INVESTIGATION OF STABILITY OF PLUG FLOW ANAEROBIC DIGESTER USING MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Hapuachchige Don Sumudu Shanaka Karunarathne

(09 / 8958)



Degree of Master of Science in Sustainable Process Development

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2013

INVESTIGATION OF STABILITY OF PLUG FLOW ANAEROBIC DIGESTER USING MATHEMATICAL MODELING

H.D.S.S.Karunarathne

(09 / 8958)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Sustainable Process Development

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2013

DECLARATION PAGE OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text."

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature			Date	
	4500	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www lib mrt ac lk		

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under supervision.

Signature of the supervisor Date

Signature of the supervisor Date

my

ABSTRACT

Anaerobic treatment has gained wide acceptance as a sustainable technology for treatment of solid wastes and waste water. But in the local context this technology is not rapidly advancing due to process failures and poor technology management. Most of the failures are reported in the anaerobic solid waste treatment than waste water treatment. Recently, novel plug flow reactor system has been installed in few local institutions to treat semi solid wastes with improved efficiency. However lack of understanding of operational parameters and functional units of this reactor system has led to suboptimal operation and thereby low gas production and methane yield.

Objective of this study is to develop dynamic mathematical model for plug flow reactor system and propose new strategies to enhance the methane yield and stability of the process. The anaerobic digestion model No. 1 (ADM 1) developed by the international water association (IWA) task group for mathematical modeling of anaerobic digestion process is the most sophisticated model established for full-scale industrial applications. In this work ADM1 was implemented in the simulation software package called AQUASIM 2.1f and advective diffusive reactor compartment was initially used to model the plug flow reactor. To be able to model the actual plug flow reactor system as installed two scenarios are introduced i.e. advective diffusive reactor compartment followed by two continuous stirred tank reactors for collection of slurry and gas. The simulation was done for the different hydraulic retention times and feed flow rates for the substrate of food waste. As second scenario, series of CSTR reactors was used to model plug flow reactor and simulated as before. Simulation results on advective-diffusive reactor model reveal that accumulation of gasses in the slurry cause an inhibition in methane production. In series of CSTR model, when the food waste alone was used at low hydraulic retention times, pH decreases drastically and cause to process inhibition in the first reactor. This inhibition radiates towards the other connected CSTR reactors and after a certain periods of time total methane production terminates.

Keywords: Anaerobic digestion, Plug flow reactor, ADM 1,

DEDICATION

Dedicated with gratitude to my loving **PARENTS** for being the greatest pliers of my life...



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Completion of this thesis has been one of the most significant academic challenges I have ever had to face. Without the support, patience and guidance of the following people, this study would not have been completed. It is to them that I owe my deepest gratitude.

In the first place I would like to record my gratitude and honor to my main supervisor, Dr. P.G. Rathnasiri for his inspiring and motivating guidance throughout this research with supervision of work in a framework of friendship, freedom, and openness. His passion for anaerobic digestion process and wide knowledge of anaerobic processes modeling helped me to overcome the problems I faced.

I gratefully acknowledge Prof. Rune Bakke, for his advice, supervision, and contribution to succeed my M.Sc. study. His involvement along with his knowledge and experience on the field has triggered and nourished my intellectual maturity that I will benefit from, for a long time to come.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Telemark University College Norway for providing financial support to carry out my research work. It was a great help to me to succeed my M.Sc. without any financial difficulties.

I owe my deepest gratitude to Dr. Deshai Botheju for giving me very valuable advisors on my research which directed me to carry out further modifications.

I am really grateful to Mr. Suranga chaminda for the helping hand you lent me anytime I request a help form you and I would also like to remind Mr. Udara Arachchige, Telemark University College, Norway, for sending me research articles.

Most importantly, none of these would not have been possible without the love and patience of my family. I am deeply indebted to my parents who always supported, encouraged and believed in me, in my entire endeavor.

To everybody that has been a part of my life but I failed to mention, thank you very much.

I believe that my research will make a small contribution to the vast ocean of research done in the field of Chemical and Process engineering...

H.D.S.S. Karunarathne

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DECLAR	ATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR	i
ABSTRAG	CT	ii
DEDICAT	TION	iii
ACKNOW	VLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE O	F CONTENTS	v
LIST OF I	FIGURES	ix
LIST OF T	ΓABLE	xii
LIST OF A	ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF A	APPENDICES	xiv
	Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1	Introduction	2
1.1	Anaerobic digestion	3
1.1.1	AD modeling	4
1.1.2	Available reactors	5
1.2	Research problem	5
1.3	Research objectives.	6
1.4	Outline of the research.	6
1.5	Research strategy	7
	Chapter 2 : Literature review	8
2	Literature review	9
2.1	Anaerobic digestion	9
2.2	Anaerobic digestion process fundamentals	9
2.2.1	Disintegration and hydrolysis	9
2.2.2	Fermentation of organic monomers (acidogenesis)	10
2.2.3	Acitogenesis process.	10
2.2.4	Methanogenesis process	10
2.3	Mathematical modeling of AD process	11
2.3.1	Siegrist model.	12
2.3.2	AM2 model	12

