

DEVELOPING A NATURAL ACOUSTIC BARRIER FOR URBAN AREAS

M.A.D.Senanayake

(148047 B)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Department of civil engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2016

DEVELOPING A NATURAL ACOUSTIC BARRIER FOR URBAN AREAS

by

M.A.D Senanayake

(148047 B)

Supervised by

Dr.R.U.Halwatura



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mru.ac.lk

This Thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2016

DECLARATION PAGE OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:.....

(M.A.D Senanayake)

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/
Dissertation under my supervision



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Signature of the supervisor:.....

Date:.....

Dr.R.U.Halwatura

ABSTRACT

Increasing noise pollution has severally effected the urban areas where noise generated by traffic is considered as the major noise polluter. As a solution to the noise problem using noise barriers is an approach proven to be effective but due to land scarcity and social needs in urban areas applying noise barrier solution is challenging. Using a natural barrier as a noise barrier is a promising approach. Natural barriers are large or small closely grown tree belts, vegetation walls, natural stone structures, tree fences etc. Natural barriers, have emerged as the new trend to address problems in urban areas and has developed into vertical gardening, green roofs and hybrid natural barriers presently. The use of natural barriers as a solution is highly dependent on the human perception.

The research was carried out to identify the human perception and human acceptance of natural barriers in Sri Lankan context and find out the level of acoustic disturbance people are facing. Focusing urban and suburb areas a quantitative approach was adopted via a questionnaire survey and actual sound measurements were taken in the western province of Sri Lanka. Secondly field testing was carried out to evaluate the performance of existing natural barriers to identify their acoustic performance. Closely grown tree belts which assumes a cuboid shape were used as test barriers. Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) models Artificial Neural Network (ANN) models were used to evaluate the performance of natural barriers. Cuboid shape natural barrier with 85% of green cover or more and overall height closer to 2 meters or more has proven to be an effective acoustic barrier for urban areas.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my loving parents and Mrs Sandani Molligoda



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to convey my deepest gratitude to my research supervisor Dr. R.U.Halwatura¹ for encouragement, supervision and valuable advice throughout the completion of this research. Which added a great deal of experience and value in to my life. My heartiest acknowledgement goes to Dr M.W Jayaweera¹ (co-supervisor) and Dr Upul Subasinghe² are for their kindly guidance and help in completing the research

The help and guidance given by Dr.J.G Samarawikrama³ and Dr. H.K.G. Punchihewa⁵ is highly appreciated. I would like to extend my gratitude to Civil Engineering Department of University of Moratuwa for giving me this valuable opportunity in extending my knowledge.

The help support given by my colleagues, associates and individuals mentioned below should be highly acknowledged.

Mr, M.P.K.C Nandapala,

Mr. Savinda Dilsara Senevirathne,

Miss Dulshani Wickramasekara.

Mrs Sanadani Molligoda

I would also like to convey my sincere gratitude to my loving parents for being a strength and encouragement in making this research a success.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

M.A.D.Senanayake,
Department of civil engineering,
University of Moratuwa,
Moratuwa,
Sri Lanka.

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa¹
Forestry and Environmental Science Department, University of Sri Jayawardenapura. ²
Department of Electronic & Telecommunication Engineering, University of Moratuwa³
Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa⁴

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration page of the candidate & supervisor.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Abbreviations.....	xiii
List of Symbols	xiv
THESIS	
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Noise and sound	2
1.3. Approach of solution of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.....	3
1.4. Natural barriers.....	4
1.5. Scope of the research.....	4
1.6. Objectives of the research.....	4
1.7. Natural barrier type.....	5
2. Literature Review	6
2.1. Noise related problems and the causes.....	6
2.2. Public perception on noise pollution and natural barriers	8
2.3. Artificial noise barriers and materials	11
2.4. Natural noise barriers.....	13
2.5. Standards and guidelines relevant to the research.	16
3. Methodology.....	20
3.1. Methodology for questioner survey.	20
3.2. Methodology for investigation of actual noise levels.....	21
3.3. Methodology for testing sound insulation performance of a noise barrier	

