

**EFFECT OF END ANCHORAGE ON CFRP
STRENGTHENED CONCRETE BEAMS**

Dewage Manasi Narmada Wijerathna

14 8024 D

Degree of Masters of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

March 2015

**EFFECT OF END ANCHORAGE ON CFRP
STRENGTHENED CONCRETE BEAMS**

Dewage Manasi Narmada Wijerathna

148024 D

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master
of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2015

DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

ABSTRACT

Reinforced concrete structures are often being subjected to modifications and improvements during their service life. The main causes for improvements are design errors, changes in use, degradation due to corrosion of reinforcing steel, damage due to seismic loads, vehicular impact and excessive wear and excessive loading. Precautions for these issues are mainly in two types; repair and strengthening. Restoring the structures which became structural malfunction is known as repair. Improvements done in structures in order to achieve higher service loads or longer service lives are known as strengthening. As far as strengthening techniques are concerned, concrete jacketing, steel jacketing, precast concrete jacketing, prestressed concrete jacketing and external application of Fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) composite materials are the available upgrading methods.

Structural strengthening with fibre reinforced polymers is a popular strengthening technique worldwide, due to its extensive advantages. The important properties of FRP's are high strength, light weight, good rigidity, corrosion resistance, high elastic modulus etc. FRP's are used to improve structures by means of increasing flexural capacity, enhancing shear capacity and confining concrete columns to improve axial compression load carrying capacity. When flexural strengthening with FRP is concerned, research studies show that a significant strength increment can be achieved with use of CFRP sheets as an external reinforcement. It also improves serviceability of structures.

Failure of a CFRP strengthened beam for flexure can be due to flexure, shear, concrete crushing or debonding. The failure modes can be categorized in to two main types; classical failure and premature failure. Failure of an element due to yielding of steel bars, tensile failure of FRP sheets and crushing of concrete in compression zone are known as classical failure. Failure of element in any other method such as debonding of FRP, peeling off of FRP and concrete cover separation are premature failure modes.

End debonding is the most common failure mode which has been experienced in practice. This mode of failure, limits the capacity by 60% to 80% of ultimate capacity (Mostofinejad 2014, Xiong 2007) of the system and induce sudden failure without prior warning. Different methods have been proposed in literature to delay end debonding. They are Mechanical fasteners, FRP pin and pan shape anchors, Near Surface Mount reinforcement, End wraps and use of wire mesh-epoxy composite. Among these techniques, end wraps are more beneficial since it contribute to shear capacity of the beam and help to improve ductility apart from preventing debonding failure. Although these techniques are advantages, they are not popular in the industry due to lack of technical data to quantify the effects.

Previous research studies emphasise the need of proper design method to predict the strength enhancement gained due to end wraps. There are few studies (Sawada et al,2003 Hawileh et al. 2013) carried out to investigate the interaction between resistance to debonding and the strength gain. Moreover, studies conducted in tropical countries are even less. This has lead to less confidence of using this technique by practicing engineers. Although there are several design guides available on design of externally bonded FRP systems, none of these guides address the effect of end anchorage on flexural strength gain. This study investigates the effect of end anchors on enhancing flexural capacity of reinforced concrete members, flexural strengthened with CFRP sheets.

An extensive experimental program was carried out using reinforced concrete beams to understand the failure behaviour, stress distribution, deflection behaviour and flexural strength enhancement. It was observed that 98.53% strength increment could achieved by the

specimens flexural strengthened with CFRP external reinforcement over control specimens. When the flexural strengthened beams were anchored at the ends, the strength enhancement was 145% compared with that of unstrengthened beams. It was also observed that strain levels at the ends of longitudinal CFRP strips reduces significantly, when end wrap anchorage is provided. End debonding can be fully prevented by providing sufficient amount of end anchorage. The failure mode of beams changed from cover debonding to CFRP rupture, in existence of end wraps.

