

**UNDER FREQUENCY LOAD SHEDDING FOR POWER
SYSTEMS WITH HIGH VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY**

Dona Yasomalie Tharangika Bambaravanage

128015H

**Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering**

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2016

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the MPhil thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor (1): Prof. Sisil Kumarawadu

Signature of the supervisor: Date:

Name of the supervisor (2): Dr. Asanka Rodrigo

Signature of the supervisor: Date:

ABSTRACT

Emergency load shedding for preventing frequency degradation is an established practice all over the world. The objective of load shedding is to balance load and generation of a particular Power System. In addition to the hydro and thermal generators each with less than 100 MW, today, the Power System of Sri Lanka is comprised of three coal Power Plants: each has a generation capacity of 300 MW, Yugadanavi combined cycle Power Plant (300 MW generation capacity) and a considerably extended transmission network. To cater consumers with high quality electricity, a reliable Power System is a must. Therefore, it has become timely necessity to review the performance of the present CEB Load Shedding Scheme and suggest amendments where necessary.

The objective of this research is to explore a better Under Frequency Load Shedding Scheme (UFLSS) which can face probable contingencies and maintain stability of the system while catering more consumers. The suggested UFLSSs can address the recent changes taken place in the Sri Lanka Power System too.

A simulation of the Power system of Sri Lanka was designed with software PSCAD. Its validity was checked through implementing actual scenarios which took place in the power system under approximately-equal loaded condition and by comparing the simulated results and actual results. Then a performance analysis was done for the Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB) Under Frequency Load Shedding Scheme which is being implemented in Sri Lanka. Having identified the drawbacks of the CEB Under Frequency Load Shedding Scheme, the new UFLSSs (LSS-I and LSS-II) were suggested.

The Load Shedding Scheme – I (LSS-I) is designed based on power system frequency and its derivative under abnormal conditions. Without doing much modification to the prevailing UFLSS, and utilizing the available resources, the suggested LSS-I can be implemented.

The LSS-II gives priority for 40% of the system load for continuous power supply, and it is comprised of two stages. During the stage-I, approximately 30% of the load is involved with the Load Shedding action. During the stage-II, the disintegration of the power system is done. This involves the balance 30% of the load. At 48.6 Hz the disintegration of the power system takes place. By disintegrating the power system at the above mentioned frequency, all islands as well as the national grid can be brought to steady state condition without violating the stability constraints of the Sri Lanka power system. During disintegration of the Power System, special attention must be paid for:

- Generation & load balance in each island and in the national grid.
- Reactive power compensation in islands and in the national grid.
- Tripping off of all isolated transmission lines (which are not connected to effective loads).

Through simulations the effectiveness of the UFLSSs were evaluated. They demonstrate better performance compared to that of the currently implementing CEB scheme. Results highlight that the UFLSS should exclusively be specific for a particular Power System. It depends on factors

such as power system practice, power system regulations, largest generator capacity, electricity consumption pattern etc.

To my parents

Acknowledgement

I am very thankful to the Institute of Technology, University of Moratuwa for the financial support provided for this research study.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors Professor Sisil Kumarawadu and Dr. Asanka Rodrigo for their suggestions, patience and encouragement throughout the period of this work. Their invaluable support, understanding and expertise have been very important in completing this work.

Heartfelt sincere thanks are due to Dr. (Miss) Lidula Vidanagama for her invaluable discussions and help with PSCAD simulations during the course of this work.

I would also like to extend my sincere thanks to the faculty and the staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa for providing resources and facilitating the research study.

I am very much thankful to Mr. Eranga Kudahewa of the system control of the CEB, for his invaluable discussions and help with data during this research study.

Last but not least, a precious thank-you goes to my parents, my husband and my two daughters for their love, encouragement and constant support all throughout.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. LITERATURE SURVEY	6
2.1. Structure of an Electrical Power System.....	6
2.2. Power System Stability	7
2.3. Why Power System Instability situations occur?	7
2.4. Disturbances.....	8
2.4.1. Effects of the Disturbances on the Power System.....	9
2.4.1.1. Effects on power system due to Generation Unit failures.....	9
2.4.1.2. Effect on PS due to Transmission line outages	10
2.5. Reliability of a power system.....	11
2.6. Quality of a power system.....	12
2.6.1. Addressing instability situations due to perturbations in the power system.....	12
2.6.2. Classification of Power System Dynamics.....	13
2.6. Process for Generation-Load Balance.....	17
2.6.1. Primary control (is by Governors).....	19
2.6.2. Secondary control (is by Automatic Generation Controls)	22
2.6.2.1. Governor Control System.....	24
2.6.2.2. Interconnected Operations.....	26
2.6.3. Tertiary control.....	28
2.6.4. Time control	29
2.6.4.1. Area Control Error (ACE).....	30
2.6.4.2. Time Error	31
2.7. Under-frequency Load shedding.....	32
3. MODELLING THE POWER SYSTEM.....	39
3.1. Power System Components.....	40
3.2. Configuring Power System Components / Mathematical Modeling.....	41
3.2.1. Transmission Lines.....	42
3.2.1.1. Conductor types available in the Power System	43
3.2.1.2. Sample Calculation.....	43
3.2.1.3. Values Used with PSCAD window	45
3.2.2. Under-ground Cables	47
3.2.2.1. Cable types available in the Power System.....	48
3.2.2.2. Values Used with PSCAD window	50

3.2.3.	Transformers	54
3.2.3.1.	Transformer types available in the Power System	54
3.2.3.2.	Sample Calculation.....	54
3.2.3.3.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	58
3.2.4.	Generators	59
3.2.4.1.	Generator types used in modeling the Power System	66
3.2.4.2.	Simplified Schematic Diagram and corresponding control System.....	67
3.2.4.3.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	68
3.2.4.4.	Sample Calculation.....	70
3.2.5.	Exciters.....	74
3.2.5.1.	Exciter types used in modeling the Power System.....	75
3.2.5.2.	Simplified Schematic Diagram and corresponding control System.....	76
3.2.5.3.	Sample Calculation.....	79
3.2.5.4.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	79
3.2.6.	Turbines.....	81
3.2.6.1.	Turbine types used in modeling the Power System.....	82
3.2.6.2.	Steam turbines	82
3.2.6.3.	Simplified Schematic Diagram and corresponding control System of Steam turbine	82
3.2.6.4.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	89
3.2.6.5.	Hydro turbines.....	90
3.2.6.6.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	91
3.2.7.	Governors	92
3.2.7.1.	Governor types used in modeling the Power System.....	92
3.2.7.2.	Simplified Schematic Diagram and corresponding control System.....	93
3.2.7.3.	Values Used with PSCAD window.....	102
3.3.	Control system of the overall Power System	105
3.3.1.	LS Logic1 control system module.....	106
3.3.2.	U_Frequency control system module.....	112
3.3.3.	Add_Ld control system module	112
3.4.	Verifying the simulation model performance.....	113
3.4.1.	Steady state operation.....	113
3.4.2.	Generator tripping/ Sudden generation deficit situation.....	117
4.	DESIGNING THE LOAD SHEDDING SCHEME.....	122
4.1.	Identification of Parameters	122
4.1.1.	Power System regulations and Practice of Sri Lanka.....	122

4.1.2.	Identifying Settling Frequency	123
4.1.3.	Deciding the number of steps in the Load Shedding Scheme	124
4.1.4.	First step of Load Shedding Scheme	125
4.1.5.	Identifying when to implement Load shedding based on rate of change of frequency (ROCOF).....	126
4.1.6.	Delay time	128
4.1.7.	Ahsans' scheme as a pilot model [56].....	130
4.1.8.	Proposed Methodology.....	135
4.1.8.1.	Load Shedding Scheme – I (Based on prevailing facilities available with the CEB)	135
4.1.8.2.	Load Shedding Scheme – II (Based on Disintegration of the Power System)	138
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	152
5.1.	Discussion: Load Shedding Scheme – I with generation deficit of 829.6 MW	152
5.2.	Discussion: Load Shedding Scheme – II with generation deficit of 495.14 MW	155
5.2.1.	Performance of the national grid:	156
5.2.2.	Performance of Island Rantembe:	159
5.2.3.	Performance of Island Matugama	166
5.2.4.	Performance of Island Embilipitiya.....	172
5.2.5.	Performance of Island Kiribathkumbura	179
5.3.	Performance comparison on selected Load Shedding Schemes (LSS).....	186
6.	CONCLUSION	192
	REFERENCES.....	194
	APPENDICES.....	198
	APPENDIX – I.....	198
	APPENDIX – II	201
	APPENDIX – III	203
	APPENDIX – IV	208
	APPENDIX – V	211
	APPENDIX – VI.....	212