4.	Questionnaire Survey.....	24
4.1.	Objectives.....	24
4.2.	Evaluation Criterion For Questionnaire Survey	24
4.3.	Respondents & study area.....	25
5.	Human Perception on Existing Noise Levels.	27
6.	Human Perception on Natural barriers.....	31
6.1.	Results on public perception on natural barriers already applied on urban roads 34	
7.	Results From Actual Noise Levels During Peak Hours.....	37
7.1.	Selection of locations.....	37
7.2.	Data collection duration.....	37
7.3.	Composition of noise at each location in 1:1 octave band	37
8.	Field Testing of Natural Barriers	40
8.1.	Equipment	40
8.2.	Assumptions	40
8.3.	Limitations and Remedies Taken.....	41
9.	Field Testing Data.....	42
10.	Analysis and Results	45
10.1.	Multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis	45
10.2.	Artificial neural networks (ANN)	49
10.3.	Neural network architecture	57
11.	Multiplelinear Regression Results.....	59
11.2.	Box and whisker plots.....	64
11.3.	Simple linear regression analysis	70
11.4.	Summary of simple linear regression models.....	77
11.5.	Multiple linear regression models (MLR)	78
11.6.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-3) using X1,X2 and X3.	81
11.7.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-2a) using X1 and X3	84
11.8.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-3a) using X1,X3 and X4	87
11.9.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-5) using X1,X3,X4,X5.and X6 90	
11.10.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-4) using X1,X3,X4 and X6. .94	



11.11.	Multiple linear regression model (MLR-4A) using X1,X3,X4 and X598	
11.12.	Summary of MLR models.....	101
12.	Artificial Neural Network Analysis Results	103
12.1.	Evaluation of performance of ANN	103
12.2.	Annotation for ANN models	103
12.3.	ANN3 models	104
12.4.	ANN4 models	112
12.5.	ANN6 models	116
12.6.	ANN6 models with identity function as output layer activation function. 122	
12.7.	Comparison of ANN results	127
13.	Comparison of actual and predicted results from various models.....	135
14.	Designing tree barriers for noise attenuation	136
14.1.	Acoustic performance evaluation of proposed trail barriers using ANN6 model	140
14.2.	Energy reduction evaluation for drop of decibel	142
15.	Results from testing artificial barriers for acoustic performance	143
16.	Discussion.....	145
16.1.	Questionnaire survey results and actual noise measurements in urban areas.	145
16.2.	Field testing results and findings on natural barrier performance.....	149
16.3.	Natural barrier design for urban areas.....	150
17.	Conclusion	152
18.	Future developments & possibilities	154
19.	Reference List	155
20.	Appendices.....	164
Appendix A.	165
Appendix B	166
Appendix C	168
Appendix D	170
Index.....	171

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1. Sound level measuring of ambient noise.....	22
Figure 3-2. Sound level measurement with the influence of barrier	22
Figure 3-3. Sound level measurement without the influence of barrier	23
Figure 4-1. Approximate distance to respondents' residents from nearest city.	26
Figure 5-1. Ratings for sound levels & natural barriers.....	27
Figure 5-2. Starting time stamp of daily high sound levels	28
Figure 5-3. Hourly fluctuation by Mode at Trip destinations in Western Province*.....	28
Figure 5-4. Number of daily sound disturbing hours experienced individually.....	29
Figure 5-5. Positive & negative responses for sound disturbance complaints at nearest city	29
Figure 5-6. Summarized reasons for excessive noise.	30
Figure 5-7. Positive and negative responses for in need of a solution for excessive noise problem.	30
Figure 6-1. Preference for natural barriers.....	31
Figure 6-2. Cumulative percentage of experience rating.	31
Figure 6-3. Cumulative percentage of security level of natural boundary walls	32
Figure 6-4. Cumulative percentage of security level of artificial boundary walls	32
Figure 6-5. Height preference for a boundary walls	33
Figure 6-6. Cumulative percentage for aesthetic rating of natural barriers.....	33
Figure 6-7. Preferred boundary wall types	34
Figure 6-8. Rating for street tree planation in urban areas.....	35
Figure 6-9. Cumulative percentage of suitability rating of street plantations	35
Figure 6-10. Cumulative percentage of disturbance to motorists by street plantations.....	36
Figure 6-11. Cumulative percentage for disturbance to pedestrians by street plantation.....	36
Figure 6-12. Cumulative percentage rating for aesthetic appeal of street plantations.....	36
Figure 7-1. Composition of noise in 1 octave bands at some urban locations during peak hours.....	38
Figure 10-1 Neuron	49
Figure 10-2 Neural network with one hidden layer	50
Figure 10-3 Neural network model with two hidden layers.....	50
Figure 10-4 Figure 10-5 Single perceptron and how it processes.....	51
Figure 10-6 Detail explanation of process in a perceptron	51
Figure 11-1 Distribution of Dependent variable	63
Figure 11-2. Box and whisker plot for X1 variable	64
Figure 11-3 Box and whisker plot for X2 variable	65
Figure 11-4 Box and whisker plot for X3 variable	66
Figure 11-5 Box and whisker plot for X4 variable	67
Figure 11-6 Box and whisker plot for X5 variable	68
Figure 11-7 Box and whisker plot for X6 variable	69
Figure 11-8 Noise reduction Vs height, Case I.....	70
Figure 11-9 Noise reduction Vs height, case II	70
Figure 11-10 Noise reduction Vs height, case III	71
Figure 11-11 Noise reduction Vs thickness, Case I.....	71
Figure 11-12 Noise reduction Vs thickness, case II.....	72
Figure 11-13 Noise reduction Vs thickness, case III	72
Figure 11-14 Noise reduction Vs green cover, I.....	73
Figure 11-15 Noise reduction Vs green cover, case II.....	73
Figure 11-16 Noise reduction Vs green cover, case III	74
Figure 11-17 Noise reduction Vs length, case I.....	74