A new theoretical model was developed based on experimental observations, design guidelines and data collected literature. It is capable of predicting both failure load and failure mode of flexural strengthened and end anchored beams. The model was compatible with experimental results of current study as well as experimental results collected from literature.

Two papers were published from the work of this study and are attached in appendix E.

Keywords: *CFRP/concrete, flexural performance, de-bonding failure, end anchorage*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research gave me a great opportunity to explore knowledge and to learn through experimental investigations. The success of the project is due to support given by many parties. I make this as an opportunity to convey my sincere gratitude to them.

I am extremely happy to record my profound gratitude to my supervisor Dr. J.C.P.H. Gamage, Senior Lecturer at the Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa, for her valuable guidance. Her academic expertise, inspiring instructions and keen encouragement were the keys which driven this project to a success.

I would like to convey my sincere gratitude to Prof. S.M.A. Nanayakkara, Head of the Department, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

My special thanks go to the Senate Research Grant of University of Moratuwa (Grant no: SRC/LT/2013/5) for creating this opportunity to me by providing financial support during this research study.

I would like to thank Professor Ranjith Disanayake, Senior Lecturer at the Department of Civil Engineering University of Peradeniya, for the guidance provided to me as the chairperson of the progress review committee. I am grateful to Dr. Chinthaka Mallikarachchi, Senior Lecturer at the Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa, for the advice and support given. I am also thankful to Dr. Lasely Ekanayake, research coordinator of Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa, for their support throughout the period.

A special gesture of appreciation is also conveyed unto Professor Mrs. C. Jayasinghe, Director, Post Graduate Studies, University of Moratuwa for her help during this study.

Professional assistance was also provided by a variety of academic staff at Department of Civil Engineering and was pivotal in refining our research at numerous occasions during the progress of this study. Hence my heartfelt gratitude is conveyed unto them for their sustained interest and wilful advises.

Much appreciation is conveyed unto Mr. Sriskanthan Srisangeerthan for his guidance on instrument operating and testing programme. I wish to express my deep appreciation to Eng. Amal Peiris for having given his support to sand blast the test specimens.

The laboratory staff of civil engineering department, University of Moratuwa, is also acknowledged.

I am extremely thankful to all my fellow research students who gave me a huge support during the specimen casting and testing.