2.3.3	ADM 1 model	13
2.4	Modeling and Simulation tools	15
2.4.1	Biological process modeling using AQUASIM 2.1 f	15
2.5	Anaerobic digesters for solid waste treatment	16
2.5.1	Batch system	18
2.5.2	Continuous system	18
2.6	Plug flow reactors	19
2.6.1	Mathematical modeling of plug flow reactor	19
2.6.2	Mathematical model of Advective diffusive reactor of AQUASIM 2.1	21
2.6.3		
2.6.4	Novel PFR reactor configurations.	25
2.6.4.1	Transpaille Digester	25
2.6.4.2	Taiwan – model tubular reactor	26
2.6.4.3	Bioterminator	27
2.6.4.4	Sri Lankan Plug flow reactor.	27
	Chapter 3: Materials and Methods	29
3	Materials and Methods	30
3.1	Introduction www.lib.md.ac.lk.	30
3.2	Classification of substrate as dictated by ADM 1	30
3.3	Model parameters	32
3.4	Introduction to model scenarios	32
3.4.1	Scenario 1: Advective diffusive reactor model	34
3.4.1.1	Model inputs for the Advective diffusive reactor	34
3.4.1.2	Simulation	35
3.4.2	Scenario 2- CSTR in series.	35
3.4.2.1	Model inputs for the CSTR in series model	36
3.4.2.2	Simulation	36
	Chapter 4: Results and Discussions	38
4	Results and discussion.	39
4.1	Results from first plug flow reactor model (1st scenario)	39
4.1.1	Feed rate	39

4.1.2	Gas production rate and composition	39
4.1.3	Variations in soluble gases along the reactor	43
4.1.4	VFA variation along the reactor	45
4.1.5	Variation of pH along the reactor	47
4.1.6	Variations of inhibition functions along the reactor	49
4.1.6.1	pH inhibition of acetate degrading organisms	50
4.1.6.2	pH inhibition of acetogens and acidogens	52
4.1.6.3	pH inhibition of hydrogen degrading organisms	53
4.1.7	Comparison of variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the	55
41711	reactor	~ ~
4.1.7.1.1	Variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the reactor under	55
41710	60 days of HRT.	
4.1.7.1.2	Variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the reactor under	57
44540	120 days of HRT.	= 0
4.1.7.1.3	Variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the reactor under	59
4.1.7.1.4	Variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the reactor under	61
	360 days of HRT	
4.1.7.1.5	Variations in pH, TVFA and soluble methane along the reactor under	63
	480 days of HRT	
4.2	Results from second plug flow reactor model (2st scenario)	65
4.2.1	Gas production rate	65
4.2.2	Gas composition	67
4.2.3	Variation of pH in the first reactor	70
4.2.4	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under different feed rates	71
4.2.4.1	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 60 days of HRT	71
4.2.4.2	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 120 days of HRT	72
4.2.4.3	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 240 days of HRT	73
4.2.4.4	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 360 days of HRT	73
4.2.4.5	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 480 days of HRT	74

4.2.5	Variations in inhibition functions of the reactor	
	Chapter 5: Conclusions	77
5	Conclusions	78
5.1	Recommendations	79
	References	80
	APPENDIX A: CELLULAR KINETICS: TABLE 1	85
	APPENDIX B: CELLULAR KINETICS: TABLE 2	86
	APPENDIX C: Paper 1	87



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	
Figure 1-1:	The cycle of biogas
Figure 1.2:	Anaerobic digestion pathway
Figure 1-3:	Conceptual diagram of the research study in a broader
	prospective
Figure 1-4:	Research strategy
Figure 2-1:	Schematic diagram of Siegrist Model
Figure 2-2:	Schematic diagram of anaerobic digestion Model-1
Figure 2-3:	Main elements of AQUASIM model structure
Figure 2-4:	One stage system.
Figure 2-5:	Two stage system
Figure 2-6:	Classification of AD by operational criteria.
Figure 2-7:	Batch system.
Figure 2-8:	Continuous system
Figure 2-9:	Schematic of plug flow reactor
Figure 2-10:	Transpaille plug flow reactor
Figure 2-11:	Taiwan – model tubular reactor.
Figure 2-12:	High rate bioterminator
Figure 2-13:	
Figure 3-1:	Hierarchy of the model development
Figure 3.2	Schematic model of advective diffusive reactor arrangement
Figure 3-3:	AQUASIM interface of the Advective –Diffusive reactor
Figure 3-4:	AQUASIM interface of the Numerical parameters
Figure 4-1:	Dissolve methane concentration at the Plug flow reactor
	front
Figure 4-2:	Dissolve methane concentration at the plug flow reactor
	middle
Figure 4-3:	Dissolve methane concentration at the plug flow reactor end

