SUCCESS OF ADJUDICATION AS A PRIMARY ADR METHOD IN SRI LANKAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Muruthameregngne Janitha Shyamal

119325 G



Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

SUCCESS OF ADJUDICATION AS A PRIMARY ADR METHOD IN SRI LANKAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Muruthameregngne Janitha Shyamal

119325 G

Thesis / Dissertation is utraited in Main turbage of the lequirements for the Dissertation is the Master of Selelice Toosen of Dissertation place Resolution www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2016

DECLARATION, COPYRIGHT STATEMEINT AND THE STATEMENT OF THE SUPERVISOR

I do hereby state that this research is my own work. It is original new work and it does not contain any previously published material or any content of any other academic course, other institute or any university of higher education. Further this does not include any other person's published knowledge. Any reference to previously published data is acknowledged.

I acknowledge humbly and kindly the intellectual support and guidance given by my supervisor Mr. Suranga Jayasena for the success of the research study. Further if I wish to publish whole or any part of this research work I do publish the names of my supervisors and refer all the contributing author(s) respectively.

University of Moratuwa is hereby granted the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in any means as it wishes. Finally, I retain the right to use the research work by any other person in any means.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Signature:	Date:
Declaration by the research supervisor.	
I, Suranga Jayasena – senior lecture of the Depart University of Moratuwa, affirm that the above auth out the research under my supervision in the fulfilmed Law and Dispute Resolution.	or Mr. M.J. Shyamal has carried
Supervisor's signature:	Date:

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my Parents with much love,



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my heartiest greetings to all, who encouraged me in many ways to make this research a success.

Mr. Suranga Jayasena, Senior lecture of the Department of Building Economics of University of Moratuwa, is the key person behind the success of my research, being the supervisor. He correctly and timely guided me, advised me and corrected me where and when necessary.

I must convey my sincere thanks to the Department of Building Economics of University of Moratuwa and the Head of the Department Dr. Yasangika Sandanayake, former Dean of the Faculty of Architecture, Professor Chitra Wedikkara and all the academic staff, as they have identified the necessity of the Masters programme in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution at the Department of Building Economics in year 2011.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Finally, I express my heartfelt gratitude to the industry practicing professionals who dedicated their valuable time and shared their intellectual properties with me in my research findings in many ways.

ABSTRACT

Due to the rapid growth of the construction industry and its complexity and multiparty involvement, disputes are unavoidable. Construction industry requires an effective dispute resolution system which has key characteristics like fast and cost effectiveness to avoid unnecessary delays and cost overruns. Litigation as the conventional dispute resolution system has proven that it is not appropriate for construction disputes due to its inherent characteristics.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms have been developed to accommodate this requirement to resolve the construction disputes. Adjudication is one of the most significant and well spread ADR method in construction industry. Adjudication has introduced to the Sri Lankan construction industry through Federation Internationale Des Ingenieurs Conseils (FIDIC) standard form of contract and Institute for Construction Training and Development (ICTAD) standard forms of contracts, and recently introduced to the Sri Lankan construction industry through Federation Internationale Des Ingenieurs Conseils (FIDIC) standard forms of contracts, and recently introduced to the Sri Lankan construction industry through Federation Internationale Des Ingenieurs Conseils (FIDIC) standard forms of contracts, and recently introduced to the Sri Lankan construction industry through Federation Internationale Des Ingenieurs Conseils (FIDIC) standard form of contract and Institute for Construction Training and Development (ICTAD) standard forms of contracts. The second in the second

Purpose of this research was to study prevailing practice of adjudication in Sri Lankan construction industry in terms of applicability, procedure and skill level of professionals involved in the process. Based on that to assess the success of adjudication as an ADR method in the Sri Lankan construction industry and to finally find an answer whether the industry is getting full potential of adjudication to resolve the construction disputes.

The findings of the study reveal that though adjudication is widely used, the industry does not receive its full benefits.