Finally, I would like to thank my mother, sisters and my best friend Erandi for their kind assistance, blessings and encouragement when it was most required.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|---|-------|
| DECLARATION | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| Table of content..... | vii |
| List of figures | xii |
| List of tables | xvi |
| List of Abbreviations..... | xvii |
| List of Symbols | xviii |
| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Available techniques of strengthening concrete structures | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Concrete Jacketing | 2 |
| 1.2.2 Steel Jacketing..... | 3 |
| 1.2.3 Precast concrete Jacketing..... | 5 |
| 1.2.4 Pre-stressed concrete Jacketing..... | 5 |
| 1.2.5 Externally applied Pre-stressed steel..... | 6 |
| 1.2.6 External strengthening using composite materials..... | 7 |
| 1.3 Problem statement | 8 |
| 1.3.1 Failure modes | 8 |
| 1.3.2 De-bonding failure | 8 |
| 1.3.3 De-bonding Delaying | 9 |
| 1.3.4 Design guidelines | 10 |
| 1.4 Scope of the study | 12 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.5.1 Sub objectives | 12 |
| 1.5 Methodology | 13 |
| 1.6 Dissertation outline..... | 14 |
| 1.7 Conclusions | 16 |
| Chapter 2..... | 17 |
| 2 Literature Review..... | 17 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 17 |
| 2.2 Strengthening of structures..... | 17 |
| 2.3 History of usage of FRPs for structural strengthening | 17 |
| 2.4 Present applications of CFRP..... | 18 |
| 2.5 Material behaviour | 19 |
| 2.2.1 FRP / Concrete composite..... | 20 |
| 2.5.1 Concrete | 21 |
| 2.5.2 Reinforcing steel | 23 |
| 2.5.3 Carbon fibre | 24 |
| 2.5.4 Fibre reinforced polymer..... | 26 |
| 2.5.5 Adhesive..... | 31 |
| 2.6 Application techniques | 32 |
| 2.6.1 Basic techniques..... | 32 |
| 2.6.2 Special techniques..... | 33 |
| 2.7 Failure mechanisms | 33 |
| 2.7.1 Classical failure..... | 34 |
| 2.7.2 Premature failure..... | 35 |
| 2.8 Debonding failure..... | 36 |
| 2.8.1 End debonding failure..... | 36 |
| 2.8.2 Mid-span de-bonding failure..... | 36 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| 2.9 | De-bonding delaying techniques | 37 |
| 2.9.1 | Effects of anchorages | 38 |
| 2.9.2 | Different mechanisms of anchorages | 38 |
| 2.10 | Experimental studies..... | 42 |
| 2.10.1 | Bending tests | 43 |
| 2.10.2 | Pullout tests | 45 |
| 2.11 | Theoretical studies | 46 |
| 2.11.1 | Flexural strengthening..... | 46 |
| 2.11.2 | Shear strengthening..... | 47 |
| 2.11.3 | Combined effect of CFRP flexural reinforcement and end wrap anchorage | 48 |
| 2.12 | Conclusions..... | 49 |
| 2.13 | Research needs..... | 49 |
| CHAPTER 3 | | 51 |
| 3 | Experimental Investigation | 51 |
| 3.1 | Experimental programme | 51 |
| 3.1.1 | Test configuration | 51 |
| 3.1.2 | Material properties | 53 |
| 3.1.3 | Preliminary design calculations | 58 |
| 3.1.4 | Specimen Preparation..... | 60 |
| 3.1.5 | Test programme | 65 |
| 3.1.6 | Test setup | 68 |
| 3.2 | Experimental results and analysis | 69 |
| 3.2.1 | Test results | 69 |
| 3.3 | Conclusions | 91 |
| CHAPTER 4 | | 94 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 4 | Theoretical Analysis..... | 94 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 94 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of FRP strengthened concrete beams | 94 |
| 4.2.1 | ACI-440-R design guide | 95 |
| 4.2.2 | FIB-bulletin-14 design guide | 98 |
| 4.2.3 | Method proposed by Li et al. (2013)..... | 102 |
| 4.3 | Conclusions | 107 |
| | chapter 5..... | 109 |
| 5 | Proposed theoretical model..... | 109 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 109 |
| 5.2 | Theory | 109 |
| 5.3 | Proposed model | 110 |
| 5.3.1 | Prediction of flexural capacity gain due to end wraps | 111 |
| 5.3.2 | Comparison of predictions with experimental results..... | 115 |
| 5.4 | Validation of the model | 116 |
| 5.5 | Conclusions | 119 |
| | Chapter 6..... | 120 |
| 6 | Conclusions and recommendations..... | 120 |
| 6.1 | Conclusions | 120 |
| 6.2 | Proposed guidelines..... | 123 |
| 6.3 | Limitations..... | 125 |
| 6.4 | Recommendations | 125 |
| | references | 127 |