Figure 11-18 Noise reduction Vs length, case II	75
Figure 11-19 Noise reduction Vs Length, case III.....	75
Figure 11-20 Noise Reduction Vs product of green cover & height, case I.....	76
Figure 11-21 Noise Reduction Vs product of green cover & height case II	76
Figure 11-22 Noise Reduction Vs product of green cover & height, case III	77
Figure 11-23. Distribution of residuals of MLR-2.....	80
Figure 11-24 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-2	80
Figure 11-25. Distribution of residuals of MLR-3.....	82
Figure 11-26. Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-3	82
Figure 11-27 Distribution of residuals of MLR-2A.....	85
Figure 11-28 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-2A	85
Figure 11-29 Distribution of residuals of MLR-3A.....	88
Figure 11-30 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-3A	88
Figure 11-31 Distribution of residuals of MLR-5.....	91
Figure 11-32 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-5	91
Figure 11-33 Distribution of residuals of MLR-4.....	95
Figure 11-34 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-4	95
Figure 11-35 Distribution of residuals of MLR-4A.....	99
Figure 11-36 Distribution of predicted values and expected values of MLR-4A	99
Figure 12-1. Residual plot of ANN4 ON L2 S8-MLP-c.....	128
Figure 12-2. Residual plot of ANN6 BT L2 S6S3-MLP-c	129
Figure 12-3 Residual plot of ANN6 BT S2 S6S4-MLP-c	129
Figure 12-4 Residual plot of ANN6 BT10 L2 S12S6-MLP-c	130
Figure 12-5 Residual plot of ANN6 BT L2 S12S8-MLP-c	130
Figure 12-6 Residual plot of ANN6 BT L1 S6 ID-MLP-g	131
Figure 12-7 Residual plot of ANN6 BT L1 S9 ID-MLP-g	131
Figure 12-8 Residual plot of ANN6 BT10 L2 S6S3 ID-MLP-g	132
Figure 12-9 Residual plot of ANN6 BT10 L2 S12S6 ID-MLP-e	132
Figure 13-1 Comparison of models results with actual	135
Figure 14-1 . Performance of trail tree barriers	136
Figure 14-2. Performance evaluation of trail barrier 1-36	140
Figure 14-3 Performance evaluation of trail barrier 37-72	141
Figure 15-1 Noise Reduction from artificial barriers.....	144