Key Words – Sri Lankan construction industry, disputes, ADR methods, adjudication, success

CONTENTS

			ON, COPYRIGHT STATEMEINT AND THE STATEMENT OF TH R	
DE	DICA	ATION	N	iii
			DGEMENTS	
AB	STR	ACT		V
LIS	T OI	FIG	URES	ix
LIS	T Ol	F TAB	LES	X
LIS	T OI	F ABB	REVIATIONS	xi
LIS	T OI	F CAS	ES	xii
1.	INT	ROD	UCTION TO THE RESEARCH	1
		1.1	BACKGROUND	1
		1.2	RESEARCH PROBLEM AND RATIONALE	5
		1.3	AIM AND OBJECTIVES	6
		1.4	METHODOLOGY	6
		1.5	SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	6
		1.6	CHAETER PREAKTIONS & Dissertations	7
2.	LIT	ERA	TRE REVE Mo. mrt. ac. lk	8
		2.1	INTRODUCTION	
		2.2	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION	8
		2.3	HOW CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES ARE RESOLVED	9
		2.3.1	DEVELOPMENT OF ADR	10
		2.4	ADR METHODS IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY	13
		2.4.1	RECOMMENDATION BASED	13
		2.4.2	ADJUDICATION BASED	13
		2.5	FORMS OF ADR METHODS	14
		2.6	CONSTRUCTION ADJUDICATION	16
		2.6.1	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF CONSTRUCTION	
			ADJUDICATION	17
		2.6.2	LEGAL ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION ADJUDICATION	18
		2.6.3	JURISDICTION OF THE ADJUDICATOR	20
		2.6.4	LIMITATIONS OF ADJUDICATION	. 21

		2.7	CONSTRUCTION ADJUDICATION ALL OVER THE WORLD	. 22
		2.7.1	UNITED KINGDOM	. 23
		2.7.2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	. 24
		2.7.3	SOUTH AFRICA	. 25
		2.7.4	NEW ZEALAND	. 25
		2.7.5	AUSTRALIA	. 26
		2.7.6	MALAYSIA	. 27
		2.7.7	SINGAPORE	. 27
		2.7.8	HONG KONG	. 28
		2.8	SRI LANKA	. 28
		2.8.1	AVAILABLE PROVISIONS FOR ADJUDICATION	. 28
		2.8.2	RELATED REGULATORY BODIES	. 29
		2.9	FACTORS AFFECTING SUCCESS OF ADJUDICATION	. 30
		2.10	SUGGESTED FACTORS TO TEST THE SUCCESS OF	
			ADJUDICATION IN SRI LANKAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY	
			RESEARCH PROBLEM	
			SUMMARXersity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
3.	RES	Ch. and	on METHOROGO Theses & Dissertations INTRODUCTION T. ac. lk	
		3.2	RESEARCH DESIGN	
		3.3	RESEARCH APPROACH.	
		3.4	RESEARCH STRATEGY	
			RESEARCH TECHNIQUES	
			SAMPLING	
			DATA COLLECTION	
			DATA ANALYSIS	
		3.6	SUMMARY	
4.	ANA		IS OF DATA AND FINDINGS	
		4.1	POPULATION SIZE AND SAMPLING	
			RESPONDED PROFESSIONS	
			AWARENESS TO ADJUDICATION	
		4.1.3	EXPERIENCE RANGE OF RESPONDENTS	. 42