Figure 4-4:	Total VFA variation at the front of the reactor	41
Figure 4-5:	Total VFA variation at the middle of the reactor	42
Figure 4-6:	Total VFA variation at the end of the reactor	42
Figure 4-7:	pH variation of the plug flow reactor at front	43
Figure 4-8:	pH variation of the plug flow reactor at middle	43
Figure 4-9:	pH variation of the plug flow reactor at end	44
Figure 4-10:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 60 HRT	45
Figure 4-11:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 60 HRT	46
Figure 4-12:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 120 HRT	47
Figure 4-13:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 240 HRT	47
Figure 4-14:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 360 HRT	48
Figure 4-15:	Variation of gas composition in PFR with 480 HRT	49
Figure 4-16:	Gas production rate under different retention times	49
Figure4-17:	Mole percentage of gases in headspace (HRT- 480 days)	51
Figure 4-18:	Mole percentage of gases in headspace (HRT- 360 days)	51
Figure 4-19:	Mole percentage of gases in headspace (HRT- 240 days)	52
Figure 4-20:	Mole percentage of gases in headspace (HRT- 120 days)	53
Figure 4-21:	Mole percentage of gases in headspace (HRT- 60 days)	54
Figure 4-22:	Variation of pH in first reactor under each HTR	54
Figure 4-23	pH variation along the reactor under 60 days HRT	56
Figure 4-24	TVFA variation along the reactor under 60 days HRT	56
Figure 4-25	Concentration of soluble methane variation along the reactor	57
	under 60 days HRT	
Figure 4-26	pH variation along the reactor under 120 days HRT	58
Figure 4-27	TVFA variation along the reactor under 120 days HRT	58
Figure 4-28	Concentration of soluble methane variation along the reactor	59
	under 120 days HRT	
Figure 4-29	pH variation along the reactor under 240 days HRT	60
Figure 4-30	TVFA variation along the reactor under 240 days HRT	60
Figure 4-31	Concentration of soluble methane variation along the reactor	61
	under 240 days HRT	

Figure 4-32	pH variation along the reactor under 360 days HRT	62
Figure 4-33	TVFA variation along the reactor under 360 days HRT	62
Figure 4-34	Concentration of soluble methane variation along the reactor	63
	under 360 days HRT	
Figure 4-35	pH variation along the reactor under 480 days HRT	64
Figure 4-36	TVFA variation along the reactor under 480 days HRT	64
Figure 4-37	Concentration of soluble methane variation along the reactor.	65
	under 480 days HRT	
Figure 4-38	Gas production rate under different retention times	66
Figure 4-39	Variation of gas composition in headspace with 480 HRT	67
Figure 4-40	Variation of gas composition in headspace with 360 HRT	68
Figure 4-41	Variation of gas composition in headspace with 240 HRT	68
Figure 4-42	Variation of gas composition in headspace with 120 HRT	69
Figure 4-43	Variation of gas composition in headspace with 60 HRT	70
Figure 4-44	Variation of pH in first CSTR	71
Figure 4-45	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 60 days of	72
	HRT University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
Figure 4-46	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 120 days of	72
	HRT	
Figure 4-47	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 240 days of	73
	HRT	
Figure 4-48	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 360 days of	73
	HRT	
Figure 4-49	Variation of pH along the CSTR series under 480 days of	74
	HRT	
Figure 4-50	pH inhibition of acetate degrading microorganisms	75
Figure 4-51	pH inhibition of hydrogen degrading microorganisms	76
Figure 4-52	pH inhibition of acidogens and acetogens	76

LIST OF TABLE

Table Table 3-1:	Characteristics of substrates	Page 30
Table 3-2:	Feed volumes	31
Table 3-3:	Characteristics of the substrate.	31
Table 3-4:	Characteristics of the feed.	32
Table 3-5:	Optimum Hydrolysis Parameters	32
Table 3.6	Compartment	33
Table 3-7:	Input characteristics of the feed	34
Table 3-8:	Input flow rates and retention times	34
Table 3-9:	Dimensions of the CSTR reactor system	36



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA Amino acids

AD Anaerobic digestion

ADM 1 Anaerobic digestion model 1

COD Chemical oxygen demand

LCFA Long chain fatty acids

TVFA Total volatile fatty acids

UASB Up flow anaerobic sludge blanket

VFA Volatile fatty acids

IWA International Water Association

CSTR Continuous stirred tank reactor



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
APPENDIX A:	CELLULAR KINETICS : TABLE 1	85
APPENDIX B:	CELLULAR KINETICS : TABLE 2	86
APPENDIX C:	Paper 1	87

