Great Britain: Palgrave Macmillan Publishers.

- Thompson, J. (2012, July 12). *7 Elements of Negotiation*. Retrieved from http://goo.gl: http://goo.gl/9a7aAd
- University, O. (2011). Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Oxford University Press.
- VK, A. (2001). Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods . Geneva: UNITAR.
- Zylva, E. (2007). *Arbitration Law in Sri Lanka*. Colombo: The Institute of the Development of Commercial Law and Practice.

# APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE USED FOR DATA COLLECTION

## Topic: Handling negotiation deadlocks in Sri Lankan construction industry

	-	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
		1	2	3	4	5				
	Please tick your suggestion as you experienced in such deadlock situations.									
	dea	adlocks arisin	g in negotiating 1	rates in variations.						
	Fo	llowing ques	tionnaire is foc	cused to the tecl	nniques applicat	ole in handling				
			al action as well (		1	J				
				ommunication be						
2.0				e negotiations. T	• ,					
2.0	٨	daadlaak aan	ha dafinad as	a situation where	no avidant nra	aross toxuards o				
	1.4	Job descripti	ion briefly:							
	1.3	Years of Exp	perience:							
	1.2	Designation	:							
	1.1	Organization	ı :		••••					
1.0	Ge	neral Overv	iew							

	Technique	Explanation	1	2	3	4	5
1	Change the setting	Location, place of negotiation.					
2	Change the	Parties may find negotiation					
	negotiator(s)	have taken a step back. New					
		participants may look at the					
		situation in different ways.					
3	Change the levels	To ensure the authority to take					
	in the organization	decisions.					
4	Provide additional	One or both table information					
	information	that they base to the decision so					
		far.					
5	Go "off the	Show how much one party trusts					
	record"	the other party.					
6	Say "let's shift	To find a way to create a					
	into both win-win	solution that works for both					
	mode"	sides.					
7	Take a break	Stepping away from the table					
8	Revisit priorities	Focus on one party's interests,					
		help to focus on it, what is most					
		important than minor issues.					
9	Look at all the	Suggest other possible solutions					
	options	that had not been apparent					
		earlier.					
10	Give a little	Offer to grant small concessions,					
		we do "X" if you do "Y",					
		momentum to lead into further					
		progress.					
11	Bag the smaller	Focus on smaller or easier items					
	goals	of the agenda.					
12	Call a time out	Review the strategy with other					
		members of the team. Relaxing.					

No	Technique	Explanation	1	2	3	4	5
13	Set aside quite	Impose a fixed period of silence.					
	time	Everyone stays in the room					
		without saying a word.					
14	Impose a deadline	Many negotiations, most of the					
		progress made in final stage.					
		Deliberately adding time					
		pressure to motivate parties,					
		need to get things moving again.					
15	Please say "YES"	Ask the counter part for his/her					
		agreement. If says "yes" great. If					
		"no", ask why not. Listen					
		carefully.					
16	Bringing an	Good mediator can recognize					
	impartial 3 <sup>rd</sup> party	communication bottlenecks and					
		help the parties to overcome.					
17	Let it go	Be prepared to walk away from					
		the negotiation, counterpart will					
		call back with a better offer.					
		Sometimes the best is no deal at					
		all.					
18	Set aside anger	One shall respect opponent's					
		opinions. Anger may stop.					
19	Agree in principle	Try to agree in principle.					
20	Regroup and	Tem shall be re-assembled and					
	refocus	objectives shall be re-shaped.					
21	Keep	Keep away personal egos from					
	communication	business deals.					
	flowing						

No	Technique	Explanation	1	2	3	4	5
22	Give negotiation	In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> round of the					
	power	negotiation, give the					
		representative greater decision					
		making power.					
23	Present party's	Both should openly discuss their					
	reasoning	reservations. Everything is					
		"open" now.					
24	Dynamics of the	Change the member of the					
	negotiation	party's delegation.					
25	Time of	Change the time of negotiation.					
	negotiation						
26	Mitigate other	Mitigate the pressure of					
	side's pressure	opponent's and show					
		compromise.					
27	Motivate	Motivate, find out possibility to					
		change the financial affairs –					
		early loans, adjustments of					
		paymentsetc.					
28	Share the risk	Ways of risk sharing with the					
		other side.					
29	Environment	Try to change the atmosphere in					
		the conference room, if the focal					
		point which can benefit both					
		parties.					
30	Making	Give suitable concessions, both					
	concessions	are supposed to adjust their					
		respective objectives and make					
		concessions rationally.					

No	Technique	Explanation	1	2	3	4	5
31	Seeking	Try every possible means to find					
	similarities from	similarities while committing					
	differences	small differences.					
32	Advantage and	Analyse why there are					
	disadvantage	deadlocks, reasons that block					
	analysis	smooth negotiation, which will					
		cause losses to both parties.					
33	Humouring the	Humour expressions to work.					
	embarrassments						