# OPTIMIZED SCHEDULING OF ACADEMIC TIMETABLES:

### A MATHEMATICAL APPROACH

M. T. M. Perera

138904R

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Mathematics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2016

1

# OPTIMIZED SCHEDULING OF ACADEMIC TIMETABLES:

## A MATHEMATICAL APPROACH

by

M. T. M. Perera

#### 138904R

Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of Master of Science Degree in Financial Mathematics

**Department of Mathematics** 

**University of Moratuwa** 

Sri Lanka

December 2016

### DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without
acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any
other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and
belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person
except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

(M. T. M. Perera)

(138904 R)

Date:

### DECLARATION OF THE SUPERVISOR

I hereby recommend that I have supervised the above candidate an accepted this as the dissertation for the master in science degree in Financial Mathematics.		
Dr. G. H. J. Lanel	Date	
(Supervisor)		
Senior Lecturer		
Department of Mathematics,		
Faculty of Applied Sciences,		
University of Sri Jayewardenepura.		
Mr. T.M. J. A. Cooray	Date	
(Co-Supervisor)		
Senior Lecturer		
Department of Mathematics,		
Faculty of Engineering,		
University of Moratuwa.		

#### **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this to my father and mother, for their unconditional support with my studies and the guidance and encouragement through all my walks of life.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. G.

H. J. Lanel for the continuous support of my M.Sc. research, for his patience, motivation

and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing

of this dissertation.

Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank Mr. T. M. J. A. Cooray the second

supervisor and the coordinator of the M.Sc. program, for his encouragement and

insightful comments.

My sincere thanks also goes to all academic staff at the department of Mathematics,

University of Moratuwa for their continuous support of my M.Sc. studies and sharing the

knowledge, and also I must be thankful to all the academic staff at the department of

Mathematics, University of Sri Jayewardenepura which is my working place, for their

encouragement and support throughout these three years.

I must be thankful to the management staff in the faculty record room for providing me

the necessary data without any hesitation.

I thank my friends in 2013 batch of M.Sc. program in Financial Mathematics for the

stimulating discussions, for and for all the fun we have had in the last two years.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my parents for giving birth to me

at the first place and supporting me spiritually throughout my life.

M. T. M. Perera

IV

**ABSTRACT** 

Timetabling problem is a well-known problem commonly addressed by the researches

over the decades using different techniques. With the advancement of the technology, the

research direction has been narrowed to automate timetabling. Graph theoretic approach,

linear programming, neural networks and artificial intelligence techniques have been

used in literature.

This study focuses on university course timetabling problem, which intends to model the

semester timetable of the Faculty of Applied Sciences at University of Sri

Jayewardenepura, which currently does not possess an automated timetabling system.

It has been used an Integer Linear Programming model which attempts to assign group of

course units to a time period where each group is a result of a graph coloring approach. A

greedy algorithm has been used to color the vertices of the graph by the use of

mathematical software. The variables in the model have defined to be binary integer

variables. Branch and bound method has been used as the solution technique for the

integer linear program. With the large number of variables and constraints the solution

technique required large number of iterations. Hence a mathematical software has been

used to implement the branch and bound method. Limited number of lecture halls, large

number of subject combinations and growing number of student registration have made

the problem very tight which results thousands of variables and constraints to the model.

The quality of the solution depends on the location of the time period assigned to the set

of course units. Hence the objective function is defined to optimize the allocation of time

periods to course units.

The model results a feasible solution which has reduced the maximum idle time of

students to three hours and it can be implemented with the lecture halls currently

available in the faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura. The

model is flexible and allows to change the constraints depending on the faculty

requirements and other factors, and if necessary, construct alternative schedules.

Key words: Course Timetabling, Graph Coloring, Integer Linear Programming

V

### TABLE OF CONTENT

Dec	clarati	on of th	e candidate	i
De	clarat	ion of th	ne Supervisor	ii
De	dicati	on		iii
Ac	know	ledgeme	ents	iv
Ab	stract			v
Tal	ble of	content		vi
Lis	t of T	ables		ix
Lis	t of F	igures		X
Lis	t of A	bbrevia	tions	xii
1.	Intro	duction		01
	1.1	Back	ground	01
	1.2	Univ	versity Course Timetabling	02
		1.2.1	Existing timetabling system in the FAS	04
	1.3	Obje	ectives	08
	1.4	Sign	ificance of the study	. 09
	1.5	Thesi	is Organization	. 09
2.	Lite	rature R	eview	10
	2.1	Differe	ent approaches to solve course timetabling problems	10
		2.1.1	Graph Coloring.	. 10
		2.1.2	Linear Programming	12
		2.1.3	Other Approaches	14