	4.1.4	WORKING ENVIRONMENT OF RESPONDENTS	43
	4.2	UNDERSTANDING OF ADJUDICATION AND ITS PRACTICE ITHE INDUSTRY	
	4.2.1	KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF ADJUDICATION	44
	4.2.2	AWARENESS OF ADJUDICATION PROVISIONS	45
	4.2.3	ATTRACTION LEVEL OF ADJUDICATION	46
	4.2.4	REASONS FOR ATTRACTION OF ADJUDICATION	47
	4.3	CONTRACTUAL AND LEGAL ASPECTS	48
	4.3.1	FAMILIARITY OF ADJUDICATION PROVISIONS IN THE INDUSTRY	48
	4.3.2	REQUIREMENT OF A PAYMENT AND ADJUDICATION ACT	49
	4.3.3	SUGGESTIONS FOR ANTICIPATED PAYMENT AND ADJUDICATION ACT	50
	4.4	SUFFICIENCY OF TRAINING, KNOWLEDGE LEVEL AND OTHER FACTORS	50
	4.5	SUCCESS OF ADJUDICATION IN SRI LANKAN CONSTRUCTION IN SRI LANKAN CONSTRUCTI	
5.	CONCLL	SIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	54
	5	SUMMARY OF STURES & Dissertations CONCLUSIONS MIT ac. lk	54
	5.3	RECOMMENDATIONS	
	5.4	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	56
	5.5	FURTHER STUDIES	56
BIBI	JOGRAI	PHY	i
	Data	Collection Chapter 4.1	viii
	Data	Collection Chapter 4.2	ix
	Data	Collection Chapter 4.3	x
	Data	Collection Chapter 4.4	xii
	Data	Collection Chapter 4.5	xiii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: ADR options available in construction industry	14
Figure 2-2: CIDA Act procedure for adjudication	30
Figure 3-1: The Research onion	37
Figure 4-1: Involvement in Adjudication	42
Figure 4-2: Experience range of responded professionals	43
Figure 4-3: Knowledge level of adjudication	45
Figure 4-4: Awareness of adjudication provisions	45
Figure 4-5: Level of attraction of adjudication	46
Figure 4-6: Reasons for attraction of adjudication	47
Figure 4-7: Familiarity of adjudication provisions	49
Figure 4-8: Electronic Theses & Dissertations	51
Figure 4-9: Factors affecting to success of adjudication.	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: ADR options available in construction industry in detail	. 14
Table 2-2: Factors affecting to success of ADR	. 31
Table 2-3: Factors affecting to success of ADR	. 32
Table 2-4: Factors affecting to success of adjudication	. 32
Table 2-5: Suggested factors to test the success of adjudication	. 33
Table 4-1: Professional skill base responded	. 41
Table 4-2: Type of organizations	43
Table 4-3: Services offered by organizations	. 44
Table 4-4: Scope of anticipated Act	50



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADR Alternative Dispute Resolution

CIDA Construction Industry Development Authority (formerly ICTAD)

CIDB Construction Industry Development Board

DAB Dispute Adjudication Board

DRB Dispute Resolution Board

FIDIC Federation Internationale Des Ingenieurs Conseils

HC High Court

HGCRA Housing Grants Construction and Regeneration Act

HK Hong Kong

HKIAC Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre

ICTAD Institute for Construction Training and Development (presently

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

NSW New Youth Wales mrt. ac.lk

NZ New Zealand

ISM

RICS Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors

SG Singapore

UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America

VAT Value Added Tax

LIST OF CASES

<u>Dawnays Ltd Vs. Minter Ltd</u> [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1205

Emson Eastern Vs. EME Development Co (1991) 55 BLR 114

Susan Dunnett Vs. Railtrack Plc

Frank Cowl Vs. Plymouth City Council

Modern Engineering (Bristol) Vs. Gilbert-Ash (Northern) (1974) AC 689

Gilbert Ash (Northern) Ltd Vs. Modern Engineering (Bristol) Ltd (1974)

Palmers Ltd Vs. ABB Power Construction Ltd [1999] Adj.L.R. 08/06

Mercurry Vs. Director General of Telecommunications (1994 138 S.J.L.B 183)

British Shipbuilders Vs. VESL ([1997] 1 Lloyds Rep 106)

<u>Balfour Beatty Construction Ltd Vs. Lambeth London Borough Council</u> (2002) EWHC 597

Discain Project Services Ltd Vs. Opecprime Ltd (2000) BLR 402 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Macob Civil Engineering Ltd Vs. Morrison Construction Ltd [1999] BLR 93

Gilbert Ash (Northern) Ltd vs. Modern Engineering (Bristol) Ltd (1974)