

**NUMERICAL MODELING OF THE FLOW FIELD FOR  
INDOOR THERMAL COMFORT OF A BUILDING  
UNDER STACK EFFECT**

P. H. Viraj Nimarshana

(108015N)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2015

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person expect where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books)

 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk) .....

P.H. Viraj Nimarshana Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

.....  
Prof. R.A. Attalage Date

.....  
Prof. K.K.C.K. Perera Date



# *To My Beloved Parents*

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On the successful completion of my thesis, I would like to express my regards and thoughts, to acknowledge the contributions of all those, who stood beside me and supported me towards the completion of my MSc.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude and extend my heartfelt thanks towards my supervisor, Prof. R.A. Attalage who guided me to become a thinking researcher. His deep insight into the subject, scientific attitude and skills to troubleshoot the problems has been vital in the completion of this thesis. Despite his extremely busy schedule, he has always been available for discussions and to provide me with guidance. I feel blessed and extremely lucky to have a guide and mentor like him early in my career.

I am extremely grateful to my co-supervisor, Prof. K.K.C.K. Perera. His valuable suggestions from time to time have been of great value.

Special thanks to Kasun Anjana, Amal Senevirathne and Thiwanka Wickramasooriya in Department of Mechanical engineering for all their unconditional support. Sincere thanks goes also to all members of Department of Mechanical engineering, University of Moratuwa, for their valuable suggestions and co-operation.

I also express my deep sense of gratitude towards my beloved parents, brothers and my wife for their unflinching care and encouragement.

Last but not the least, the financial help from Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa is duly acknowledged.

*P.H. Viraj Nimarshana*

## Abstract

In recent years natural ventilation is widely recognised as excellent contributing towards in design low energy buildings. The main challenge in natural ventilation is identified as lack of knowledge in providing acceptable thermal comfort in an occupied space to meet the internal requirements against the prevailing climatic conditions variations. Numerical investigations of the indoor thermal comfort condition in a simple office space governed by the solar chimney stack effect have been undertaken using CFD techniques. A mathematical model was developed based on the relevant analytical framework governing the phenomena to simulate the velocity flow field and temperature distribution on the designated plane within the indoor space. Boussinesq approximation was incorporated to numerical scheme with realistic boundary conditions for flow simulation. The model was enriched by incorporating a sufficient fluid volume to represent environment surrounding the space and thereby eliminating the entry effect to the flow. Hexahedral cells were used in a non-uniform grid distribution to minimise numerical diffusion. A fine mesh is used near the walls to enhance the resolution and accuracy resolving the problems under the turbulent flow conditions. Grid independence analysis was carried out to ensure the accuracy of the numerical results. Under-relaxation factors 0.3, 1, 2, 0.8, 0.8, 1, 0.9 for pressure, density, momentum, turbulence kinetic energy, turbulence dissipation rate, turbulent viscosity, energy respectively were used. The model outputs were compared with the available experimental measurements taken under the same condition to calibrate the numerical scheme. A parametric study was carried out using the calibrated model to assess the distribution of thermal comfort index against the changes in geometrical and solar radiation parameters. The values of activity, metabolic rate for seated activity and clothing insulation were selected as 0, 60 W/m<sup>2</sup> and 0.5 Clo respectively for thermal performance analysis. The effect of each input parameter was investigated in terms of mean value and standard deviation corresponding to the flow velocity and the PPD<sub>NV</sub> value. It can be concluded that the present model is capable of predicting the indoor thermal performance of a building under stack effect.

**Keywords:** *Natural ventilation, Solar chimney, Thermal comfort, CFD, Tropical climate*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iii
Abstract .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xi
1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Problem Statement .....	1
1.2 Study Objectives .....	1
1.3 Research Methodology .....	2
1.4 Expected Contribution .....	2
2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	3
2.1 Introduction .....	3
2.2 The Need for Natural Ventilation .....	4
2.3 Human Thermal Comfort .....	6
2.3.1 Thermal comfort analysis in naturally ventilated space .....	6
2.4 Techniques Available for Natural Ventilation .....	11
2.5 Findings of the Parametric Analysis .....	13
2.5.1 Effect of wall height and cavity width of the chimney .....	13
2.5.2 Effect of inlet size of the chimney .....	14
2.5.3 Effect of inclination angle of the chimney .....	14
2.5.4 Effect of back wall properties of the chimney .....	14
2.5.5 Effect of chimney front side glazing type .....	15
2.5.6 Effect of climate: solar intensity and wind .....	15
2.6 Turbulence Modelling Techniques for Numerical Modelling of Flow Field .....	16

2.6.1	Zero-equation model .....	19
2.6.2	One-equation model .....	20
2.6.3	Two-equation models .....	20
2.6.4	Reynolds stress model .....	21
2.6.5	Large eddy simulation .....	22
3	CFD TECHNIQUES FOR NUMERICAL MODELLING OF FLOW FIELD ....	23
3.1	Governing Equations of Continuum Mechanics .....	23
3.2	Modelling Natural Convection .....	26
4	MODELLING AND VALIDATION OF MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORK .	28
4.1	Geometrical Modelling of the Experimental Framework .....	28
4.2	Boundary Conditions Used for Simulation .....	29
4.3	Mesh Design .....	30
4.4	Mesh Sensitivity of the Numerical Results .....	31
4.5	Numerical Solution Procedure .....	32
4.6	Solution Convergence Criteria .....	32
4.7	CFD Model Validation .....	32
5	NATURAL VENTILATION POTENTIAL IN LOCAL CLIMATE FOR THERMAL COMFORT PREDICATION .....	35
5.1	Influence of the Temperature and Relative Humidity .....	35
5.2	Influence of the Solar Irradiation .....	41
6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	43
6.1	Feasibility of the Climatic Conditions to Employ Natural Ventilation .....	43
6.2	Effect of Geometric Parameters on Thermal Comfort .....	45
7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	73
7.1	Conclusions .....	73
7.2	Recommendations for Future Work .....	74
	REFERENCES .....	75



