

**ANALYSIS OF WELD SHRINKAGE TO OBTAIN
COMPENSATION FACTORS FOR SHIP HULL
CONSTRUCTION**

Thilanka Ayesh Karunarathna



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master of Engineering in
Mechanical Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

**University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka**

August 2015

DECLARATION

This report contains no material, which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University or equivalent institution in Sri Lanka or abroad, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text of this report.

I carried out the work described in this report under the supervision of Dr. H. K. G. Punchihewa.

Signature : Date :

 Name of Student : D. K. T. A. Karunaratna
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Registration No : 118308B

.....
.....
.....
.....

Signature : Date :

Name of Supervisor : Dr. H. K. G. Punchihewa.

Abstract

Modern manufacturing faces two main challenges: more quality at lower prices and the need for the productivity improvement. In ship building industry, companies need to respond to market requirements efficiently, keeping their products competitive while reducing the cost. When considering complicated ship production processes up to final hull block erection, the productivity of each work station for hull assembly mainly depends on the dimensional quality of hull blocks. Poor dimensional accuracy leads to reworks such as re cutting, mechanical or thermal correction against misalignment, excessive welding for wide gap and thermal straightening and this will subsequently increases the total production cost.

One of the major dimensional accuracy control activities is the shrinkage margin design, which means that the optimal excess of plate is calculated and assigned into ship production drawings in order to compensate for the accumulated welding shrinkage through block assembly phases. In Sri Lankan context the most common practise to compensate for shrinkage has been to add excess material, usually 50-100 mm on one or two sides of a block that would be trimmed at the erection stage. Normally, this added material would be adequate to compensate for any weld shrinkage incurred during block assembly. It is however, a commitment to rework. This has been adopted due to the lack of accurate and reliable weld shrinkage and distortion allowance data. Even though there are some research findings on shrinkage factors it can't be directly apply for the Sri Lankan industry as shrinkage factors may vary from shipyard to shipyard due to facilities, welding equipment, joint design, welding sequence, ambient temperature, and type of material.

This research project provides a comprehensive weld shrinkage factor identification that enables neat construction capabilities for the shipbuilding industry in Sri Lanka. A key component of the research is a predictive weld shrinkage factor based on current ship designs, materials, and construction practices. Through this study, the shrinkage factors will be identified by a statistical analysis of data. It will be done from the development of check sheets, establishing of checking procedures, data gathering, and finally the statistical analysis of data. Since variety of variables can affect the determination of a shrinkage factor, it has to be decided the most crucial factors for particular production process and consider only those factors as the variables. Even though there are three major processes (panel fabrication, block construction, block assembly) in ship building the data was analysed only for data collected from panel fabrication and sample testing. Finally two equations were derived for sample testing and panel fabrication separately by providing dedicated factor for each and every considered variable. The obtained results were validated again by means of another sample testing and the deviation is less than 0.2 mm. The other processes were not considered due to complexity of the structures and data collection difficulty with in limited time frame.

The block construction and assembly processes can be considered for the next step of this research and it can be done with the involvement of modelling software. From the modelling software the differences in each of complex blocks can be identified easily and measured values can be analysed against those differences. Then a comprehensive welding shrinkage compensation factor can be identified and it can be entered to the modelling software at the time of modelling the vessel.

Acknowledgements

I owe a great many thanks to a great many people who helped and supported me during this study.

My deepest thanks go to Dr. H. K. G. Punchihewa, my project supervisor for the provided guidance and attention during the study.

Also, I express my thanks to the other academic staff members of the Department of Mechanical Engineering of the University of Moratuwa, for the extended support.

My deep sense of gratitude goes to my colleagues at the ship yard, for the support provided throughout my academic program.

I also extend my heartfelt thanks to my family and well-wishers.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Content

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1.	Background	1
1.2.	Problem Statement	3
1.3.	Aim and Objectives	4
1.4.	Methodology	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1.	Welding Technology	7
2.2.	Special Welding Techniques Used in Ship Building Industry	9
2.2.1.	Flux cored arc welding (FCAW)	9
2.2.2.	Shielded metal arc welding (SMAW).....	14
2.3.	Welding Requirement in Ship Building Industry.....	16
2.4.	Effect of Welding Attributes on Shrinkage.....	17
2.4.1.	Joint design	18
2.4.2.	Welding process	18
2.4.3.	Method of application	19
2.4.4.	Joint position	19
2.4.5.	Material thickness	19
2.4.6.	Independent variables	19
2.5.	Minimisation of Welding Shrinkage	20
2.6.	Data Analysis Techniques	22
2.6.1.	ANOVA (analysis of variance).....	22
2.6.2.	Linear regression.....	23
2.7.	Selecting the Statistical Test	23
2.8.	Interpreting the Output of Regression Analysis	25
2.8.1.	Regression statistics	25
2.8.2.	ANOVA	26
2.8.3.	Regression coefficients	27
2.9.	Related Research	27
2.9.1.	Weld simulation using FEM	28
2.9.2.	Numerical modelling of welds.....	29
2.9.3.	Combination of numerical and experimental methods	31
3	EXPERIMENT, DATA COLLECTION & ANALYSIS	34
3.1.	Identification of the Sample	34