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Permissible Noise Levels According to Sri Lankan Regulations	2
Table 1-2. Allowable vehicular horn noise levels in Sri Lanka	2
Table 2-1. Safe exposure limits according to NIOSH.....	17
Table 3-1. Rating Scale	21
Table 4-1. Population details of Western province 2012.....	25
Table 5-1. Percentage of responses indicating moderate to high sound disturbance according to respondents distance from the main city	27
Table 7-1. Average noise levels of survey locations.....	37
Table 7-2. Audio spectrum	38
Table 7-3 Average noise levels at urban locations during peak hours	39
Table 9-1 Field testing data set for natural barriers	42
Table 10-1 Multiple Linear Regression analysis	45
Table 10-2. Outlier Limits	48
Table 10-3 Activation functions	53
Table 10-4 Variable types.....	57
Table 11-1. Variables	59
Table 11-2. Descriptive statistics of independent variables	59
Table 11-3. Percentiles	62
Table 11-4. descriptive statistics of dependent variable	63
Table 11-5 Extreme values of X1 variable	64
Table 11-6. Extreme values for X2 variable.....	65
Table 11-7 Extreme values for X3 variable.....	66
Table 11-8 Extreme values for X4 variable.....	67
Table 11-9 Extreme values for X5 variable.....	68
Table 11-10 Extreme values for X6 variable.....	69
Table 11-11 Summary of simple linear regression models	77
Table 11-12. Summary of results of MLR-2	79
Table 11-13. Summary of results of MLR-3	83
Table 11-14 Summary of results of MLR-2A.....	86
Table 11-15 Summary of results of MLR-3A.....	89
Table 11-16 Summary of results of MLR-5	92
Table 11-17 Summary of results of MLR-4	96
Table 11-18 Summary of results of MLR-4A.....	100
Table 11-19 Summary of MLR models.....	101
Table 12-1 Annotation for ANN models	103
Table 12-2 Network architecture for ANN3 single hidden layer models	104
Table 12-3 Network architecture for ANN3 two hidden layer models	107
Table 12-4 R ² Values of ANN3	111
Table 12-5 Model annotation of ANN3.....	111
Table 12-6 Network architecture for ANN4 two hidden layer models	112
Table 12-7 R ² values of ANN4.....	116
Table 12-8 Network architecture for ANN6 two hidden layer models.....	116
Table 12-9 R ² values for ANN6	122
Table 12-10 Network architecture for ANN6-ID two hidden layer models.....	122
Table 12-11 R ² values for ANN6 ID	127
Table 12-12 Comparison of ANN results	127
Table 12-13. Details of best ANN6 models.....	133
Table 14-1 Proposed trail barriers	136

Table 14-2 Configuration for proposed trail natural barriers	137
Table 14-3 .Sound energy reduction and decibel reduction chart	143
Table 15-1 Artificial barrier test results.....	143
Table 16-1 Cross comparison of questionnaire survey results.....	146
Table 19-1 Green Cover measurement example.....	166
Table 19-2. Classified photo example for green cover measurement	167



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

	Abbreviation	Phrase
01	<i>ANN</i>	<i>Artificial Neural Networks</i>
02	<i>ANSI</i>	<i>American National Standards Institute</i>
03	<i>ASTM</i>	<i>American Society for Testing and Materials</i>
04	<i>GC</i>	<i>Green Cover</i>
05	<i>IEC</i>	<i>International Electro-technical Commission</i>
06	<i>MLP</i>	<i>Multi-Layer Perceptron</i>
07	<i>MLR</i>	<i>Multiple Linear Regression</i>
08	<i>NIOSH</i>	<i>National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health</i>
09	<i>SLM</i>	<i>Sound Level Meter</i>
10	<i>SPL</i>	<i>Sound Pressure Level</i>
11	<i>US EPA</i>	<i>United States Environmental Protection Agency</i>
12	<i>VGS</i>	<i>Vertical Greenery System</i>
13	<i>WHO</i>	<i>World Health Organization</i>



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF SYMBOLS

	Description	Symbol	Unit
01	<i>Sound level</i>	dB	<i>dB</i>
02	<i>A weighted time averaged noise level</i>	L_{Aeq}	<i>dB</i>
03	<i>Time averaged noise level</i>	L_{eq}	<i>dB</i>
04	<i>Equivalent Diurnal Noise Levels</i>	L_{eqD}	<i>dB</i>
05	<i>Sound intensity</i>	I	W/m^2
06	<i>Height</i>	h	<i>m</i>
07	<i>Temperature</i>	T	$^{\circ}C$
08	<i>Relative humidity</i>	RH	-
09	<i>Time (duration)</i>	t	<i>s</i>



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

THESIS



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk