

**FEASIBILITY STUDY ON POLYURATHENE SANDWICH  
PANEL FOR DOMESTIC CONSTRUCTION**

**W.B.Fernando**

**(118610T)**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

**Degree of Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Designs**

**Department of Civil Engineering**

**University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka**

**March 2016**

# **FEASIBILITY STUDY ON POLYURATHENE SANDWICH PANEL FOR DOMESTIC CONSTRUCTION**

**Warnakulasuriya Binarasiri Fernando**

**(118610T)**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

**Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master  
of Engineering in Structural Engineering Designs**

**Department of Civil Engineering**

**University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka**

**March 2016**

## DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by other person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka <sup>Date</sup>  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Designs under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor

Date

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to all those who provided me the possibility to complete this thesis. A special gratitude with respect I give to my supervisor Dr. K.Baskaran, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, whose contribution in stimulating suggestions, encouragement, their gratitude and consent on supervision as well as for providing necessary information regarding the project.

I am also grateful to the course coordinator Dr.C.S.Lewangamage, teachers and the head of department of Civil Engineering at University of Moratuwa for all kind of support and help.

I would also gratitude to the staff of Structural laboratory Mrs Cooray, Mr. Nalinda, Mr. Leenus and Mr. Piyal for their needful help on material testing in September 2012.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Also I sincerely thank the Library staff of Sri Lanka Standard Institution for providing me reading material on American Standard Institution publications.

Finally my sincere gratitude goes to my family for their understanding and supports extended to me in completing this project successfully. I am in the opinion that the completion of this report would have been a nightmare without any of the support mentioned above.

## Abstract

The place to live is the third need of mankind. Everybody try to build up a suitable mean to meet their own requirements. The Sri Lankan need always changes drastically after two decades from initial construction as the social and economical changes in the society. The use of non-renewable material for short period may degrade the scarce resources. And also generate ample amount of green house gasses, which lead the global warming. Therefore in time to come, we have to switch to renewable material or reusing material. There are some materials, those are produced from garbage. This creates regenerative products on earth resource extraction circle. The polyurethane sandwich panel is a reusing material which is produced from garbage. This thesis is on feasibility study on polyurethane sandwich panel for domestic constructions. The product establishment is a derivation as a regenerative product to meet the human need of this scenario.

Additionally there is a shortage of skilled labour in the country. And the cost of labour for domestic construction is a considerable portion. The time consumed for domestic construction is more than months. Therefore by introduction of polyurethane sandwich panels for domestic constructions may resolve the major problems in the domestic construction field in the country.

The aim of this thesis is to introduce an engineered solution from polyurethane sandwich panel to aforesaid problems. The only drawback is the less fire rating. But currently produced materials meet the legislative and regulatory stipulations. The science and technology on this field is to be improved in time to come.

The sandwich panels are having very high stiffness compared to weight and a cost effective product. Polyurethane sandwich panel material may last more than two decades without much maintenance.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

The polyurethane sandwich panels are used for the construction of walls and ceiling on the cold room constructions as a good thermal barrier. This thesis is to see the validity on cold room construction material for the domestic constructions.

The material properties changes from supplier to supplier. Therefore it is very difficult to adopt the standard practice in design. Even though “European Recommendations for Sandwich Panels Part 1; Design” [14] has released on year 2000. The publication has been criticized by various researches such as Narayan Pokharel and Mahen Mahendran on their publication to “Thin Walled Structures” [13]. In addition the both published documents’ equation ranges on “European Recommendations for Sandwich Panels Part 1; Design” [14] and “Thin Walled Structures” [13] do not comply with the encountered polyurethane insulative sandwich panel. Therefore the serviceability limit published by “European Recommendations for Sandwich Panels Part 1; Design” [14] has been incorporated for design serviceability limit checking.

This thesis is on feasibility study of sandwich material for house constructions by means of walls, slabs and roofs. The typical two-story house and the two story cluster houses are modelled to see the engineering viability under standard loadings. The outcome revealed that the construction up to two stories is safe. Therefore further studies in this stream shall be followed in future. As per the project outcome on the clause 6.6; it reveals that the domestic constructions up to two stories may be possible under some form of local capacity enhancement methods adapted to high stresses applied locations.