3.1.1.	Selection of the process	34
3.1.2.	Selection of welding method and the position.....	34
3.1.3.	Selection of the test pieces	35
3.2.	Data Collection Methodology	36
3.3.	Measuring Techniques	36
3.3.1.	Measuring of dimensions in actual production environment.....	36
3.3.2.	Measuring of butt weld shrinkage in test samples	37
3.3.3.	Measuring of fillet weld shrinkage in test samples.....	40
3.3.4.	Measuring of shrinkage during panel fabrication	42
3.3.5.	Measuring of shrinkage during block construction	42
3.3.6.	Measuring of shrinkage during block erection	43
3.4.	Methodology of Data Analysis	43
3.5.	Representation of Data	45
3.5.1.	Data collected in sample testing	45
3.5.2.	Data collected in panel fabrication	52
3.5.3.	Data collected in unit construction	57
3.6.	Results	59
3.6.1.	Results of sample testing study	59
3.6.2.	Results of panel fabrication study.....	61
4	DETERMINATION OF WELDING SHRINKAGE FACTORS	63
4.1.	Obtaining the shrinkage factors / formulae	63
4.2.	Validation of the obtained shrinkage factors/ formulae	64
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	67
5.1.	Discussion	67
5.2.	Conclusion.....	69
5.3.	Future Work	69
6	REFERENCES.....	71

List of Figures

Figure 1: Current nesting drawing with 50 mm extra material.....	3
Figure 2: Re cutting of extra material during block assembly.....	4
Figure 3: Master Chart of welding and joining processes	8
Figure 4: Delivering of electrode wire and shielding gas to weld pool.....	11
Figure 5: Typical FCAW semiautomatic set up	11
Figure 6: Parameter selection method for FCAW	12
Figure 7: Apparatus used for SMAW	15
Figure 8: Prefabrication process	16
Figure 9: Unit construction process	16
Figure 10: Unit Assembly process.....	16
Figure 11: Overall view of a Hull Assembly.....	17
Figure 12: Welding joint designs.....	18
Figure 13: Selection of analysis method based on the purpose	24
Figure 14: Standard Welding positions.....	35
Figure 15: Test piece holding device	38
Figure 16: Clamping of test piece for measurements.....	38
Figure 17: Carry out welding of test pieces.....	39
Figure 18: Taking measurements after root welding.....	39
Figure 19: Taking measurements after final welding	40
Figure 20: Butt welding test piece	40
Figure 21: Fillet welding test piece.....	41
Figure 22: Welding gauges	41
Figure 23: Taking measurements of fabricated panels	42
Figure 24: Laser distance meter.....	43
Figure 25: Unit assembly process	43
Figure 26: Welding parameters considered for the analysis	45
Figure 27: Butt welding shrinkage vs material thickness (without strong back).....	51
Figure 28: Butt welding shrinkage vs material thickness (without strong back).....	51
Figure 29: Fillet welding shrinkage variation with material thickness.....	52
Figure 30: Joint design types use during panel fabrication.....	53
Figure 31: Shrinkage vs. number of welds (perpendicular to direction of measurement).55	55
Figure 32: Shrinkage vs. number of welds (parallel to direction of measurement).....	56
Figure 33: Shrinkage variation with the material thickness.....	56
Figure 34: Unit numbering of the considered project.....	57

Figure 35: Sample 01 for result validation65
 Figure 36: Sample 02 for result validation65
 Figure 37: Sample 03 for result validation65
 Figure 38: Shrinkage variation vs. test number66

List of Tables

Table 1: Welding electrode consumption analysis for NC projects in shipyard.....2
 Table 2: FCAW Amperage for different feed wire sizes 13
 Table 3: Selection of welding wire speed for FCAW 13
 Table 4: Sample regression analysis output obtained from MS Excel26
 Table 5: Formulae for prediction of transverse shrinkage31
 Table 6: Comparison of results obtained from theoretical and practical experiments32
 Table 7: Parameters and comparison of results33
 Table 8: Steel plate consumption for NC projects36
 Table 9: Root gap variation with the thickness of plate.....37
 Table 10: Throat thickness variation with the thickness of plate.....41
 Table 11: Summary of parameters defined for sample testing46
 Table 12: Testing combinations for sample testing47
 Table 13: Shrinkage data collected during sample testing47
 Table 14: Attributes consider during data collection for panel fabrication53
 Table 15: Welding shrinkage data of panel fabrication53
 Table 16: Welding shrinkage data of unit construction58
 Table 17: Regression analysis output for sample testing data analysis59
 Table 18: Regression analysis output for panel fabrication.....61
 Table 19: Deviation between predicted and actual shrinkage66

List of Equations

Equation 1: Activation energy for the void-shrinkage.....30
 Equation 2: Simplified equation for theoretical analysis of weld shrinkage32
 Equation 3: Formula for longitudinal shrinkage in butt weld joint33
 Equation 4: Regression line for shrinkage in sample testing63
 Equation 5: Regression line for shrinkage in panel fabrication64