DESIGN OF DOWELS FOR SHEAR TRANSFER AT THE INTERFACE BETWEEN CONCRETE CAST AT DIFFERENT TIMES: A CASE STUDY

Samayamanthree Mudiyanselage Premasiri Karunarathna

118614J



Degree of Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2015

DESIGN OF DOWELS FOR SHEAR TRANSFER AT THE INTERFACE BETWEEN CONCRETE CAST AT DIFFERENT TIMES: A CASE STUDY

Samayamanthree Mudiyanselage Premasiri Karunarathna

118614J

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of WWW.IID.Init. ac.1K

Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2015

DECLARATION

Signature of the supervisor

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	Date
	te has carried out research for the Masters under my supervision.	of Engineering in

Date

ABSTRACT

Enlargement of original cross-sections or replacement of defective concrete layers with new concrete are usual situations in strengthening operations of reinforced concrete structures. In these situations, the shear strength between concrete cast at different times is crucial for the monolithic behavior of the strengthened members. Most design standards for concrete structures present design procedure for estimating the shear resistance between concrete layers based on the shear friction theory.

The study includes three-dimensional and two-dimensional finite element model (FEM) analysis for calculation of shear stresses and comparison of three different code approaches, i.e. BS8110, ACI 318 and EN 1992, for determination of design shear resistance at an interface between concrete cast at different ages of a pile cap supported on precast concrete piles.

Based on the results of the analysis carried out, it can be stated that complicated three dimensional finite element model analysis is not always essential for analysis of structures, which are having complex geometrical shapes. It is possible to transform three-dimensional problems to a simplified two-dimensional problem based on the level of accuracy required.

For the selected surface characteristics and r/f percentage, the estimated design shear resistance based on recommendations of EN-1992-1-1-2004 was found be lower than the corresponding estimated value based on ACI 318M-11 recommendations. It was further observed that BS 8110-1-1997 recommendations gives the highest value for the design shear resistance independent of r/f percentage provided.

EN-1992-1-1-2004 can be used to compare contribution of concrete interface roughness and interface treinforcement on design shear stress as specified in ACI 318M-11. Furthermore, the EN-1992-1-1-2004 recommends a conservative value for design shear resistance compared to other two standards.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to give my heartfelt thanks to Prof. S.M.A.Nanayakkara for his advice, guidance, invaluable input, and excellent interaction throughout the duration of this study. In addition to that, his academic input, thought provoking comments and help throughout the duration of my M-Eng. studies corroborated to develop my professional career.

I would like to express sincere appreciation to Eng.Mr. H.Abayaruwan for his earnest efforts on collection of very important and useful literature for my study. I would also like to thank Dr. K.K.Wijesundara for his comments, and assistance throughout the duration of my Finite Element Analysis studies of this case study. Thanks also go to Eng.Mr. K.K.Nanayakkara for his dedicated time on furnishing necessary information belongs to my study to make the task successful.

I would like to express tremendous gratitude to my wife, Chalini for her constant support in my life and for providing me the opportunity to continue my education further. Even though my son was two years old, he was able to bring me happy and strength throughout the time I dedicated on this study, so I would like to give my thanks to my son.

I would also like to give my sincere thanks to my father in law and mother in law for their hard work and invaluable help towards my success. Thanks also go to my fellow students who were so helpful along the way.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	i
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. General	2
1.2. Background of the problem	2
1.3. Objective of the study	6
1.4. Scope of the study	6
1.5. Methodology	7
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1. Factors affecting interface shear resistance	9
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 2.1.1. Effect of reinforcement crossing the interfacetations	9
2.1.2. Effect of interfacel surfacet preparation	11
2.1.3. Compressive strength of existing and new concrete members	15
2.2. Design considerations	16
2.2.1. Accuracy of design code expressions	16
2.2.2. EN-1992-1-1-2004 [11] Approach for horizontal shear	18
2.2.3. ACI 318M-2011 [12] Approach for horizontal shear	21
2.2.4. BS 8110-1-1997 [13] Approach for horizontal shear	23
2.2.5. Other proposed equations	25
2.2.5.1. Linear shear friction equation	25
2.2.5.2. Mattock's and Hawkins's equations	26
2.2.5.3. Loov's Equation	26
2.2.5.4. Walraven's Equations	26
2.2.5.5. Randl's Equation	26

CHAPTER 3 : FINITE ELEMENT MODELING AND ANALYSIS		27
3.1 A	analysis of the pile cap by simplified 2D-model	28
3.1.1	Model Geometry	28
3.1.2	Applied Loads	28
3.1.3	Finite Elements Used	30
3.1.4	Boundary conditions	30
3.1.5	Output Results	30
3.2 A	analysis of the pile cap by 3d-model	32
3.2.1	Model Geometry	32
3.2.2	Finite Elements Used	33
3.2.3	Applied Loads	33
3.2.4	Boundary conditions	33
3.2.5	Output results	33
	omparison of FE model analysis results University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. TER DESIGN OF DOWELS FOR INTERFACE SHEAR	34 36
4.1 D	esign shear stress at the interface. Ik	37
4.1.1	EN-1992-1-1-2004 Approach	37
4.1.2	ACI 318M-2011 [12] Approach	39
4.1.3	BS 8110-1-1997 [13] Approach	40
4.2 D	besign shear resistance at the interface	41
4.2.1	EN-1992-1-1-2004 Approach	41
4.2.2	ACI 318M-11 Approach	49
4.2.3	BS 8110-1-1997 Approach	52
4.3 C	Comparison and recommendations given in EN-1992-1-2004, ACI 318	3M-2011
aı	nd BS 8110-1-1997	53
4.3.1	Design shear resistance	55
4.3.2	Minimum area of dowel bars	55