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Adaptive Comfort Standard for Naturally ventilated buildings - ASHRAE Standard 55 .....	8
Figure 4.1: Plan view of the geometrical model .....	28
Figure 4.2: Sectional view (A-A) of the geometrical model.....	28
Figure 4.3: 3 - dimensional view of mesh generated using openFOAM .....	31
Figure 4.4: Mass flow rate calculated using flow velocities at chimney inlet .....	33
Figure 4.5: Mass flow rate calculated using flow velocities at window .....	33
Figure 4.6: Mass flow rate calculated using flow velocities at chimney inlet for configuration 3.....	34
Figure 5.1: Psychrometric chart for Colombo climate during daylit from 6.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m.....	35
Figure 5.2: Psychrometric chart for the Period-1 of Colombo climate during daytime .....	36
Figure 5.3: Outdoor climate variation for the Period-1 with daily minimum-maximum .....	37
Figure 5.4: Psychrometric chart for the Period-2 of Colombo climate during daytime .....	37
Figure 5.5: Outdoor climate variation for the Period-2 with daily minimum-maximum .....	38
Figure 5.6: Monthly average dry bulb temperature variation .....	39
Figure 5.7: Variation of the dry bulb and wet bulb temperature from January to April .....	39
Figure 5.8: Variation of the dry bulb and wet bulb temperature from May to December .....	40
Figure 5.9: Solar irradiation based on hourly average values with its theoretical variation.....	41
Figure 5.10: Variation of hourly average direct, diffuse and total irradiation with corresponding dry bulb and wet bulb temperatures .....	42
Figure 6.1: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case A.....	47
Figure 6.2: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case A .....	47
Figure 6.3: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case B.....	48
Figure 6.4: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case B.....	48



Figure 6.5: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case C.....	49
Figure 6.6: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case C.....	49
Figure 6.7: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case D.....	50
Figure 6.8: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case D.....	50
Figure 6.9: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case E.....	53
Figure 6.10: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case E.....	53
Figure 6.11: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case F.....	54
Figure 6.12: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case F.....	54
Figure 6.13: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case G.....	55
Figure 6.14: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case G.....	55
Figure 6.15: Average velocity variation with temperature difference for Case H.....	56
Figure 6.16: Average PPD <sub>NV</sub> variation with temperature difference for Case H.....	56
Figure 6.17: 3-D view of the velocity magnitude on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor.....	58
Figure 6.18: 3-D view of the PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor.....	58
Figure 6.19: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	59
Figure 6.20: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	59
Figure 6.21: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	60
Figure 6.22: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	60
Figure 6.23: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	61
Figure 6.24: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	61
Figure 6.25: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	62
Figure 6.26: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	62
Figure 6.27: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B.....	63

Figure 6.28: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE B .....	63
Figure 6.29: Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	64
Figure 6.30: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	64
Figure 6.31 Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	65
Figure 6.32: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	65
Figure 6.33 Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	66
Figure 6.34: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	66
Figure 6.35 Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	67
Figure 6.36: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	67
Figure 6.37 Magnitude of the velocity variation on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	68
Figure 6.38: PPD <sub>NV</sub> distribution on the horizontal plane at 0.6 m above the floor for $\Delta T= 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ of CASE H.....	68
Figure 6.39: Velocity variation against temperature difference for each cavity with 0.1 m fixed inlet height .....	69
Figure 6.40: Velocity variation against temperature difference for each cavity with 0.4 m fixed inlet height .....	70

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Boundary field types and values used for each variable.....	29
Table 4.2: Effect of grid density on predicted average mass flow rate.....	31
Table 6.1: Variation of $PPD_{NV}$ with ambient temperatures and air flow velocities under different relative humidity levels .....	44
Table 6.2: $PPD_{NV}$ and flow velocity variation with the temperature difference between ambient air and chimney surface for different geometrical configurations	46
Table 6.3: $PPD_{NV}$ and flow velocity variation with the temperature difference between ambient air and chimney surface for different geometrical configurations.	52
Table 6.4: Velocity variation with temperature difference for various cavity widths and 0.1 m fixed inlet height .....	69
Table 6.5: Velocity variation with temperature difference for various cavity widths and 0.4 m fixed inlet height .....	70



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- (ACH) - Air Changes per Hour*
- (ASHRAE) - American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-conditioning Engineers*
- (ASM) - Algebraic Stress Model*
- (CFD) - Computational Fluid Dynamic*
- (DNS) - Direct Numerical Simulation*
- (LEED) - Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design*
- (LES) - Large Eddy Simulation*
- (MET) - Metabolic Rate*
- (MRT) - Mean Radiant Temperature*
- (PCG) - Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient*
- (PD) - Percentage of Dissatisfied*
- (PMV) - Predicted Mean Vote*
- (PMV<sub>NV</sub>) - Predicted Mean Vote Natural Ventilation*
- (PPD) - Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied*
- (PPM) - Parts Per Million*
- (PPD<sub>NV</sub>) - Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied under Natural Ventilation*
- (RANS) - Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes*
- (RNG) - Renormalisation Group*
- (RSM) - Reynolds Stress Model*
- (SIMPLE) - Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure-Linked Equations*
- (TMY2) - Typical Metrological Year 2*
- (USGBC) - United State Green Building Council*