The economical analysis is also made in Chapter five. Accordingly the cost on individual houses and cluster houses do not change and it revealed that there is more than 41% saving compared to the conventional constructions.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## CONTENT

Declaration.....	i
Acknowledgement.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Content .....	v
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Tables .....	xv
List of Graphs .....	xvi
Notations.....	xvii
Greek Symbols.....	xvii
Latin Symbols .....	xviii
Chapter 1.....	1
Polyurethane sandwich panel for domestic construction .....	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Problems.....	2
1.3. Objective & Boundaries.....	3
1.4. Scopes .....	4
1.5. Methodologies.....	4
1.6. Outline of the thesis.....	5
Chapter 2.0.....	7
Literature review .....	7
2.1. History on Sandwich structures.....	7
2.1.1. Sandwich material structures.....	8
2.2. Scientist on modern evolutions .....	10
2.3 Modern Evolution.....	16
2.3.1 Structurally Insulated Panel and its properties .....	17
2.3.1.1. Thermal Performance .....	22
2.3.1.2. Fire Safety .....	22

2.4. Structural Design and Construction.....	22
2.5. Beam theory on sandwich panels .....	24
2.6. Skin failure .....	27
2.6.1. Face Yielding.....	28
2.6.2. Intra cell Dimpling .....	28
2.6.3. Face Wrinkling .....	29
2.7. Core failure.....	30
2.7.1. Core shear.....	30
2.7.2. Local indentation .....	31
2.8 Honeycomb mechanics .....	32
2.9 Experimental evaluation of Honeycomb Mechanics and failure mode map .....	34
2.10. The design standards.....	36
2.11. Local buckling of the PUR SIP .....	37
2.12. Material Properties.....	37
2.13. Sandwich panels with openings.....	39
2.14. Material compliances for Service Life.....	40
2.15. Young's modulus and deflection.....	40
2.16. Sri Lankan guideline on housing construction. ....	42
2.16.1. Foundation.....	43
2.16.1.1. Essential requirements.....	43
2.16.1.2. Desirable Features. ....	43
2.16.2. Walls .....	44
2.16.2.1. Desirable Features .....	44
2.16.2.2. Additional Desirable features. ....	45
2.16.3. Roof.....	45
2.16.3.1. Essential requirements.....	45
2.16.3.2. Desirable features. ....	47
2.17 Suggested actions .....	47
Chapter 3.....	48





Experimental Evaluation towards finite element modelling .....	48
3.1 Introduction .....	48
3.2. The elastic behaviour of sandwich panels.....	48
3.2.1 Stress and strain .....	48
3.2.2. Hooke’s law .....	52
3.2.3. Poisson’s ratio.....	52
3.2.4. Thermal expansion.....	53
3.2.5. Shear modulus or modulus of rigidity.....	53
3.2.6. Relation among elastic constants .....	53
3.3. Laboratory Test performances.....	54
3.3.1. Shear strength on polyurethane sandwich panel.....	54
3.3.1.1. Testing of Specimen no 1 .....	55
3.3.1.2. Testing of Specimen no 2 .....	57
3.3.1.3. Testing of Specimen no 3 .....	59
3.3.2. Young’s modulus of sandwich panel under flexural behavior.....	62
3.3.2.1. Testing of Specimen no 4 .....	62
3.3.2.2. Testing of Specimen no 5.....	65
3.3.2.3. Testing of Specimen no 6.....	67
3.3.3. Elastic compressive strength .....	70
3.3.3.1. Testing of Specimen no 7.....	70
3.3.3.2. Testing of Specimen no 8.....	71
3.3.3.3. Testing of Specimen no 9.....	72
3.3.4. Tensile load carrying capacity of sandwich material.....	74
3.3.4.1. Testing of sandwich face material .....	74
3.3.4.1.1. Testing of Specimen no 10.....	75
3.3.4.1.1. Testing of Specimen no 11 .....	78
3.4 Results for structural analysis .....	82
3.5 Validation of derived results .....	82
3.5.1. Validation of Young’s modulus.....	82
3.5.1.1. Instance no 1.....	82
3.5.1.1. Instance no 2.....	83

3.6 The technical parameters for structural analysis .....	83
Chapter-4 .....	84
Structural Analysis .....	84
4.1. General Introduction .....	84
4.2. Structural Modelling .....	84
4.2.1. Model of two story housing unit.....	86
4.2.1.1. Ground floor plan .....	86
4.2.1.2. Upper floor plan .....	87
4.2.2. Model of Two story cluster dwelling unit.....	87
4.2.2.1 Typical plan of dwelling unit .....	88
4.2.2.2. The typical floor plan for 4 numbers of cluster dwelling units .....	89
4.3. Design loads .....	90
4.3.1. Typical loads.....	90
4.3.2. Wind load calculations .....	91
4.3.2.1. Basic wind speed .....	91
4.3.2.2. Load calculations.....	91
4.3.2.3. Calculations of pressure for walls and roofs.....	92
4.3.2.4. For cluster dwelling flat walls and roof.....	93
4.4. Modelling of two story house.....	94
4.4.1. Load assignments.....	94
4.4.1.1. Loading for Roof .....	94
4.4.1.2. Wind word direction roof load assignment .....	95
4.4.1.3. Loading for Walls.....	95
4.4.1.4. Loading for Slab .....	95
4.4.2. Load combinations.....	96
4.4.2.1. Combination 1 .....	96
4.4.2.2. Load combination 2.....	96
4.4.2.3. Load Combination 3 .....	96
4.4.3.1. Slab element load assignment.....	96
4.5. Verification of SAP 2000 finite element analysis report for two story house .....	97
4.5.1. Manual calculation of surface stress .....	97

4.5.1.1. Elastic Analysis .....	97
4.5.1.2. Collapse mechanism analysis .....	100
4.5.2. SAP 2000 finite element analysis of two story house.....	103
4.5.2.1. Surface stresses for Bed room number 5, floor slab – in main direction.....	103
4.5.2.2. Surface stresses for Bed room number 5, floor slab – in secondary direction	104
4.5.3. Conclusion on SAP 2000 analysis and Manual analysis .....	105
4.6. Analysed results of two story house .....	106
4.6.1. Results for load combination 1 .....	106
4.6.1.1. Shell stresses for load combination 1 .....	106
4.6.1.2. Shear stresses for load combination 1 .....	107
4.6.2. Results for load combination 2 .....	108
4.6.2.1. Shell stresses for load combination 2 .....	108
4.6.2.2. Shear stresses for load combination 2 .....	109
4.6.3. Results for load combination 3 .....	110
4.6.3.1. Shell stresses for load combination 3 .....	110
4.6.3.2. Shear stresses for load combination 3 .....	111
4.6.4. Deflection check .....	112
4.6.4.1. Deflection check against lateral loads .....	112
4.6.4.2. Deflection check against short term loading .....	113
4.6.4.3. Deflection check against long term loading .....	114
4.7. Conclusions on two story house modelling and analysis results with material capacities.....	115
4.8. Modelling of two story cluster houses.....	116
4.8.1. Load assignments.....	116
4.8.1.1. Loading for Roof slab.....	116
4.8.1.2. Loading for Walls.....	117
4.8.1.4. Loading for Slab .....	117
4.8.2. Load combinations.....	118
4.8.2.1. Combination 1 .....	118
4.8.2.2. Load combination 2 .....	118
4.8.2.3. Load Combination 3 .....	118
4.8.3. Slab element load assignment.....	119



4.9.Verification of SAP 2000 finite element analysis report for cluster house model	120
4.9.1. Manual calculation of surface stress .....	120
4.9.1.1. Elastic Analysis .....	120
4.9.1.2. Collapse mechanism analysis .....	123
As per Clause 3.5.3.4[25]; .....	123
4.9.2. SAP 2000 finite element analysis of two story house.....	125
4.9.2.1. Surface stresses for Bed room number 2, floor slab – in main direction.....	125
4.9.2.2. Surface stresses for Bed room number 2, floor slab – in secondary direction	126
4.9.3. Conclusion on SAP 2000 analysis and Manual analysis .....	127
4.10. Analysed results of two story cluster houses .....	128
4.10.1. Shell stresses for load combination 1 .....	128
4.10.2. Shear stresses for load combination 1 .....	129
4.10.3. Shell stresses for load combination 2.....	130
4.10.4. Shear stresses for load combination 2.....	131
4.10.5. Shell stresses for load combination 3.....	132
4.10.6. Shear stresses for load combination 3.....	133
4.10.7. Deflection Check.....	134
4.10.7.1.Overall deflection check.....	134
4.10.7.2. Deflection due to permanent loading.....	135
4.10.7.3. Deflection due to short term loading .....	136
4.10.7.4.Conclusions on deflection check .....	137
4.11. Conclusions on two story cluster dwelling unit model analysis results .....	138
4.12. Conclusions on house analysis. ....	139
Chapter-5 .....	142
Cost Analysis .....	142
5.1. General Introduction.....	142
5.2. Conceptual design.....	142
5.3. Basic cost elements for analysis .....	143
5.4. Costing for two story housing unit .....	144
5.5. Costing for two story cluster housing units .....	145