List of figures

Figure 2:1: Structure of an electrical power system, [6].	6
Figure 2:2: π -model of a transmission-line	10
Figure 2:3: Broad block diagram of a generic system, [14].	15
Figure 2:4: Typical time intervals for analysis and control of the most important power system dynamic phenomena, [14].	16
Figure 2:5: Generation load balance in different time horizons [9].	18
Figure 2:6: Power system automatic generation control [9].	19
Figure 2:7: Equilibrium points for an increase in the power demand [6].	21
Figure 2:8: A typical speed power characteristic of a governor system [9].	24
Figure 2:9: Turbine speed–droop characteristics for various settings of P_{ref} [6].	26
Figure 2:10: Power balance of a control area [6].	27
Figure 2:11: Frequency and interchange flow deviations for one area [9].	31
Figure 2:12: Power System responses due to a load-generation imbalance situation	33
Figure 2:13: Fink and Carlsen diagram [35].	38
Figure 3:1: Issues of mathematical modelling of a power system/power system components	41
Figure 3:2: PSCAD windows corresponding to transmission line from Randenigala to Rantembe named as ‘Rand-Rant’ with it’s <i>a</i> . Line model general data; <i>b</i> . Bergeron model options; <i>c</i> . Manual entry of Y, Z	46
Figure 3:3: A part of the power system comprising the ‘Rand-Rant’ transmission line that links Randenigala and Rantembe power-stations.	47
Figure 3:4: In addition to the conductor, an under-ground cable is comprised with several layers of insulation and protective materials [42].	48
Figure 3:5: The cable that links Colombo Fort substation and Kelanitissa power station bus-bars, which appears in the transmission network of the simulation model of the power system of Sri Lanka.	51
Figure 3:6: PSCAD windows corresponding to power transmission cable from Kelanitissa to Colombo Fort substation named as ‘Keltissa-Col_F’ with it’s <i>a</i> . Cable configuration window; <i>b</i> . Line model general data; <i>c</i> . Bergeron model options.	52
Figure 3:7: 132 kV under-ground cable lay-out corresponding to ‘Keltissa-Col_F.’	53
Figure 3:8: Generator transformers located at the Kotmale power station, as they appear in the PSCAD simulation model	58
Figure 3:9: PSCAD generator transformer windows of <i>a</i> . configuration; <i>b</i> . saturation; corresponding to the Kotmale power station.	59
Figure 3:10: Classification of synchronous generators referring to their speeds	60
Figure 3:11: configuration of a generating unit [6].	61
Figure 3:12: relationship between mechanical and electrical power and speed change.	65
Figure 3:13: Block-diagrams demonstrating the effect of the change in load due to frequency sensitive and non-frequency sensitive load change.	66
Figure 3:14: A generator unit at Kotmale power station with its hydro turbine, governor and exciter.	67
Figure 3:15: Values used with PSCAD window corresponding to Kotmale generator; <i>a</i> . Synchronous machine configuration; <i>b</i> . Interface to machine controllers; <i>c</i> . Variable initialization data; <i>d</i> . Basic data; <i>e</i> . Initial conditions; <i>f</i> . Initial conditions if starting as a machine; <i>g</i> . Output variable names and <i>h</i> . power output of the simulated generator ‘Kotmale-3’	70
Figure 3:16: Basic types of exciters	74
Figure 3:17: Block diagram of the excitation and AVR system. PSS, power system stabilizer [6].	75
Figure 3:18: Cascaded DC generators. SG, synchronous generator; SR, slip rings; ME, main exciter; AE, auxiliary exciter; AVR, automatic voltage regulator [6].	75