3.	Meth	odology	15
	3.1	Mathematical Preliminaries.	15
		3.1.1 Graph Coloring.	15
		3.1.2 Linear Programming	17
	3.2	Solution Procedure.	18
	3.3	Information taken from the analysis of the questionnaire	22
	3.4	Formulation of the Model.	24
		3.4.1 Phase I	24
		3.4.2 Phase II	. 25
		3.4.3 ILP model for the first year	. 25
4.	Anal	ysis	27
	4. 1	Solution techniques of the timetabling problem for the first year	27
		4.1.1 Graph coloring results.	27
		4.1.2 Modeled timetable for the first year using MATLAB	31
	4. 2	Solution techniques of the timetabling problem for the second year	33
		4.2.1 Graph coloring results	33
		4.2.2 Model timetable for the second year using MATLAB	35
	4.3	Solution techniques of the timetabling problem for the third year	36
		4.3.1 Graph coloring results	36
		4.3.2 Modeled timetable for the third year	38
	4.4	Analysis of the Results	39
		4.4.1 Distribution of lectures based on the combinations	39
		4.4.2 Analysis of the lecture halls requirements	41
5.	Conc	lusion	44
	5.1	Limitations of the study	45
	5.2	Further Improvements and Suggestions.	45

Bibliography	47
Appendix A- Questionnaire	49
Appendix B- Important codes used in the algorithm and results of those coding	63
Appendix C- Existing Timetable and the Proposed Timetable	83

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: An example of a timetable	01
Table 1.2: List of subject combinations	06
Table 1.3: Categorization of lecture halls.	07
Table 1.4: Categorization of subjects.	07
Table 4.1: Assignment of costs to the time periods for the first year	30
Table 4.2: Model timetable for the first year.	32
Table 4.3: Assignment of costs to the time periods for the second year	34
Table 4.4: Model timetable for the second year	35
Table 4.5: Assignment of costs to the time periods for the third year	37
Table 4.6: Model timetable for the third year	38
Table 4.7: Analysis of the timetable based on the combinations	40

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: A graph.	16
Figure 3.2: Vertex coloring of a graph.	16
Figure 3.3: Illustration of the Branch and Bound method	18
Figure 3.4(a): ) preferred time for lectures of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year physical science students	22
Figure 3.4(b): preferred time for lectures of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year Biology students	22
Figure 3.4(c): preferred time for lectures of first year students	22
Figure 3.5(b): Preference to have lectures in Monday morning of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year physical	
science students	23
Figure 3.5 (b) Preference to have lectures in Monday morning of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year biology students.	23
Figure 3.5 (a) Preference to have lectures in Monday morning of first year students	23
Figure 3.6 (a) Preference to have lectures in Tuesday morning of 2nd year physical science students.	23
Figure 3.6 (b) Preference to have lectures in Tuesday morning of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year physical	
science students	23
Figure 3.6 (c) Preference to have lectures in Tuesday morning of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year physical science students	23
Figure 3.7(a) Preference to have gap between two lectures of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year physical	
science students	24
Figure 3.7(b) Preference to have gap between two lectures of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year biology	
Students	24
Figure 3.7(c) Preference to have gap between two lectures of first year students.	24

Figure 4.1: Initial graph coloring.	28
Figure 4.2: Distribution of the requirement of the category 1 lecture halls	42
Figure 4.3: Distribution of the requirement of the category 2 lecture halls	42
Figure 4.4: Distribution of the requirement of the category 3 lecture halls	43

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARM- Aquatic Resource Management

**BIO-Biology** 

**CHE- Chemistry** 

**CSC- Computer Science** 

**ECN-** Economics

EMF- Forestry and Environmental Science

FAS - Faculty of Applied Sciences

FSC- Food Science and Technology

ICT- Information and Communication Technology

ILP – Integer Linear Programming

LP - Linear Programming

MAN- Management Science

**MAT- Mathematics** 

NP- Non Polynomial

PBT- Plant Bio Technology

PHY- Physics

PST- Polymer Science and Technology

**STA- Statistics** 

USJP- University of Sri Jayewardenepura

**ZOO-** Zoology