5.6. Costing conclusions .....	146
Chapter-6 .....	147
Outline of the Project .....	147
6.1. General Introduction .....	147
6.2. Engineering validation .....	148
6.3. Economic validations .....	149
6.4 Time Validation .....	150
6.5. Quality validations .....	150
6.6. Polyurethane sandwich material validation for domestic constructions in Sri Lanka .....	151
Bibliography .....	152



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-Alovera plant .....	8
Figure 2-The microscopic view of the tree leaf.....	9
Figure 3- The enlarged view of a section of a bird wing .....	9
Figure 4-Section of a human skull .....	10
Figure 5-The Roman bridges over the river Rhine and Danube.....	11
Figure 6-Palladio’s drawing in the 1556 edition of Vitruvius book .....	11
Figure 7-First use of the relationship for the hollow sections, $I = b (h^3-h_1^3) / 12$ .....	12
Figure 8- Octave Chanute’s flying machine.....	13
Figure 9-Junkers honeycomb structure .....	13
Figure 10-Junkers passenger plane F13.....	14
Figure 11-The expanded paper honeycombs in plaster boards.....	14
Figure 12-Steel Sandwich core panel production.....	15
Figure 13- Simply supported testing arrangement on three points loading.....	24
Figure 14-Skin failure modes in the SIP .....	27
Figure 15- The failure modes in the core .....	30
Figure 16- Simply supported centre point loading arrangement .....	41
Figure 17-Explanatory figure on Elastic behaviour.....	49
Figure 18-Test set up for Specimen no 1.....	55
Figure 19-Test set up for Specimen no 2.....	57
Figure 20-Shear failure mode on Specimen no 3.....	59
Figure 21-Test set up of Specimen no 4.....	63
Figure 22-Test set up on Specimen no 5 .....	65
Figure 23- Testing of Specimen no 6.....	68

Figure 24-Test set up for Specimen no 7.....	70
Figure 25- Test set up for Specimen no 8.....	71
Figure 26-Testing in progress of Specimen no 9. ....	72
Figure 27-Sandwich panel face material samples before testing.....	74
Figure 28- Test set up for Specimen no 10.....	75
Figure 29-Test set up of Specimen no 11.....	78
Figure 30- Ground floor plan of two story housing unit. ....	86
Figure 31-Upper floor plan of two story housing unit. ....	87
Figure 32-Typical floor plan of a dwelling unit.....	88
Figure 33-Typical floor plan of 4 nos of cluster dwelling units. ....	89
Figure 34-Three dimensional model of two story house.....	94
Figure 35-Wind-ward direction roof element load assignment.....	95
Figure 36-Slab element load assignment.....	96
Figure 37- Surface stresses of first floor slab in main direction. ....	103
Figure 38-Surface stresses of first floor slab in secondary direction.....	104
Figure 39-Shell stresses for load combination 1.....	106
Figure 40-Shear stresses for load combination 1.....	107
Figure 41-Shell stresses for load combination 2.....	108
Figure 42- Shear stresses for load combination 2.....	109
Figure 43-Shell stresses for load combination 3.....	110
Figure 44-Shear stresses for load combination 3.....	111
Figure 45-Deflection check against lateral loading. ....	112
Figure 46- Deflection check against short term loading .....	113
Figure 47-Deflection check against long term loading. ....	114
Figure 48-Model of cluster houses (8 nos).....	116
Figure 49-Slab element's load assignment.....	119
Figure 50- Surface stresses of first floor slab in main direction. ....	125
Figure 51-Surface stresses of first floor slab in secondary direction. ....	126