Figure 3:19: exciter model ‘AC1A’ which was used for the simulation.....	76
Figure 3:20: IEEE Alternator Supplied Rectifier Excitation System #1 (AC1A) as in PSCAD.....	76
Figure 3:21: The excitation system with AC alternator and the uncontrolled rectifier [6]	78
Figure 3:22: values used with PSCAD windows in configuring Ac1A exciters; a. Configuration, b. Hydro-gen.’s forward path parameters, c. Hydro-gen.’s exciter parameters, d. Steam turbine gen.’s forward path parameters, e. Steam turbine gen.’s exciter parameters.	81
Figure 3:23: Steam configuration of a tandem compound single-reheat turbine [6].....	83
Figure 3:24: control system of a single reheat tandem compound steam turbine as per [11]; a. Turbine configuration; b. Block diagram representation	85
Figure 3:25: Generic turbine Model including intercept valve effect as given in PSCAD	86
Figure 3:26: Generic model for steam turbines [11]	87
Figure 3:27: Values used with PSCAD windows in configuring Steam_Tur_2 (Generic turbine model including intercept valve effect); a. Configuration, b. Hp turbine: contributions, c. Lp turbine: contributions, d. Time constants, e. Intercept valve	89
Figure 3:28: ‘Steam_Tur_2’ Generic turbine model including intercept valve effect (TUR2) used for the power system simulation.....	90
Figure 3:29: Hydro turbine model used in power system simulation – ‘Non-elastic water column without surge tank (TUR1)’	90
Figure 3:30: Block diagram of control system of ‘Non-elastic water column without surge tank’, TUR1, PSCAD simulation model	91
Figure 3:31: Values used with PSCAD windows in configuring Hydro_Tur_1 (Non-elastic water column without surge tank (TUR1)); a. Configuration, b. Rated Conditions, c. Initial Conditions, d. Tur: Non_Elastic Water Column & No Surge Tank	92
Figure 3:32: Governor models used in PSCAD; a. Steam_Gov_2(GOV2) b. Steam_Gov_3(GOV3)	94
Figure 3:33: Block diagram of control system of Steam_Gov_2 (Mechanical-hydraulic controls), PSCAD simulation model	94
Figure 3:34: Block diagram of ‘Mechanical-hydraulic controls governing system’ as per [11].....	95
Figure 3:35: Block diagram of control system of governor Electro-Hdraulic Controls (GOV3), as per PSCAD simulation model	96
Figure 3:36: Block diagram of ‘Electro-hydraulic controls governing system’ as per [11].....	97
Figure 3:37: Governor models used in PSCAD; a. Hydro_Gov_1(GOV1) b. Hydro_Gov_3(GOV3)	98
Figure 3:38: Block diagram of the control system of hydro governor ‘Hydro_Gov_1 (Mechanical - hydraulic controls (GOV1))	98
Figure 3:39: Block diagram of governing system for hydraulic turbine as per [11]	99
Figure 3:40: Hydro_Gov_3 (Enhanced controls for load rejection studies (GOV3))	100
Figure 3:41: Steam_Governor_2 and Steam_Governor_3 with a. PSCAD configuration for Steam_Gov_2, b. PSCAD configuration for Steam_Gov_3, c. parameters of Gov2: Mechanical-Hydraulic (GE) Governor: section 1, d. parameters of Gov2: Mechanical-Hydraulic (GE) Governor: section 2, e. parameters of Gov3: Electro-Hydraulic (GE) Governor: section 1, f. parameters of Gov3: Electro-Hydraulic (GE) Governor: section 2	103
Figure 3:42: a. PSCAD configuration for Hydro_Gov_1, b. PSCAD configuration for Hydro_Gov_3, c. parameters common to both types, d. Gov_1 Mechanical-hydraulic governor parameters, e. Gov_3 Enhanced Governor parameters	105
Figure 3:43: a. PSCAD configuration of Low pass, Order 3, Chebyshev filter, b. Chebyshev filter’s base frequency has been set to 3 Hz, c. Its ripple has been set to 0.05 dB.	107
Figure 3:44: PSCAD windows with a. Butterworth filter configuration, b. base frequency set to 0.5 Hz.	108
Figure 3:45: The Chebyshev and Butterworth filters used in measurement of System frequency and System voltage respectively, in the control system of the simulation model.....	108