| | Appendix A..... | 136 |
| A.1. | Preliminary design calculations..... | 136 |
| A.1.1. | Expected failure load in flexure (BS 8110: 1985 part 11) | 136 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| A.1.2. Expected shear capacity (According to BS 8110: Part1 1: 1985)..... | 139 |
| A.2. Mix design data | 140 |
| Appendix B | 142 |
| B.1. Material test data | 142 |
| B.1.1. Concrete cube strength | 142 |
| B.2. Failure modes of specimens | 147 |
| Appendix C | 150 |
| C.1 Prediction of flexural capacity according to ACI-440-R design guide..... | 150 |
| C.2. Prediction of flexural capacity according to FIB bulletin-14 design guide | 160 |
| C.3. Prediction of flexural capacity according to method proposed by Li et al. (2013)..... | 169 |
| Appendix D | 174 |
| APPENDIX E - List of publications | 178 |
| E.1. Effects of end anchorages on flexural performance of CFRP strengthened Concrete beams | 179 |
| E.2. A Review on Alternative Bonding Techniques to Delay End Debonding of CFRP/Concrete Composites | 181 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.1: Concrete jacketing (Hamara Kuwait commercial division, Viewed December 21 2014) | 3 |
| Figure 1.2: Steel jacketing (Reinforced concrete buildings, Viewed December 28 2014.) | 4 |
| Figure 1.3: Precast concrete jacketing (Dash 2009)..... | 5 |
| Figure 1.4: Strengthening of a rectangular column with pre-stressed steel (Yarandi et al 2004)..... | 6 |
| Figure 1.5: Methodology flow chart | 14 |
| Figure 2.1: Applications of FRP (a) Flexural strengthening of slab (civil construction retrofitting, n.d.), (b) Flexural strengthening of beam (Carbon Fibre Strengthening, n.d.), (c) Shear strengthening of beam (Structural Retrofit, June 2011), (d) Confining of column (Structural Retrofit, June 2011) | 19 |
| Figure 2.2 Internal strain and stress distribution for a rectangular section under flexure at ultimate stage (ACI 440.2R-02)..... | 21 |
| Figure 2.3 : Stress – Strain curve for normal weight concrete (BS 8110) | 22 |
| Figure 2.4 : Stress strain curve for concrete (Madureira and Ávila, 2012)..... | 22 |
| Figure 2.5 : Stress- strain relationship for steel (The mechanical properties of steel, n.d.) | 23 |
| Figure 2.6 : Idealized Stress – Strain curve for steel for design purposes (BS 8110: Part I: 1997: Clause 2.6.2)..... | 24 |
| Figure 2.7 : Fibre directions in composite materials (Sveinsdottir 2012)..... | 26 |
| Figure 2.8 : Uniaxial tension stress-strain diagrams for different unidirectional FRPs and steel. (FIB technical report 2001)..... | 27 |
| Figure 2.9: FRP application techniques | 32 |
| Figure 2.10: Classical failure modes of FRP-strengthened RC beams. (a) FRP rupture; (b) Crushing of compressive concrete; (c) Shear failure; (Smith and Tang, 2002) | 34 |
| Figure 2.11: Premature failure modes of FRP-strengthened RC beams (a) Concrete cover separation; (b) Plate end interfacial debonding; (c) Intermediate crack induced interfacial debonding. (Smith and Tang, 2002) | 35 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.12: Possible debonding failure modes (Aram et. al., 2008) | 37 |
| Figure 2.13: Debonding delaying techniques (a) End wraps, (b) Pin and pan shape anchors (Ceroni et al., 2008), (c) Near surface mount reinforced systems (Lorenzis et al., 2000), (d) Mechanical fasteners (Julien et al., 6 January 2004)..... | 39 |
| Figure 2.14: Application of wire mesh as end anchorage (Qeshta et al. 2014). | 41 |
| Figure 2.15: Configurations of FRP wraps | 42 |
| Figure 2.16: Longitudinal strain in the third (outer) CFRP layer, beam RR3 (Amery and Mahaidy 2006) | 44 |
| Figure 2.17: Longitudinal strain in the third (outer) CFRP layer, beam RR5 (Amery and Mahaidy 2006) | 45 |
| Figure 2.18: Strain vs. distance along laminate: (a) No anchorage (control), (b) anchored with CFRP transverse U-wrap (Mahaidi and Kalfat 2011)..... | 45 |
| Figure 2.19: Bond–slip curves fitted with Popovics equation – anchorage type 2: (a) No anchorage (control), (b) anchored with CFRP transverse U-wrap (Mahaidi and Kalfat 2011) | 46 |
| Figure 3.1: Geometry and reinforcement arrangement of specimens | 51 |
| Figure 3.2 : FRP external reinforcement with end anchorage details | 52 |
| Figure 3.3 : Concrete for specimens | 54 |
| Figure 3.5 : Reinforcement cage of specimens | 55 |
| Figure 3.6: Uni directional CFRP fabric | 56 |
| Figure 3.4: Shear Links (GI 4mm diameter bars) | 55 |
| Figure 3.7: Two part primer | 57 |
| Figure 3.8: Two part epoxy adhesive | 58 |
| Figure 3.9 : strain and stress distributions across the beam. (a) Cross section, (b) Strain distribution, (c) Stress distribution | 59 |
| Figure 3.10: Steel mould for beams | 61 |
| Figure 3.11: Compaction with poker vibrator..... | 61 |
| Figure 3.12: Curing of beams..... | 61 |
| Figure 3.13: A beam after surface preparation..... | 62 |
| Figure 3.14: Application of primer on beam soffits..... | 63 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.15: Procedure of application of CFRP (a) Apply adhesive on CFRP sheet, (b) Apply adhesive on concrete substrate, (c) Remove air gaps using a hard rib roller | 65 |
| Figure 3.16: Load cell and dial gauge | 66 |
| Figure 3.17: Positions of strain gages (a) Bottom face of un-strengthened beam (b) Bottom face of beams without end anchorage (c) Side view of end anchored beams | 67 |
| Figure 3.18: A sample of a strain gauge | 67 |
| Figure 3.19: Schematic diagram of test set up (three point bending test)..... | 68 |
| Figure 3.20: Test set up | 69 |
| Figure 3.21: Flexural failure of specimen C(1)..... | 72 |
| Figure 3.23: Speciman F(2) after failure..... | 73 |
| Figure 3.22: Schematic diagram of crack initiation in specimen F1..... | 73 |
| Figure 3.24: Specimen FA(2) after failure..... | 74 |
| Figure 3.25: CFRP rupture occurred in specimen FA(2)..... | 75 |
| Figure 3.26: Failure of specimen FA2(2)..... | 75 |
| Figure 3.27: Concrete crushing in specimen FA2(2)..... | 76 |
| Figure 3.28: Failure of specimen FA2(1)..... | 77 |
| Figure 3.29: Serviceability failure loads of specimens | 78 |
| Figure 3.30: Load vs. mid span deflection..... | 80 |
| Figure 3.31: Ductility indexes of specimens..... | 81 |
| Figure 3.32: Strain distribution along type C specimens | 82 |
| Figure 3.33: Applied load vs. Micro strain for type C specimens | 82 |
| Figure 3.34: Micro strain vs. Distance curve for type F specimens..... | 83 |
| Figure 3.35: Applied load vs. Micro strain for type F specimens..... | 83 |
| Figure 3.36: Micro strain vs. Distance curve for type FA1 specimens..... | 84 |
| Figure 3.37: Applied load vs. Micro strain for type FA1 specimens..... | 85 |
| Figure 3.38: Micro strain vs. Distance curve for type FA2 specimens..... | 85 |
| Figure 3.39: Applied load vs. Micro strain for type FA2 specimens..... | 86 |
| Figure 3.40: Bond Slip curve for type F specimens..... | 88 |
| Figure 3.41: Bond Slip curve for type FA1 specimens..... | 88 |
| Figure 3.42: Bond Slip curve for type FA2 specimens..... | 89 |
| Figure 3.43: Fracture Energy of specimens | 91 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4.1: Geometry and reinforcement arrangement of test beams | 95 |
| Figure 4.2: Internal strain and stress distribution for a rectangular section under flexure at ultimate stage (ACI 440.2R-02)..... | 96 |
| Figure 4.3: Analysis of cross section for the ultimate limit state in bending: (a) geometry, (b) strain distribution and (c) stress distribution (FIB Bulletin 14). | 100 |
| Figure 4.4: Brace arch model applied for lowstrength concrete beams strengthened with CFRP sheets for flexure and end anchored with U-wraps (Li et al. 2013) | 103 |
| Figure 4.5: Illustration of dimensional variables used in the calculations (Li et al.2013)..... | 105 |
| Figure 5.1 : Illustration of Brace Arch Model | 110 |
| Figure 5.2: Brace Arch model for end anchored beams with inclined end-wraps ... | 111 |
| Figure 5.3: Confinement effect induced by end-wraps | 111 |
| Figure 5.4: Location of point A (a) When $Le > W$, (b) when $Le < W$ | 114 |
| Figure 5.5: Predicted failure load vs. Experimental failure load | 117 |
| Figure B.1: Failure of specimen C(1) | 147 |
| Figure B.2: Failure of specimen C(2) | 147 |
| Figure B.3: Failure of specimen F(1)..... | 148 |
| Figure B.4: Failure of specimen F(2)..... | 148 |
| Figure B.5: Failure of specimen FA1(1) | 148 |
| Figure B.6: Failure of specimen FA1(2)..... | 149 |
| Figure B.7: Failure of specimen FA2(1) | 149 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 2.1: Materials used as fibres for FRP systems and their properties (Meier 1995) | 25 |
| Table 2.2: Mechanical properties of different carbon fibre types (Sveinsdottir 2012) | 25 |
| Table 2.3 : Typical properties of Prefabricated FRP strips and comparison with steel (FIB technical report 2001)..... | 29 |
| Table 2.4 : Mechanical properties of adhesives (Borosnyi, 2002)..... | 32 |
| Table 2.5: Specimen details of tests conducted by Amery and Mahaidy (2006)..... | 43 |
| Table 3.1: Details of test beams | 53 |
| Table 3.2: Properties of reinforcing materials (BASF, MBrace fabric, May 2009) .. | 56 |
| Table 3.3: Properties of primer and saturant (BASF, MBrace specifications) | 57 |
| Table 3.4: Ultimate failure loads..... | 70 |
| Table 3.5: Failure modes of the beams | 71 |
| Table 3.6: Serviceability failure loads and deflections | 78 |
| Table 4.1: Comparison of ACI-440-2R predictions and experimental results..... | 98 |
| Table 4.2: Comparison of FIB-bulletin-14 predictions and experimental results.... | 101 |
| Table 4.3: Comparison of predictions using Li et al.(2013) and experimental results in current study..... | 106 |
| Table 4.4: Comparison of predictions according to different theoretical models.... | 107 |
| Table 5.1 : Theoretical predictions and experimental results | 115 |
| Table 5.2: Comparison of predicted results and actual results..... | 117 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|---|
| ACI | American Concrete Institute |
| AFRP | Aramid Fibre Reinforced Polymer |
| BRE | Building Research Establishment |
| CEB | Comité euro-international du béton |
| CFRP | Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer |
| EBR | Externally Bonded Reinforcement |
| EMI | Electromagnetic Interference |
| FIB | Fédération internationale du béton |
| FIP | Fédération internationale de la précontrainte |
| FRP | Fiber Reinforced Polymer |
| GFRP | Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer |
| GI | Galvanized Iron |
| HIT | High Heat Treatment |
| HM | High Modulus |
| HT | High Tensile |
| IHT | Intermediate Heat Treatment |
| IM | Intermediate Modulus |
| JCI | Japanese concrete institute |
| LHT | Low Heat Treatment |
| NSMR | Near Surface Mount Reinforcement |
| RC | Reinforced concrete |
| SHT | Super Heat Tensile |
| UHM | Ultra High Modulus |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| Symbol | Description | Units |
|-----------|---|----------------------|
| A_f | $n t_f w_f$, area of FRP external reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| A_{fv} | area of FRP shear reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| A_s | area of non pre-stressed steel reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| a_s | cover to steel tension reinforcement | |
| a_u | effective bond length | |
| A_{sv} | provided area of shear reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| A_{s1} | area of tensile steel reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| A_{s2} | area of compressive steel reinforcement | [mm ²] |
| b | width of beam | [mm] |
| b_f | width of the FRP strip | [mm] |
| b_w | minimum width of cross section over the effective depth | [mm] |
| c | distance from extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis | [mm] |
| C_1 | Factors obtained through calibration of test results (for CFRP strips, the value is 0.64) | |
| C_2 | Factors obtained through calibration of test results (for CFRP strips, the value is 2) | |
| d | effective depth of the member | [mm] |
| d_f | depth of FRP shear reinforcement | [mm] |
| d_2 | distance from centroid of compressive steel to extreme compressive fibre | [mm] |
| E_f | modulus of elasticity of FRP | [N/mm ²] |
| E_{fu} | elastic modulus of FRP in the principal fibre orientation | [N/mm ²] |
| E_{s2} | modulus of elasticity of steel | [N/mm ²] |
| f_c | prism compressive strength of concrete | [N/mm ²] |
| f_{ctm} | mean value of the concrete tensile strength | [N/mm ²] |
| f_{cu} | characteristic strength of concrete | [N/mm ²] |

