

# **Monocular Vision Based Agents for Navigation in Stochastic Environments**

P.A.P.R Athukorala

109151J



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Faculty of Information Technology  
University of Moratuwa

October 2012

# **Monocular Vision Based Agents for Navigation in Stochastic Environments**

P.A.P.R Athukorala

109151J



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Information Technology, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for the partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Degree of MSc in Artificial Intelligence

October 2012

## Declaration

I declare that this dissertation does not incorporate, without acknowledgment, any material previously submitted for a Degree or a Diploma in any University and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organization.

P.A.P.R Athukorala

Name of Student

Signature of Student

Date:



Supervised by

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Prof. Asoka S.Karunananda

Name of Supervisor(s)

Signature of Supervisor(s)

Date:

# Dedication

To my beloved parents, for their kindness, love, guidance and support.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Asoka S. Karunanda, for his kind guidance, dedication and support given throughout the entire Masters Degree program. Also I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work including my colleagues at the department of Information Technology, University of Moratuwa.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## Abstract

Depth perceiving computer vision algorithms which are based on multiple view geometry are computationally expensive. As such, it is not practical to implement such systems in low end computing devices such as mobile phones. Nevertheless, for certain applications, monocular computer vision based algorithms which are capable of generating depth approximations are adequate and can be implemented on low end computing devices. In this context, we are still faced with the problem that monocular vision is very much affected by environment conditions such as light intensity, noise, density of obstacles, depth, etc. In case of stochastic environments, these aspects are even more crucial. Each algorithm's accuracy depends on its internal constraints and environment conditions which the algorithm is capable of handling. For that matter, it is required to execute multiple monocular vision algorithms in a system and to select the result from the most appropriate algorithm according to the current environment condition. As such modeling of monocular vision based algorithms for navigation in stochastic environments into low-end smart computing devices turns out to be a research challenge.

Our research to address the above issue postulates that the Agent technology can model such environment sensitive situations. By definition, an agent is a small program that autonomously activates when necessary, performs a task and terminates on the completion of the task. This amounts to optimize the resource usage, which is a crucial factor for low-end computing devices. On the other hand Agents can negotiate and deliver high quality solutions which go beyond the individual agent's capacity to solve a problem.

In this sense, we have conducted a project to devise a Multi Agent Based solution for modeling a monocular vision based navigation system pertaining to significantly different environments. Each agent in the system is assigned with a unique monocular depth perception algorithm, which is appropriate only for a certain environment. When a particular environment is not in favor for a certain agent, the agent does not execute its assigned algorithm, by allowing activation of another Agent who can perform better in the particular environment. This enables effective utilization of computing resource by the Agents and returning more realistic results in a stochastic environment. The solution includes five agents, namely, *hardware agent*, *message space agent*, *appearance variation based agent*, *optical flow based agent* and *floor*

*detection based agent.* The hardware agent initiates the camera of the device and inputs an image to the system for the use by appearance variation based agent, floor detection based agent and optical flow based agent. The message space agent displays the communication and enables negotiations among agents. Appearance variation based agent, Floor detection based agent and optical flow based agent have small codes to represent unique monocular vision based algorithms which are capable of generating depth approximations to various obstacles.

The system is implemented on an Android based mobile phone having a 1GHz arm cortex processor and a 329 MB of RAM. It was evaluated using a sample environment, where it was able to select the most appropriate algorithm automatically according to the changing environment conditions while minimizing the resource consumption. Given a sample scenario having three different environment settings, the system was able to gain a 66.6% improvement of detecting obstacles than using a single monocular vision algorithm. The CPU load was reduced by 10% when the depth perception algorithms were implemented as environment sensitive agents, in contrast to running them as separate algorithms in different threads.

As per the evaluation results, it is concluded that the multi agent technology can be used to implement monocular depth perception algorithms as environment sensitive agents and it results in improved depth predictions and better utilization of computing resources.



# Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Chapter 1 - Introduction</b>	
1.1. Introduction	01
1.2. Background and motivation	01
1.3. Aim	02
1.4. Objectives	02
1.5. Resource requirements	03
1.6. Summary	03
<b>Chapter 2 – Related work in monocular vision based depth perception</b>	
2.1. Introduction	04
2.2. Machine learning based approaches	04
2.3. Approaches based on imaging and mechanical properties	05
2.4. Summary	08
<b>Chapter 3 - Technology adapted</b>	
3.1. Introduction	10
3.2. Multi agent systems technology	10
3.2.1. Agent communication	11
3.2.2. Reactive and proactive agents	11
3.2.3. Ontology	11
3.2.4. Agent lifecycle	11
3.3. Digital image processing	12
3.4. Summary	12
<b>Chapter 4 - Monocular vision based agents for navigation in stochastic environments</b>	
4.1. Introduction	13
4.2. Proposed solution	13
4.2.1. Input	13
4.2.2. Output	13
4.2.3. Process	13
4.2.4. Users	14
4.2.5. Features	14



4.3.	Functional specification	14
4.4.	Summary	14
<b>Chapter 5 - Design</b>		
5.1.	Introduction	15
5.2.	Design of the Multi agent framework	15
5.3.	High level design of the system	16
5.3.1.	Hardware agent	16
5.3.2.	Optical flow based agent	16
5.3.3.	Appearance variation based agent	17
5.3.4.	Floor detection based agent	18
5.3.5.	Embedding environment awareness	18
5.3.6.	Message space agent	19
5.4.	Summary	20
<b>Chapter 6 - Implementation</b>		
6.1.	Introduction	21
6.2.	Implementation of the agent framework	21
6.3.	Implementation of agents	21
6.3.1.	Hardware agent	21
6.3.2.	Optical flow based agent	22
6.3.3.	Appearance variation based agent	22
6.3.4.	Floor detection based agent	22
6.3.5.	Message space agent	23
6.4.	Summary	23
<b>Chapter 7 – Evaluation</b>		
7.1.	Introduction	24
7.2.	Evaluating reactivity to environment	24
7.3.	Evaluating improved depth perception capabilities	26
7.4.	Evaluating optimized resource utilization	28
7.5.	Evaluating obstacle avoidance for guiding a blind person	29
7.6.	Summary	30

<b>Chapter 8 – Conclusion and further work</b>	
8.1. Introduction	31
8.2. Conclusion	31
8.2.1 Reactivity to environment	31
8.2.2 Improved depth perception capabilities	31
8.2.3 Optimized resource utilization	31
8.2.4 Obstacle avoidance for guiding a blind person	32
8.3. Problems Encountered	32
8.4. Limitations	32
8.5. Further Works	33
8.6. Summary	33
<b>References</b>	35
<b>Appendix A - Optical Flow estimation</b>	37
A.1 Introduction	37
A.2 Lucas-Kanade method for Optical Flow Estimation	37
<b>Appendix B - Shannon's entropy</b>	40
B.1 Introduction	40
B.2 Calculating Shannon's entropy	40
<b>Appendix C - Emulating Optical Flow</b>	41
C.1 Introduction	41
C.2 Implementation of the optical Flow agent	41
<b>Appendix D - Sample obstacle detection scenarios</b>	42
D.1 Introduction	42
D.2 Sample scenarios	42

## List of Figures

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 3.1: Request-resource-message-ontology architecture for MAS	10
Figure 5.1: Agent interface provided by the framework	15
Figure 5.2: High level architecture of the system	16
Figure 5.3: Design of Optical flow calculation	17
Figure 5.4: Design of the appearance variation calculation	18
Figure 7.1: Execution rates of agents when obstacles are away from camera	25
Figure 7.2: Execution rates of agents when camera is getting closer to an obstacle	25
Figure 7.3: Execution rates of agents when the camera is nearby to the obstacle	26
Figure 7.4: Execution rates of agents when the camera is pointed towards a wall	27
Figure 7.5: Execution rates of agents when the camera is pointed towards a colourful obstacle	27
Figure 7.6: Obstacle on the floor is detected by the floor detection based agent	28
Figure 7.7: Memory and processor statistics when agents executing at full speed	29
Figure 7.8: Memory and processor statistics when the agents are sensitive to the environment	29
Figure C.1: Implementation of the Optical Flow agent	41
Figure D.1: Moving an obstacle (a human hand) towards the camera	42
Figure D.2: Moving the camera towards an obstacle	42

## List of Tables

	<b>Page</b>
Table 5.1: Structure of a depth perception message	19



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)