Figure 3:46: Derivative function in PSCAD; a. block diagram of the DERIV function, b. the output as a function of the input	109
Figure 3:47: PSCAD model used to calculate the df/dt of the power system	109
Figure 3:48: The control circuit which detects the behavior of the system frequency and sends a signal for the corresponding circuit breakers to get operated, if the relay settings are satisfied.	110
Figure 3:49: System responses to a sudden generation deficit of 23.18 MW (by tripping generator Wimalasurendra); a. Steady state frequency achieved = 49.5 Hz; b. Steady state voltage achieved = 211.81 kV; c. generation output of Victoria2 which has been set as the generator with isochronous governor; d. rate of change of frequency in response to the disturbance – the minimum rate achieved = - 0.038 Hz/s.....	112
Figure 3:50: A sudden load addition at the required instant, which was implemented using sequencer element; a. series of sequencer elements used for the operation, b. a resistive load was connected to the system through the breaker ‘BrkAdLd’	113
Figure 3:51: Power system performance during steady state; a. power system frequency, b. power system (transmission) voltage, c. some of the generator power outputs	117
Figure 3:52: After removal of some selected generator sets and loads (given in Table 3.22) from the simulated load flow, a. system frequency; b. system voltage; c. power outputs of some generators.....	120
Figure 3:53: frequency profiles during a tripping of coal power plant; a. with the simulation model (with some load and generation removal), b. using actual system frequency variation data.....	121
Figure 4:1: Time taken to reach the minimum df/dt due to a coal power plant tripping; a. Actual power system performance (275 MW generator tripping); b. simulation model results (250 MW generator tripping occurs at 225 s.)	127
Figure 4:2: Flow chart of the CEB load shedding scheme considering time delays for relay and circuit breaker operation ($R_{TO} + B_{OT} = 140$ ms) involved in each load shedding stage.	129
Figure 4:3: Flow chart illustrating different steps of the technique introduced by Ahsan et al.	131
Figure 4:4: Power system of Sri Lanka has been considered as a group of small islands and the national grid. Arrows indicate the possible directions of power flow – either from national grid to an island or vice versa.	132
Figure 4:5: Time line diagram that demonstrates the relay and circuit breaker operating times which get overlapped.	133
Figure 4:6: a. system frequency variation during a forced generation tripping of 243.08 MW (14.85 %), b. time taken to change the frequency from 49.4 Hz to 49.3 Hz has been observed as 0.13 s.....	134
Figure 4:7: Flow chart demonstrating the Load Shedding Scheme – I, that is comprised with 6 nos. of stages.	136
Figure 4:8: Flow chart of the Phase-I of Load Shedding Scheme - II which initiates Islanding at system frequency = 48.6 Hz.	139
Figure 4:9: Flow chart of the Phase-II of Load Shedding Scheme - II that corresponds to Island-Rantembe. The implementation of the number of Load Shedding stages is sequential and specific to this Island-Rantembe.....	150
Figure 5:1: Frequency profile with the implementation of the LSS-I in response to a generation deficit of 829.6 MW. Steady state frequency = 50.39 Hz; maximum frequency achieved = 53.06 Hz; minimum frequency achieved = 46.55 Hz.	152
Figure 5:2: Voltage profile of the national grid. Steady state voltage = 217.6 kV; maximum voltage achieved = 227.95 kV; minimum voltage achieved = 187.26 kV.	153
Figure 5:3: Rate of change of frequency after the disturbance. Minimum value achieved = -1.51 Hz/s..	153
Figure 5:4: Stages involved in the load shedding scheme.....	154
Figure 5:5: Power outputs of some selected generators: Puttalam Coal, Kerawalapitiya1, 2, & 3 and Barge were tripped-off at 65 s; Victoria2 is connected to the swing-bus.	155

Figure 5:6: Frequency profile of the national grid with the disturbance. Steady state frequency = 49.75 Hz	156
Figure 5:7: Voltage profile of the national grid during and after the disturbance. Steady state voltage = 212.81 kV	157
Figure 5:8: Rate of change of frequency after the disturbance. Minimum rate of change of freq. = -0.793 Hz/s	157
Figure 5:9: Stages got implemented in the load shedding scheme. 4 nos. of stages of the phase-I and the disintegration of the power system. The stage 7 corresponds to the 5th stage which leads for disintegration of the power system.	158
Figure 5:10: Some of the power plants that got tripped-off and generation output of some selected generators.	159
Figure 5:11: Island Rantembe control station is located in the Grid-Rantembe.	160
Figure 5:12: Generator Rantembe takes over the role of the isochronous governor just after islanding operation occurs.	160
Figure 5:13: Frequency profile of Island Rantembe.	161
Figure 5:14: Voltage profile of Island Rantembe.	162
Figure 5:15: Rate of change of frequency of Island Rantembe during disintegration of the power system.	162
Figure 5:16: ‘stage 1’ of the load shedding scheme of the Island Rantembe, known as ‘IRstage1’ got implemented to stabilize the system.	163
Figure 5:17: At the instant the reactance got connected to the ‘Island Rantembe – power system’, the state of the graph goes high.	163
Figure 5:18: The control logic – ‘sequencer’ used (in PSCAD) to connect the reactance to the ‘Island Rantembe – power system’.	164
Figure 5:19: Inductor used for reactive power compensation.	164
Figure 5:20: Generator Rantembe has been considered as the generator with the isochronous governor. Its power generation against time, after islanding operation.	165
Figure 5:21: Reactive power generation of the generator Rantembe with the isochronous governor.	165
Figure 5:22: Island Matugama control station is located in the Grid-Kukule.	166
Figure 5:23: Generator Kukule1 takes over the role of the isochronous governor just after islanding operation occurs	167
Figure 5:24: Frequency profile of Island Matugama.	168
Figure 5:25: Voltage profile of the Island Matugama.	168
Figure 5:26: Rate of change of frequency after the disturbance in Island Matugama.	169
Figure 5:27: ‘stage 1’ of the load shedding scheme of the Island Matugama, known as ‘IMstage1’ got implemented to make the system stable	170
Figure 5:28: At the instant the reactance got connected to the ‘Island Matugama – power system’, the state of the graph goes high.	170
Figure 5:29: The control logic – ‘sequencer’ used (in PSCAD) to connect the reactance to the ‘Island Matugama – power system’.	171
Figure 5:30: Inductor used for reactive power compensation.	171
Figure 5:31: Generator Kukule1 has been considered as the generator with the isochronous governor. Its power generation against time after islanding operation.	171
Figure 5:32: Reactive power generation of the generator with the isochronous governor.	172
Figure 5:33: Island Embilipitiya control station is located in the Grid-Samanalawewa.	173
Figure 5:34: Generator Samanalawewa1 takes over the role of the isochronous governor just after islanding operation occurs.	174
Figure 5:35: Frequency profile of Island Embilipitiya.	175
Figure 5:36: Voltage profile of Island Embilipitiya.	175

Figure 5:37: Rate of change of frequency after the disturbance in Island Matugama.....	176
Figure 5:38: ‘stage 1’ of the load shedding scheme of the Island Embilipitiya, known as ‘IEstage1’ got implemented to make the system stable.....	176
Figure 5:39: At the instant the reactance got connected to the ‘Island Embilipitiya – power system’, the state of the graph goes high.....	177
Figure 5:40: The control logic – ‘sequencer’ used (in PSCAD) to connect the reactance to the ‘Island Embilipitiya – power system’.....	177
Figure 5:41: Inductor used for reactive power compensation.....	178
Figure 5:42: Generator Samanalawewa1 has been considered as the generator with the isochronous governor. Its power generation against time after islanding operation.....	178
Figure 5:43: Reactive power generation of the generator with the isochronous governor.....	179
Figure 5:44: Island Kiribathkumbura control station is located in the Grid-Ukuwela.....	180
Figure 5:45: Generator Ukuwela takes over the role of the isochronous governor just after islanding operation occurs.....	181
Figure 5:46: Frequency profile of Island Kiribathkumbura.....	182
Figure 5:47: Voltage profile of Island Kiribathkumbura.....	182
Figure 5:48: Rate of change of frequency of Island Kiribathkumbura during disintegration of the power system.....	183
Figure 5:49: ‘stage 1’ and ‘stage 2’ of the load shedding scheme of the Island Kiribathkumbura, known as ‘IKstage1’ and ‘IKstage2’ respectively got implemented to make the system stable.....	184
Figure 5:50: At the instant the reactance got connected to the ‘Island Kiribathkumbura – power system’, the state of the graph goes high.....	184
Figure 5:51: The control logic – ‘sequencer’ used (in PSCAD) to connect the reactance to the ‘Island Kiribathkumbura – power system’.....	185
Figure 5:52: Inductor used for reactive power compensation.....	185
Figure 5:53: Generator Ukuwela has been considered as the generator with the isochronous governor. Its power generation against time after islanding operation.....	185
Figure 5:54: Reactive power generation of the generator with the isochronous governor.....	186

List of tables

Table 3.1: Conductor types used in the transmission network of Sri Lanka:	43
Table 3.2: RXY values as given in [41], for the transmission line ‘Rand-Rant’:	44
Table 3.3: Physical parameter data used for configuring the cables in the simulation model:	49
Table 3.4: Resistivity of metals used in the cables:.....	49
Table 3.5: Permittivity of insulation materials used in the cables.....	49
Table 3.6: Data used for configuring under-ground/submarine cables.	53
Table 3.7: Typical per-unit values of transformers [45].....	55
Table 3.8: Per-unit values of transformer parameters [45].....	56
Table 3.9: Inertia constants of different types of generators	71
Table 3.10: Inertia constants of generators obtained from the CEB	71
Table 3.11: Inertia constants used for the units considered in the simulation.....	73
Table 3.12: Typical values in Figure 3.26 corresponding to Figure 3.24.....	87
Table 3.13: Comparison of time constants and gains in Figure 3.24 (as per [11]-Kundur’s) and Figure 3.25 (PSCAD)	88
Table 3.14: Values used for the parameters in the control system of ‘Generic turbine Model including intercept valve effect as given in PSCAD’	88
Table 3.15: Governor Models of PSCAD used in controlling steam and hydro turbines of the simulation of the Power system of Sri Lanka	93
Table 3.16: Typical values for the parameters in Mechanical-Hydraulic Controls (GE)(GOV2) model in PSCAD.	95
Table 3.17: Typical values for the parameters in Electro-Hydraulic Controls (GE) (GOV2) model of a steam turbine in PSCAD.	97
Table 3.18: Typical values for the parameters in Mechanical-Hydraulic Controls (GE) (GOV1) model of a hydro turbine in PSCAD.	100
Table 3.19: values used to configure the governor model ‘Hydro_Gov_3 (Enhanced controls for load rejection studies (GOV3))’	101
Table 3.20: Maximum overshoot during start of source and steady state frequency obtained with different Chebyshev filter settings.	106
Table 3.21: Actual generator outputs and simulation model’s generator outputs corresponding to the load flow on the 13th March, 2013 during day time peak demand.	114
Table 3.22: Removed generator outputs and loads from the PSCAD simulation model designed.....	118
Table 4.1: Present Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB) Load Shedding Scheme.....	123
Table 4.2: Time delays corresponding to each load shedding action in the simulated present CEB load shedding scheme.	130
Table 4.3: Ahsans’ LS Scheme	130
Table 4.4: Proposed Load shedding scheme – I with Time delays corresponding to each load shedding action.	137
Table 4.5: Generators took part in the forced outage with their corresponding generation capacities (total capacity outage = 829.6 MW).	137
Table 4.6: Phase–I of Proposed LSS–II with Time delays corresponding to each LS action.	138
Table 4.7: Generators took part in the forced outage with their corresponding generation capacities (total capacity outage = 495.14 MW).	140
Table 4.8: Generators which were tripped off to balance the power generation and demand of the National Grid.	142
Table 4.9: Generators in continuous operation in the National Grid immediately before and after the disintegration of the power system.....	143

Table 4.10: Loads connected to each grid substation, which were involved in the Phase – I of the Load Shedding scheme.	144
Table 4.11: Loads connected to each substation in the National Grid, with a 40% of the total demand. .	145
Table 4.12: Inductive reactance required to be connected for reactive power compensation in Island Matugama.	146
Table 4.13: Inductive reactance required to be connected for reactive power compensation in Island Rantembe.	147
Table 4.14: Inductive reactance required to be connected for reactive power compensation in Island Embilipitiya.	147
Table 4.15: Inductive reactance required to be connected for reactive power compensation in Island Kiribathkumbura.	148
Table 4.16: Phase–II of Proposed Load shedding scheme – II with Time delays corresponding to Island Rantembe.	150
Table 4.18: The excess demand and amounts of loads to be shed in shedding stages in each island during disintegration of the power system.	151
Table 5.1: Simulation results of present CEB LSS, Proposed LSS-I and Proposed LSS-II when applied for the Power System of Sri Lanka, under different forced power generation outages.	189