| | | |
|--------------|---|----------------------|
| F_d | tensile force before the debonding of CFRP sheets | |
| f_f | stress level in the FRP reinforcement | [N/mm ²] |
| f_{fe} | effective stress in the FRP | [N/mm ²] |
| f_s | stress in non pre-stressed steel reinforcement | [N/mm ²] |
| F_t | force in main tension reinforcement | [N] |
| F_u | effective shear force | [N] |
| f_y | characteristic strength of main reinforcement | [N/mm ²] |
| f_{yd} | design value of the steel yield strength | [N/mm ²] |
| f_{yv} | characteristic strength of shear reinforcement | [N/mm ²] |
| G_f | interfacial fracture energy | [Nmm] |
| h | overall thickness of a member | [mm] |
| k_b | geometry factor | |
| k_c | factor accounting for the state of compaction of concrete | |
| l | length of specimen | [mm] |
| $l_{b,max}$ | maximum anchorable length | [mm] |
| L_e | active bond length of FRP laminate | [mm] |
| L_{ue} | effective bond length of FRP shear wraps | [mm] |
| M | expected maximum moment | [N mm] |
| M_n | nominal moment strength | [N mm] |
| M_{Rd} | resisting design moment | |
| $N_{fa,max}$ | maximum anchorable FRP force in tensile steel reinforcement | |
| P | externally applied force | [N] |
| s_f | spacing FRP shear reinforcing | |
| t_f | thickness of FRP | |
| V_f | nominal shear strength provided by FRP stirrups | |
| W | applied external point load | [N] |
| w | uniformly distributed self-weight of concrete element | [N/mm] |
| x | depth of the compression zone | |
| α | reduction factor, (approximately equal to 0.9) | |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| α | angle between principal fibre orientation and longitudinal axis of member |
| β_1 | ratio of the depth of the equivalent rectangular stress block to the depth of the neutral axis |
| δ_f | interfacial slip |
| δ_G | stress block centroid coefficient |
| ε_f | FRP strain |
| $\varepsilon_{fd,e}$ | design value of effective FRP strain |
| ε_{s2} | compressive steel strain |
| θ | angle of diagonal crack with respect to the member axis |
| τ_f | interfacial shear stress at failure |
| τ_{max} | maximum interfacial shear stress |
| φ_f | additional FRP strength-reduction factor |
| | a factor depends on δ_f , τ_f , elastic modulus of FRP and |
| λ | thickness of FRP |