Figure 52-Shell stresses for load combination 1 of cluster houses. ....	128
Figure 53-Shear stresses for load combination 1 of cluster houses. ....	129
Figure 54-Shell stresses for load combination 2 on cluster houses. ....	130
Figure 55-Shear stresses for load combination 2 of cluster houses. ....	131
Figure 56- Shell stresses for load combination 3.....	132
Figure 57-Shear stresses for load combination 3 on cluster houses. ....	133
Figure 58-Overall deflection check against lateral load.....	134
Figure 59- Deflection due to permanent loading .....	135
Figure 60-Deflection due to short term loading.....	136



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-Structural efficiency of sandwich panel with core thickness [1] .....	16
Table 2- Sheathing types benefits and drawbacks [12].....	18
Table 3-Form core types benefits and drawbacks [12] .....	20
Table 4-Foam technical data [12] .....	21
Table 5-Typical SIP with whole wall R-values [12].....	22
Table 6 - Summary of Failure criteria.....	34
Table 7-Test results of Specimen no 1. ....	56
Table 8- Test results of Specimen no 2. ....	58
Table 9-Test results of Specimen no 3. ....	60
Table 10-Test results of Specimen no 4. ....	63
Table 11-Test results of Specimen no 5. ....	66
Table 12- Test results of Specimen no.6. ....	68
Table 13-Test results of Specimen no 7. ....	71
Table 14-Test results of Specimen no 8. ....	72
Table 15-Test results of Specimen no 9. ....	73
Table 16-Test results of Specimen no 10. ....	76
Table 17-Test results of Specimen no 11 .....	79



## LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph 1-Sandwich material failure criteria .....	35
Graph 2-Typical Stress versus Strain graph. ....	50
Graph 3-Shear stress versus Central deflection for sandwich panel.....	61
Graph 4-Deflection versus Force graph for Specimen no 4 .....	64
Graph 5-Deflection versus Force graph for Specimen number 5. ....	66
Graph 6-Deflection versus Force graph for Specimen number 6. ....	69
Graph 7-Compressive stress versus Deformation.....	73
Graph 8-Stress versus Strain graph for sandwich skin material. ....	81



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## NOTATIONS

### Greek Symbols

$\alpha$	-	Honeycomb cell size
$\delta$	-	Length of contact between the central roller and the top skin or Length of top distributed-load
$\nu_{cxz}$	-	Out of plane honeycomb Poisson's ratio
$\nu_{fxy}$	-	Poisson's ratio of the skin material
$\rho_c$	-	Honeycomb core density
$\rho_s$	-	Honeycomb constituent material density
$\sigma$	-	Out of plane stress
$\sigma_{cc}$	-	Out of plane compressive strength of the honeycomb core
$\sigma_{fi}$	-	In plane compressive stress for intracellular buckling of the skin
$\sigma_{fw}$	-	In plane wrinkling strength of the skin
$\sigma_{fy}$	-	In plane yield strength of the skin
$\sigma_{txx}, \sigma_{txx i}$	-	In plane normal stress in the skin
$\sigma_{txx}$	-	Out of plane normal stresses in the core
$\tau_{31}, \tau_{32}$	-	Out of plane shear strength of the honeycomb for transverse and longitudinal directions.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## Latin Symbols

$A_t, A_b$	-	In plane rigidity of top and bottom skin
$b$	-	Beam width
$c$	-	Core thickness
$D$	-	Flexural stiffness of the beam
$D_t, D_b$	-	Flexural rigidity of top and bottom skin
$d$	-	Distance between the mid planes of top and bottom skin
$E_c$ or $E_3$	-	Out of plane Young's modulus of the honeycomb core
$E_s$	-	In plane Young's modulus of the honeycomb core in the x direction.
$G_{31}, G_{32}$	-	Out of plane shear module of the honeycomb for transverse and Longitudinal ribbon direction respectively
$G_s$	-	Shear modulus of the honeycombs solid material
$I$	-	Second moment of area of the sandwich beam
$I_f$	-	Second moment of area of the skins with respect to their own Centroidal
$L$	-	Span of the sandwich beam
$M$	-	Maximum beam bending moment
$t, (t_t, t_b)$	-	Skin thickness (top/ bottom)
$u_t, u_b$	-	In plane centroidal displacements of top or bottom skin
$v$	-	Base width of triangular pressure elements
$w$	-	Load per unit width
$y$	-	Leaver arm to the point considered



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Note - Other symbols not mentioned are defined in the text or figure whenever they appear.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)