REFERENCES	59
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	57
4.4 Recommendation for repairing the pile cap	56
4.3.3 Maximum spacing of dowel bars	55



LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: Layout of the piles and pile caps of Bridge B20A	3
Figure 2: Core sample locations	4
Figure 3: Defects in core samples A, B, & C	4
Figure 4: Defects in core samples D, F, G, H &J	5
Figure 5: Flow chart for methodology	7
Figure 6: The mechanisms of dowel action [2]	11
Figure 7: Load-slip curves of concrete shear transfer for various	14
Figure 8: Experimental /numerical versus analytical ratios "shear strength/normal	
stress," according to different codes [3]	17
Figure 9: Determination of β	19
Figure 10: Horizontal shear force	23
Figure 11: Details of the existing pile cap	29
Figure 12: Model No: 2D-L	29
Figure 13: Model No. University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	29
Figure 14: Shear force, Bending Moment variation along the	31
Figure 15: Shear force, Bending Moment variation along the	31
Figure 16: 3D Finite element solid model (Half of the pile cap)	32
Figure 17: Shear stress distribution over the depth of the pile cap	34
Figure 18: Shear stress distribution over the depth of the pile cap	34
Figure 19: Stress distribution of cracked concrete section	37
Figure 20: Details of reinforcement at the bottom of the existing pile-cap	43
Figure 21: Details of reinforcement at the top of the existing pile-cap	44
Figure 22: Details of reinforcement at sections, elevations of the existing pile-cap	45
Figure 23: r/f bars which considered in calculating design shear resistance	46

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: c and μ factors for interfaces of concrete elements cast at different times	20
Table 2: Design ultimate horizontal shear stresses at interface [13]	25
Table 3: Characteristics of 2D-FE Models	30
Table 4: Characteristic of 3D-FE Model	33
Table 5: Shear force obtained from model analysis	35
Table 6: Design shear resistance, minimum area of r/f and dowel spacing at	
interface	54
Table 7: Contribution of concrete and reinforcement on design interface shear	
resistance	54



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EN-1992-1-1-2004		ACI	ACI 318M-2011	
\mathcal{V}_{Edi}	= Design value of shear stress	V_u	= Factored shear force	
$oldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{Rdi}$	= Design shear resistance	ϕ	= Strength reduction factor	
β	= Ratio of the longitudinal forces	V_{nh}	Nominal horizontal shear strength	
V_{Ed}	 Design value of applied shear force 	b_{v}	= Width of the cross section	
Z	= Lever arm of composite section	d	 Distance from extreme compression fiber to centroid of 	
b_i	= Width of the interface		of longitudinal tension	
С	= Factor related to adhesion		reinforcement	
μ	= Coefficient of friction	A_{vf}	= Area of shear friction reinforcement	
ho	= Ratio (A_s/A_i)	f_{y}	= Yield strength of reinforcement	
f_{ctd}	Design value of concrete tensile strength	μ	= Coefficient of friction	
σ_n	= Stress per unit area caused by external normal force	f'_c	 Specified compressive strength of concrete 	
f_{yd}	= Design yield strength of	A_c	= Area of concrete section	
α	reinforcement = Angle University of Mo	oratu	resisting shear transfer 1. Modification factor	
v	= Strength reduction factor hese	es & I	Dis spacing of shear links	
f_{cd}	= Design vale of concretert ac.l compressive strength	$1 c \rho_v$	= Ratio of tie reinforcement area to contact surface area	
f_{ctk}	Characteristic axial tensile strength of concrete	f_{yt}	 Yield strength of transverse reinforcement 	
γ_c	= Partial factor for concrete	b_w	= Web width, wall thickness	
A_s	= Area of the reinforcement crossing the interface	v_u	= Design shear stress	
	<u> </u>	BS 8	3110-1-1997	
A_i	= Aria of the joint	V_h	= Horizontal shear force	
f_{ck}	 Characteristic compressive cylinder strength of concrete at 28 days 	С	= Compressive force	
$lpha_{cc}$	= Coefficient	T	= Tensile force	
$lpha_{ct}$	= Coefficient	V_h	= Horizontal shear stress	
b_w	= Breadth of the web of the member	1	 Length between points of maximum moment and zero moment 	
$ ho_{\scriptscriptstyle W.min}$	= Nominal shear reinforcement ratio	A	= Cross sectional area of nominal links	
A_{sw}	= Area of nominal shear reinforcement	b_{v}	= Width of the contact surface	

= Maximum longitudinal S_{v} = Spacing of shear links S l.max spacing between links = Minimum thickness of the in-situ h_f = Maximum transverse spacing concrete S t.max = Total area of shear reinforcement between links A_h = Bending Moment f_{vk} = Characteristic yield strength Μ of reinforcement b = Width of the section

d = Effective width of the tension reinforcement
 f_{cu} = Characteristic cube strength of concrete

FEM = Finite Element Model 2D = Two Dimensional 3D = Three Dimensional

2D-L = Two Dimensional-Longitudinal 2D-T = Two Dimensional-Transverse

ULS = Ultimate Limit State
 RC = Reinforced Concrete
 SFD = Shear Force Diagram
 BMD = Bending Moment Diagram

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk